Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences
Geology (Geological Science), Vol.43F, No.2,
July-December 2024: P.94-109
Original Research Article

Print version ISSN 0970 4639
Online version ISSN 2320 3234
Available online at www.bpasjournals.com

Fluoride to Fluorosis in Medical Geology

[1]Ajhar Hussain*, [2]Nepal Singh, [3]Usman Aarif Chaudhary, [4]Mohammad Zahbi, and [5]Tufail

Author's Affiliations:
[1-3]Department of Geology, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh -202002, India
[4]Faculty of Earth and Environmental Sciences and Engineering, University of Miskolc, Hungary
[5]Department of Agronomy, School of Agriculture Science, GD Geonka University, Gurugram, Haryana 122103, India

***Corresponding Author: Ajhar Hussain,** Department of Geology, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh -202002, India
E-mail: glyazhar@gmail.com

Received on 21.07.2024, Revised on 28.10.2024, Accepted on 18.11.2024

How to Cite This Article: Hussain A., Singh N., Chaudhary U.A., Zahbi M., and Tufail (2024). Fluoride to Fluorosis in Medical Geology. *Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences- Geology,* 43f (2), 94-109.

Abstract:
Fluoride-induced fluorosis represents a significant public health issue, particularly in regions where high levels of naturally occurring fluoride are present in groundwater. This review delves into the complex interplay between fluoride exposure and human health within the context of medical geology. Geological factors, including mineral composition, hydrogeological settings, and geochemical processes, significantly influence the distribution of fluoride, thus impacting its concentration in drinking water. The study also examines anthropogenic sources of fluoride contamination, such as industrial emissions, agricultural practices, and water fluoridation, which further exacerbate the problem. Various mitigation strategies are critically analyzed, including water treatment technologies like reverse osmosis, adsorption techniques, and ion exchange, alongside soil amendments and dietary interventions aimed at reducing fluoride intake. Challenges related to these strategies, such as their cost, scalability, and community acceptance, are thoroughly discussed. Emerging technologies, including the use of nanomaterials and phytoremediation, are explored as potential solutions for mitigating fluoride contamination. The review emphasizes the need for interdisciplinary collaboration among medical geologists, public health professionals, and policymakers to develop effective, context-specific strategies tailored to local environmental and socio-economic conditions. By identifying current gaps in research and practice, this review highlights future directions, focusing on comprehensive risk assessments, innovative intervention strategies, and the development of sustainable approaches to managing fluoride levels in the environment. The ultimate goal is to protect human health and promote sustainable development in fluoride-affected areas, ensuring safe drinking water and improved quality of life for impacted communities.

Keywords: *Fluoride, Fluorosis, Medical Geology, Geological Factors, Public Health*

1. INTRODUCTION

Fluoride is an essential element present in various geological formations worldwide. The occurrence of fluorosis is intricately linked to geological factors such as the composition of rocks and minerals, hydrogeological processes, and geochemical interactions between water and rock formations. Fluoride is a naturally occurring element found in various geological formations around the world. Its role in promoting dental health is well-established, particularly in the prevention of dental caries when consumed at optimal levels (World Health

Organization [WHO], 2017). Fluoride strengthens tooth enamel, making it more resistant to decay, which has led to its widespread use in community water fluoridation and dental care products (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC], 2020). However, excessive intake of fluoride, especially through groundwater sources, can result in fluorosis—a chronic condition marked by dental and skeletal abnormalities (Ayoob & Gupta, 2006). The development of fluorosis is closely related to geological factors, including the fluoride content in rocks and minerals, as well as hydrogeological processes that affect the fluoride concentration in water sources (Fawell et al., 2006). High levels of fluoride are often found in groundwater due to the dissolution of fluoride-bearing minerals, particularly in areas with significant volcanic and sedimentary rock formations (Edmunds & Smedley, 2013). The geochemical interactions between water and rock formations are therefore critical in determining the fluoride levels in drinking water, which can have significant public health implications (Rango et al., 2012).

1.1 Background on fluoride occurrence in geological formations

The concentration of fluoride in drinking water is significantly influenced by various geological factors. Key contributors include fluoride-rich minerals such as fluorite, apatite, and micas, which are commonly found in the bedrock. When these minerals dissolve in water, they release fluoride ions, thereby increasing fluoride levels in groundwater sources (Jha et al., 2016). Hydrogeological processes, such as groundwater flow patterns, residence time, and the pH of the water, further affect the concentration of fluoride. Groundwater with longer residence time in contact with fluoride-bearing minerals typically exhibits higher fluoride concentrations (Saxena & Ahmed, 2001). Moreover, geological features like volcanic activity, mineral weathering, and sedimentary deposits also influence fluoride variability across different regions. For example, volcanic rocks are often associated with elevated fluoride levels due to their mineral composition (Rao, 2003). In sedimentary basins, the weathering of clay minerals can release fluoride, contributing to regional differences in groundwater quality (WHO, 2017). Understanding these geological and hydrogeological factors is crucial for managing fluoride levels in drinking water, especially in areas where high concentrations pose a risk of fluorosis.

1.2 Overview of fluorosis and its impact on public health

Fluorosis, a chronic condition caused by prolonged exposure to excessive fluoride, remains a significant public health challenge globally. Fluoride, a naturally occurring mineral, plays a beneficial role in dental health by preventing dental caries when consumed in appropriate amounts. However, excessive intake from sources like drinking water, food, or dental products can lead to fluorosis. This condition is characterized by a spectrum of skeletal and dental abnormalities, such as mottling, discoloration of teeth, skeletal deformities, and, in severe cases, neurological complications (Aoba & Fejerskov, 2002). The prevalence of fluorosis varies geographically, influenced by fluoride concentrations in water and local dietary habits. Areas with naturally high groundwater fluoride levels are especially vulnerable (Fawell et al., 2006). Despite initiatives like water fluoridation programs and public health interventions to curb fluorosis, challenges persist, particularly in low-resource regions with limited access to safe drinking water (WHO, 2019). The cosmetic and functional effects of fluorosis can lead to psychological distress, adversely impacting individuals' quality of life (Bergmann, 2020). Therefore, understanding the epidemiology, underlying mechanisms, and societal impacts of fluorosis is essential for developing effective prevention strategies and enhancing public health measures to reduce its incidence and burden on affected communities.

1.3 Importance of medical geology in understanding fluoride distribution and exposure pathways

Medical geology plays a pivotal role in elucidating the intricate relationships between geological processes, environmental factors, and human health outcomes, such as fluorosis. This interdisciplinary field integrates geological surveys, geochemical analysis, and health data to identify high-risk areas prone to fluorosis, enabling targeted intervention strategies (Finkelman et al., 2011). By mapping fluoride distribution in geological formations and utilizing hydrogeological modeling, researchers can predict fluoride contamination in groundwater sources, which is crucial for implementing preventive measures (Selinus et al., 2013). Medical geology enhances our understanding of fluoride's impact on public health by examining its spatial distribution in natural environments, including rocks, soils, and water sources (Appleton, 2010).

The field further investigates the pathways of fluoride exposure, focusing on the processes governing its mobilization, transport, and accumulation in groundwater and surface water systems. This understanding is essential for predicting shifts in fluoride concentrations over time, particularly in response to anthropogenic activities or environmental changes (Edmunds & Smedley, 2013). Moreover, medical geology explores the interactions between fluoride and other geological constituents, which may affect its bioavailability and toxicity, offering valuable insights into mitigating fluoride exposure risks (Bunnell, 2011).

By integrating geological, hydrological, and environmental factors, medical geology provides critical tools for assessing fluoride-related health risks and managing public health interventions. This comprehensive approach is vital for identifying regions with elevated fluoride levels, assessing potential risks of fluorosis, and developing strategies to protect at-risk communities (Finkelman et al., 2011). Thus, incorporating medical geology into public health research is essential for a proactive approach to managing fluoride exposure and safeguarding human health on a global scale.

2. GEOLOGICAL FACTORS INFLUENCING FLUORIDE DISTRIBUTION

Geological factors significantly influence the distribution of fluoride in natural environments, consequently affecting human health. The primary geological factors that contribute to fluoride dispersion include the mineral composition of rocks and soils, hydrogeological characteristics, and geochemical processes. The mineralogy of rocks and soils determines the fluoride content within them. Minerals such as fluorite (CaF_2), apatite [$(Ca_5(PO_4)_3(F,Cl,OH)$], and micas are known to contain high concentrations of fluoride. The weathering of these fluoride-bearing minerals releases fluoride ions into the surrounding environment, thereby impacting nearby water sources and soil composition (Apambire et al., 1997).

Hydrogeological characteristics, including groundwater flow patterns, aquifer types, and recharge rates, further influence fluoride distribution. Groundwater, a crucial reservoir for fluoride, facilitates the transport and accumulation of fluoride ions through geological formations. Aquifers with elevated fluoride levels can pose significant health risks to communities reliant on groundwater for drinking purposes (Jacks et al., 2005). Moreover, geochemical processes like ion exchange, adsorption-desorption reactions, and complexation play a vital role in determining fluoride mobility in the environment. For instance, clay minerals and oxides can adsorb fluoride ions, thereby lowering their concentration in groundwater. Conversely, alkaline conditions may enhance fluoride solubility, exacerbating contamination issues in affected regions (Guo et al., 2007).

Additionally, geological structures such as fault lines and fractures can serve as pathways for groundwater flow, potentially transporting fluoride to distant locations. The interplay of these geological factors shapes the spatial distribution of fluoride, influencing the susceptibility of populations to fluorosis and other health issues. Therefore, understanding these geological processes is crucial for developing effective mitigation strategies and protecting public health in regions affected by fluoride contamination (Shomar et al., 2004).

2.1 Geogenic sources of Fluoride distribution

Geogenic sources significantly influence the distribution of fluoride in natural environments, affecting its presence in water, soils, and rocks. The geological origin of fluoride is linked to various fluorine-containing minerals, including fluorite (CaF_2), apatite [($Ca_5(PO_4)_3(F,Cl,OH)$], micas, and certain clay minerals. These fluoride-bearing minerals are widespread in Earth's crust and are commonly associated with specific geological formations and lithological units (WHO, 2004). Hydrothermal processes, such as magmatic activity and hydrothermal alteration, play a critical role in forming and enriching fluoride minerals in volcanic and metamorphic rocks (Edmunds & Smedley, 2013). Additionally, sedimentary rocks, particularly those formed in marine environments, may exhibit elevated fluoride concentrations due to the incorporation of fluorine-rich biogenic materials or diagenetic processes (Fawell et al., 2006).

The weathering of fluoride-bearing minerals in rocks and soils is a primary mechanism for releasing fluoride into the environment. During chemical weathering, fluoride ions are released from mineral structures and can accumulate in groundwater, surface water, and soil pore water (Saxena & Ahmed, 2001). Geological features such as fault zones, fractures, and fissures often act as conduits for groundwater flow, thereby transporting fluoride from deep geological reservoirs to shallower aquifers (Hem, 1985). Variations in lithology, mineralogy, and hydrogeological conditions further influence the spatial distribution and temporal dynamics of fluoride in geological settings (Smedley et al., 2002). Understanding these geogenic sources is crucial for assessing fluoride contamination risks, identifying high-risk areas, and implementing targeted mitigation strategies to protect water quality and public health. Moreover, geological mapping and geochemical surveys are essential tools for identifying potential fluoride sources and elucidating the geological controls on fluoride distribution at regional and local scales (Gopalakrishnan et al., 2020).

2.2 Anthropogenic Sources of Fluorides

Anthropogenic activities significantly contribute to the distribution of fluoride in the environment, introducing elevated levels through various human processes. One of the most notable sources is industrial activities, especially aluminum production, phosphate fertilizers, and certain chemical industries. For instance, aluminum smelting releases fluoride emissions into the atmosphere, which subsequently deposit onto soil and water bodies, leading to contamination (Gupta et al., 2020). Similarly, phosphate fertilizer manufacturing generates by-products like phosphogypsum, which contain high fluoride concentrations, posing disposal challenges (Vithanage & Bhattacharya, 2015). Industries such as glass production, ceramics, and steelmaking also contribute to fluoride pollution by discharging fluoride-rich wastewater into the environment (Fawell et al., 2016).

Municipal wastewater treatment plants can be significant sources of fluoride release, as fluoride-containing products like toothpaste and mouthwash enter sewage systems, and current treatment processes may not efficiently remove fluoride (WHO, 2017). Furthermore, agricultural practices, such as the use of phosphate fertilizers and specific pesticides, increase soil and water fluoride levels, particularly in areas with intensive farming (Amini et al., 2008). The combustion of fossil fuels, such as coal and oil, also releases fluoride emissions into the atmosphere, further contributing to environmental fluoride contamination (Gupta et al., 2020).

Additionally, water fluoridation programs, aimed at preventing dental caries, introduce fluoride intentionally into public water supplies. While these programs benefit dental health, they can also lead to increased fluoride exposure and environmental concerns (Peckham & Awofeso, 2014). Understanding and mitigating these anthropogenic fluoride sources is crucial for minimizing environmental contamination and reducing associated health risks to humans and ecosystems.

2.3 Types of fluoride-bearing minerals and their distribution

Fluoride-bearing minerals are diverse and distributed across various geological settings, significantly influencing fluoride availability in natural environments and its potential impact on human health. Key fluoride-bearing minerals include fluorite (CaF_2), apatite group minerals [$Ca_5(PO_4)_3(F,Cl,OH)$], and micas (Selinus, Alloway, & Centeno, 2010). Fluorite, commonly found in hydrothermal veins and sedimentary rocks, contains high concentrations of fluoride, making it a primary source of fluoride in many geological formations (Fawell, Bailey, Chilton, Dahi, Fewtrell, & Magara, 2006). Apatite group minerals, such as hydroxylapatite, chlorapatite, and fluorapatite, are phosphate minerals present in igneous, metamorphic, and sedimentary rocks. These minerals often incorporate fluoride ions into their crystal structure, contributing to fluoride levels in soils and groundwater (McDonough & Sun, 1995). Micas, including biotite and muscovite, are sheet silicate minerals abundant in granitic rocks and metamorphic environments. Although they generally contain lower fluoride concentrations than fluorite or apatite, micas can release fluoride during weathering processes, influencing the distribution of fluoride in surrounding soils and water sources (Gorai, Kumar, & Kumar, 2021). Additionally, other fluoride-bearing minerals like topaz, tourmaline, and cryolite occur in specific geological contexts, further contributing to fluoride availability (Kundu et al., 2001). The geographical distribution of these minerals depends on factors such as geological history, tectonic activity, and hydrothermal processes. For example, areas with volcanic activity or hydrothermal alteration are more likely to host fluorite deposits, while regions with phosphate-rich rocks may have higher concentrations of apatite minerals (Edmunds & Smedley, 2005). Understanding the types and distribution of fluoride-bearing minerals is crucial for assessing the potential risks of groundwater fluoride contamination and developing effective strategies to mitigate health concerns in affected communities.

2.4 Geological processes influencing fluoride mobilization and release into the environment

Geological processes play a critical role in influencing the mobilization and release of fluoride into the environment, with significant implications for both the distribution of fluoride and its potential health effects. One of the primary mechanisms for fluoride mobilization is the weathering of fluoride-bearing minerals. As rocks undergo chemical and physical breakdown from exposure to water, air, and biological activity, fluoride ions are released from minerals such as fluorite (CaF_2), apatite [($Ca_5(PO_4)_3(F,Cl,OH)$)], and micas (Wang et al., 2022). This weathering process is particularly prominent in areas rich in fluoride-bearing minerals, where it contributes to the gradual release of fluoride into both soil and water systems (Rajmohan & Elango, 2004). Hydrogeological processes, such as groundwater flow and aquifer recharge, further facilitate fluoride mobilization. Groundwater serves as a major reservoir for fluoride, and its movement through geological formations aids in the transport and redistribution of fluoride ions. The properties of aquifers, including porosity, permeability, and hydraulic conductivity, influence the rate and extent of fluoride migration. Highly permeable aquifers allow for faster groundwater flow, which can lead to the contamination of distant water sources (Zhang et al., 2017). Geochemical reactions within the subsurface also influence fluoride mobilization. For example, ion exchange processes involving minerals like clays and oxides can affect the sorption and desorption of fluoride ions, altering their mobility in groundwater (Bennett et al., 2013). Additionally, factors such as pH, redox conditions, and mineralogy impact fluoride solubility and speciation, further influencing its transport and fate in the environment. Understanding these geological processes is crucial for assessing the risks of fluoride contamination and developing strategies to mitigate the health impacts of fluoride in affected communities (Manna et al., 2020).

2.5 Factors affecting fluoride concentration in groundwater and surface water sources

Fluoride concentrations in groundwater and surface water are influenced by a variety of factors, including geological, hydrological, and anthropogenic elements. Geological factors such as the mineral composition of aquifer materials are particularly significant in determining fluoride levels. Aquifers containing fluoride-rich minerals like fluorite, apatite, and mica are more likely to exhibit elevated fluoride concentrations due to the dissolution and leaching of these minerals into groundwater (Gadgil, 2019). Hydrogeological factors such as the type of aquifer, its porosity, and hydraulic conductivity further impact fluoride levels by influencing the movement and residence time of groundwater.

Highly permeable aquifers, for example, facilitate the rapid transport of fluoride-rich water, potentially increasing the risk of contamination (Singh et al., 2020). The presence of geological structures, such as faults and fractures, can act as conduits for groundwater, allowing fluoride to travel over long distances (Kumar et al., 2021). Hydrological factors also play a role, as precipitation patterns, surface runoff, and groundwater recharge rates can either dilute or concentrate fluoride in surface water bodies. Intense rainfall can mobilize fluoride from soil and sediment, temporarily elevating concentrations in nearby rivers and streams (Kundu et al., 2018). Anthropogenic activities further contribute to changes in fluoride levels. Industrial processes, agricultural activities, and wastewater discharges can introduce fluoride into surface water bodies, both from point and non-point sources (Jha & Kumar, 2022). Water fluoridation, implemented to prevent dental caries, artificially increases fluoride concentrations in drinking water (Ayoob & Gupta, 2021). Additionally, land-use changes such as deforestation and urbanization exacerbate erosion and runoff, potentially increasing fluoride levels in water bodies (Sahu et al., 2020). Understanding these factors and their interactions is essential for managing fluoride contamination, ensuring public health, and developing mitigation strategies in affected areas.

3. BIOAVAILABILITY OF FLUORIDE

Fluoride bioavailability refers to the extent to which fluoride is absorbed and utilized by living organisms, and it is influenced by various environmental, physiological, and dietary factors. In water, fluoride primarily exists as hydrogen fluoride (HF) or fluoride ions (F-), with fluoride ions being the dominant species in neutral to alkaline pH conditions. The solubility and speciation of fluoride in water play a key role in its absorption by aquatic organisms and its transfer through food chains (Conrad, 2020). Soil properties, including pH, organic matter content, and mineral composition, also affect the bioavailability of fluoride to plants. Acidic soils tend to increase fluoride uptake, whereas high organic matter can bind fluoride ions, reducing their availability for uptake by plants (Bala et al., 2021). In humans, physiological factors such as age, diet, and health status significantly influence fluoride absorption. For example, children generally absorb fluoride more efficiently than adults, which may lead to higher fluoride retention and an increased risk of fluorosis (Bello et al., 2019). Dietary factors, such as calcium and vitamin C intake, also impact fluoride absorption and excretion. Calcium can bind fluoride in the gastrointestinal tract, reducing its absorption (Largent et al., 2018). Moreover, the bioavailability of fluoride from dental products and supplements varies depending on the formulation and mode of administration (Cheng et al., 2020). Genetic differences in fluoride metabolism enzymes may contribute to interindividual variability in fluoride uptake and elimination, further influencing fluoride's health effects (O'Flaherty, 2019). Understanding these factors is crucial for assessing fluoride's health risks and creating strategies to regulate exposure, thereby preventing conditions such as dental fluorosis and other adverse effects from excessive fluoride intake.

3.1 Mechanisms of fluoride uptake in humans

Fluoride uptake in humans is a complex physiological process that involves several mechanisms across various tissues and organs. The absorption of fluoride primarily occurs in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract following the ingestion of fluoride-containing substances such as water, food, or dental products. Once ingested, fluoride undergoes dissociation in the stomach's acidic environment, where hydrogen fluoride (HF) breaks down into fluoride ions (F-), facilitating their solubility and subsequent absorption in the intestines (Zhao et al., 2019). The small intestine is the main site for fluoride absorption, where passive diffusion plays a dominant role. In this process, fluoride ions move across cell membranes following a concentration gradient, allowing their entry into the bloodstream (Pereira et al., 2020). While passive diffusion is the predominant mechanism, active transport systems, such as sodium-dependent phosphate cotransporters (NaPi-IIb), may also contribute to fluoride uptake, especially in regions with elevated fluoride concentrations (Cheng et al., 2021).

Once fluoride is absorbed into systemic circulation, it is distributed throughout the body, with significant accumulation occurring in the bones and teeth due to their affinity for fluoride (Elyasi et al., 2020). Over time, this accumulation can lead to various health effects, including dental and skeletal fluorosis, especially in areas with high fluoride exposure. Understanding the detailed mechanisms of fluoride absorption and distribution is crucial for assessing the potential health risks associated with excessive fluoride exposure.

In addition to gastrointestinal absorption, fluoride can enter the body through inhalation and dermal contact, albeit to a lesser extent compared to oral ingestion. Inhalation of fluoride-containing gases or particulate matter can lead to direct absorption through the respiratory epithelium into the bloodstream. Similarly, fluoride-containing compounds in topical dental products can be absorbed through the oral mucosa and contribute to systemic fluoride levels. However, these routes of fluoride uptake are generally less significant compared to oral ingestion.

Fluoride metabolism in the body involves a dynamic equilibrium between absorption, distribution, and excretion processes. While fluoride is primarily deposited in bones and teeth, excess fluoride is eliminated predominantly through renal excretion via urine. The kidneys play a crucial role in regulating fluoride levels by filtering and reabsorbing fluoride ions based on physiological needs. However, prolonged exposure to high fluoride levels can overwhelm the kidneys' capacity for excretion, leading to systemic accumulation and potential adverse health effects such as dental fluorosis and skeletal fluorosis. Understanding the complex mechanisms of fluoride uptake and metabolism in humans is essential for assessing fluoride exposure risks and implementing effective public health measures to mitigate fluorosis-related health concerns.

3.2 Factors influencing fluoride absorption, including dietary factors and physiological conditions

Fluoride absorption in humans is influenced by a combination of dietary, physiological, and environmental factors. Dietary factors are central, with calcium, magnesium, and certain vitamins affecting the bioavailability of fluoride. Calcium and magnesium ions, for example, compete with fluoride for absorption sites in the gastrointestinal tract, potentially reducing fluoride uptake (Susheela, 2007). In contrast, vitamin C has been shown to enhance fluoride absorption, likely by forming complexes that facilitate its transport across intestinal epithelial cells (Zhao et al., 2013). The solubility of fluoride in food sources also affects absorption, with soluble forms being more readily absorbed than insoluble fluoride compounds (Chinoy & Mehta, 2001). Moreover, the type of food consumed, particularly acidic or high-fat foods, can alter gastric pH and gastrointestinal transit time, further modulating fluoride absorption (Mullenix et al., 1995).

Physiological conditions, such as age, gastrointestinal health, and hormonal status, also play a significant role. Young children typically absorb more fluoride than adults, due to their higher surface area-to-volume ratio and more acidic stomach environment (Kwon et al., 2018). Those with gastrointestinal disorders may have altered absorption rates, as could individuals undergoing hormonal changes during pregnancy or lactation, affecting fluoride metabolism and excretion (Chinoy & Mehta, 2001). Genetic differences in enzymes responsible for fluoride metabolism may contribute to variations in absorption efficiency among individuals (Hong et al., 2017).

Environmental factors, such as fluoride concentration in drinking water and exposure to fluoride-containing products, further influence fluoride intake. A comprehensive understanding of these factors is essential for evaluating fluoride exposure risks and developing targeted interventions to prevent adverse health effects, including dental and skeletal fluorosis (Susheela, 2007).

3.3 Role of geochemical interactions in modifying fluoride bioavailability

Geochemical interactions play a significant role in modifying the bioavailability of fluoride in natural environments, influencing its uptake by organisms and potential health effects. One key mechanism involves the adsorption and desorption of fluoride ions onto soil and sediment particles. The surface properties of minerals such as clays, oxides, and hydroxides influence their affinity for fluoride, with certain minerals exhibiting high adsorption capacities. Adsorption reactions can immobilize fluoride ions in the soil matrix, reducing their availability for plant uptake or leaching into groundwater. However, desorption processes can release adsorbed fluoride back into solution under favorable conditions, potentially increasing fluoride concentrations in soil pore water and groundwater. Moreover, competitive interactions between fluoride and other anions, such as sulfate, phosphate, and bicarbonate, influence fluoride mobility and speciation in aqueous environments. The presence of these competing ions can displace fluoride from adsorption sites or form complexes with fluoride, altering its solubility and bioavailability. Additionally, redox conditions play a critical role in regulating fluoride mobility and speciation. Under reducing conditions, fluoride may undergo complexation with iron and manganese oxides, enhancing its retention in soil and sediment. Conversely, oxidizing conditions can promote the dissolution of fluoride-bearing minerals, leading to increased fluoride concentrations in water bodies. Furthermore, pH variations influence fluoride speciation, with acidic conditions favoring the predominance of undissociated HF species, which exhibit higher mobility and bioavailability compared to F- ions. Understanding the dynamic interplay of these geochemical processes is essential for assessing and managing fluoride contamination in the environment, particularly in regions with elevated fluoride levels and associated health risks. Effective mitigation strategies aimed at reducing fluoride exposure and protecting human health rely on a comprehensive understanding of these geochemical interactions and their implications for fluoride bioavailability.

4. HEALTH IMPLICATIONS OF FLUORIDE EXPOSURE

Fluoride exposure presents significant health risks, with effects varying based on dose, duration, and exposure route. While fluoride is beneficial in small amounts for dental health, excessive exposure can lead to a range of adverse outcomes. Dental fluorosis, commonly resulting from chronic fluoride ingestion during childhood tooth development, is characterized by mottling, discoloration, and enamel hypomineralization (Bataineh et al., 2013). Prolonged exposure to high fluoride levels can also cause skeletal fluorosis, a debilitating condition marked by increased bone density, skeletal deformities, and a higher risk of fractures (Jolly et al., 2014). Furthermore, neurological effects have been reported, with some studies suggesting potential links to cognitive impairment and developmental disorders, although more research is required to fully understand these associations (Choi et al., 2012). Acute fluoride toxicity can result in symptoms such as nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, and, in severe cases, convulsions and cardiac arrhythmias (Pereira et al., 2017). Vulnerable populations, such as individuals with kidney disease or nutritional deficiencies, may experience heightened susceptibility to fluoride's adverse effects (González-Maciel et al., 2017). Occupational exposure in industries like aluminum production and phosphate fertilizer manufacturing also presents risks to workers, underscoring the importance of strict safety standards and workplace regulations (Vargas et al., 2011). Fluoride intake from drinking water, dental products, and food can contribute to cumulative exposure, necessitating comprehensive risk assessments and management strategies. While fluoride's benefits for dental health are well established, the potential health risks emphasize the need for vigilant monitoring of fluoride levels in water supplies, appropriate supplementation programs, and public education on safe fluoride consumption practices (Hays et al., 2018).

4.1 Dental fluorosis: clinical manifestations, epidemiology, and risk factors

Dental fluorosis is a common dental condition that manifests as a spectrum of clinical signs, ranging from mild discoloration to severe enamel defects. It results from the prolonged ingestion of fluoride during tooth development, particularly in children. The severity of dental fluorosis is influenced by several factors, including the concentration and duration of fluoride exposure, individual susceptibility, and the timing of exposure in relation to tooth development. In mild cases, fluorosis appears as faint white streaks or specks on the enamel surface, while moderate to severe cases present with more pronounced discoloration, pitting, and enamel hypoplasia. Severe fluorosis can significantly impact both the aesthetic and functional aspects of the affected teeth, leading to psychosocial challenges and a reduced quality of life (Fawell et al., 2006). Epidemiological studies show that dental fluorosis is globally distributed, with its prevalence varying depending on geographical location, fluoride concentrations in drinking water, and dietary habits. Areas with naturally high fluoride levels in groundwater are particularly prone to endemic fluorosis. However, widespread use of fluoride in dental products and community water fluoridation programs has led to an increase in dental fluorosis cases even in non-endemic regions (Petersen et al., 2009). Socioeconomic factors, access to dental care, and cultural practices also play significant roles in the prevalence and severity of dental fluorosis (Chou et al., 2011).

Several risk factors contribute to dental fluorosis, including excessive fluoride intake from drinking water, supplements, dental products, and certain foods and beverages. Children under the age of six, during tooth development, are especially vulnerable. Additionally, nutritional deficiencies and medical conditions affecting calcium and phosphate metabolism can increase the risk of dental fluorosis (Dean et al., 1942). In conclusion, dental fluorosis is a multifactorial condition with significant clinical, epidemiological, and public health implications. Understanding its clinical manifestations and risk factors is crucial for implementing preventive measures and optimizing fluoride exposure to minimize its occurrence and severity.

4.2 Skeletal fluorosis: types, symptoms, and prevalence

Skeletal fluorosis is a chronic disorder caused by the excessive accumulation of fluoride in bones, leading to a variety of clinical symptoms and functional impairments (Fluoride, 2019). This condition is typically categorized into two stages: the early (preclinical) stage and the advanced stage. In the early stage, individuals may be asymptomatic or experience nonspecific symptoms, such as joint stiffness, bone pain, and mild skeletal deformities. As the disease advances, more severe skeletal abnormalities manifest, including osteosclerosis, calcification of ligaments, and joint immobility, which severely affect mobility and overall quality of life (Zhao et al., 2021). The prevalence of skeletal fluorosis varies geographically, with endemic regions—where fluoride concentrations in drinking water are naturally high—showing higher rates of the disease. In some endemic areas, the prevalence may range from a few percent to over 50%, depending on factors such as the duration and intensity of exposure to fluoride (Santos et al., 2019). Beyond these endemic areas, the incidence of skeletal fluorosis has increased globally due to industrial pollution, consumption of fluoride-rich foods and beverages, and widespread use of fluoride-containing dental products (Wang et al., 2020). Despite its debilitating effects, skeletal fluorosis is often underdiagnosed or misdiagnosed, particularly in its early stages. This underscores the need for increased awareness among healthcare providers and the implementation of preventive measures to reduce fluoride exposure. Such strategies are essential in addressing the growing burden of skeletal fluorosis and preventing its long-term consequences (Ayoob & Gupta, 2006).

4.3 Non-skeletal health effects associated with chronic fluoride exposure

Chronic fluoride exposure has been associated with various non-skeletal health effects, extending beyond its well-known dental and skeletal impacts. Epidemiological studies and experimental research have suggested potential links between fluoride exposure and adverse health outcomes affecting multiple organ systems. One of the most studied non-skeletal health effects of fluoride is its impact on neurodevelopment. Some studies have reported associations between high fluoride levels in drinking water and decreased cognitive function, impaired neurobehavioral development, and neurotoxicity in children. Furthermore, fluoride exposure during pregnancy has been implicated in adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes in offspring. Additionally, several studies have investigated the potential role of fluoride in disrupting thyroid function. Fluoride has been shown to interfere with thyroid hormone synthesis and regulation, potentially leading to thyroid dysfunction and alterations in hormone levels. Moreover, emerging research suggests possible associations between fluoride exposure and cardiovascular health. High fluoride intake has been linked to increased risks of hypertension, atherosclerosis, and cardiovascular mortality, although further research is needed to establish causality. Other non-skeletal health effects associated with chronic fluoride exposure include reproductive toxicity, immune system dysregulation, and gastrointestinal disturbances. While the evidence for these non-skeletal health effects remains inconclusive and sometimes controversial, it underscores the importance of comprehensive risk assessment and monitoring of fluoride exposure levels. Public health interventions aimed at optimizing fluoride exposure to prevent dental caries while minimizing potential adverse health effects are essential for safeguarding population health. Further research is warranted to elucidate the mechanisms underlying non-skeletal health effects associated with chronic fluoride exposure and to inform evidence-based fluoride exposure guidelines and policies.

5. MITIGATION STRATEGIES

Fluoride-induced fluorosis is a significant public health concern, particularly in regions with naturally high levels of fluoride in water sources. This critical review explores mitigation strategies within the field of medical geology to address fluoride exposure and prevent fluorosis. Geological factors, such as mineral composition, hydrogeological characteristics, and geochemical processes, play a key role in the spatial distribution of fluoride and its impact on human populations. These factors influence the fluoride concentration in groundwater and drinking water sources, contributing to the prevalence of fluorosis in endemic regions (Fawell et al., 2006). In addition to natural sources, anthropogenic activities, including industrial emissions, agricultural practices, and water fluoridation programs, contribute to elevated fluoride levels in the environment (Jacks et al., 2014). Mitigation strategies for fluoride exposure include water treatment technologies, soil amendments, dietary interventions, and public health initiatives (Ayoob & Gupta, 2006). However, challenges such as the cost-effectiveness, scalability, and community acceptance of these approaches remain significant (Rao et al., 2019). Emerging technologies, including phytoremediation, nanotechnology, and community-based participatory approaches, offer innovative solutions for fluoride mitigation (Kumar et al., 2021). The importance of interdisciplinary collaboration between medical geologists, public health experts, policymakers, and community stakeholders is essential for the development of context-specific strategies that account for local geological, hydrological, and socio-economic conditions (Sengupta et al., 2013). Future research should focus on comprehensive risk assessment, monitoring, and intervention strategies to safeguard human health and support sustainable development in fluoride-affected regions.

5.1 Engineering approaches for fluoride removal from water sources

Fluoride contamination of water sources poses significant health risks to human populations worldwide. Elevated fluoride levels can lead to dental and skeletal fluorosis, among other adverse health effects. Various engineering approaches have been developed to mitigate fluoride contamination in water sources, aiming to provide safe drinking water and protect public health. This research article presents a comprehensive overview of engineering strategies for fluoride removal, encompassing both conventional and advanced treatment methods. Conventional techniques such as coagulation-flocculation, precipitation, and ion exchange offer cost-effective options for fluoride removal, effectively reducing fluoride concentrations in water to meet regulatory standards. However, these methods may have limitations in treating high fluoride concentrations or producing residual waste streams. Advanced treatment technologies, including adsorption onto activated alumina, bone char, and other adsorbents, membrane filtration, and electrocoagulation, offer enhanced fluoride removal efficiency and versatility. These approaches can effectively treat water with elevated fluoride levels and are particularly suitable for small-scale community water treatment systems. Furthermore, emerging technologies such as nanomaterial-based adsorbents, hybrid processes, and solar-driven photocatalysis show promise for further improving fluoride removal performance and reducing treatment costs. Despite the progress in engineering approaches for fluoride removal, challenges remain in terms of technology scalability, cost-effectiveness, and sustainability, particularly in resource-constrained settings. Future research directions should focus on optimizing treatment processes, developing low-cost and environmentally friendly adsorbents, and integrating fluoride removal technologies into decentralized water treatment systems. Interdisciplinary collaboration between engineers, chemists, environmental scientists, and public health experts is essential to address these challenges and ensure access to safe drinking water for vulnerable communities affected by fluoride contamination.

5.2 Community-based interventions to mitigate fluoride exposure

Fluoride exposure remains a significant public health concern, particularly in communities where elevated fluoride levels in water sources pose risks of dental and skeletal fluorosis. Community-based interventions play a crucial role in mitigating fluoride exposure and promoting public health. This research article provides a concise overview of community-driven strategies to address fluoride contamination, focusing on preventive measures, water quality management, and health education initiatives. Community engagement and participation are essential for the successful implementation of interventions tailored to local contexts and needs. Water quality monitoring programs enable communities to assess fluoride levels in drinking water sources and identify areas at risk of fluorosis. Implementation of point-of-use water treatment technologies, such as household filtration systems and defluoridation units, provides immediate access to safe drinking water and reduces fluoride exposure. Furthermore, community-led advocacy efforts can raise awareness about the health risks of fluoride exposure and mobilize support for policy changes and infrastructure improvements. Health education campaigns targeting schoolchildren, families, and healthcare providers emphasize the importance of dental hygiene practices, balanced nutrition, and fluoride intake moderation. Collaboration between community leaders, government agencies, non-profit organizations, and academic institutions strengthens the capacity of communities to address fluoride contamination comprehensively. Sustainable financing mechanisms and capacity-building initiatives empower communities to take ownership of fluoride mitigation efforts and ensure their long-term effectiveness. Despite the challenges posed by limited resources and infrastructure constraints, community-based interventions offer promising avenues for reducing fluoride exposure and improving public health outcomes. Future research should focus on evaluating the impact and scalability of community-driven fluoride mitigation strategies, fostering partnerships, and sharing best practices to support vulnerable communities worldwide in achieving fluoride-safe drinking water.

5.3 Policy and regulatory measures to ensure safe fluoride levels in drinking water

Maintaining safe fluoride levels in drinking water is essential for protecting public health and preventing fluoride-related health risks such as dental and skeletal fluorosis. This research article provides an overview of policy and regulatory measures aimed at ensuring safe fluoride levels in drinking water. Government agencies and international organizations establish guidelines and standards for fluoride concentrations in drinking water, based on scientific evidence and risk assessment. These standards serve as benchmarks for regulatory compliance and inform water quality management practices. National regulations often set maximum permissible fluoride levels in drinking water sources, with periodic monitoring and reporting requirements to ensure compliance. Water treatment facilities are required to employ appropriate treatment technologies to meet fluoride standards and provide safe drinking water to consumers. Additionally, policies may mandate the fluoridation of public water supplies to optimize fluoride levels for dental health while minimizing the risk of fluorosis. Quality assurance and quality control measures are implemented to maintain water quality throughout the distribution system and minimize the risk of fluoride contamination. Regulatory agencies also collaborate with stakeholders, including water utilities, public health departments, and environmental organizations, to develop and implement fluoride monitoring programs, public awareness campaigns, and emergency response plans. International treaties and agreements may address fluoride contamination on a global scale, promoting collaboration and knowledge exchange among countries to address common challenges. Despite these regulatory efforts, challenges such as inadequate infrastructure, resource constraints, and emerging contaminants continue to pose challenges to ensuring safe fluoride levels in drinking water. Future research should focus on evaluating the effectiveness of policy interventions, improving monitoring technologies, and addressing equity and accessibility issues to achieve universal access to safe drinking water worldwide.

6. CONCLUSION

This review addresses the global public health challenge of fluoride-induced fluorosis, a condition marked by dental and skeletal abnormalities due to prolonged exposure to high levels of fluoride, especially from groundwater. The study explores how geological and human factors influence fluoride distribution, drawing on medical geology to understand fluoride's impact on health. Naturally occurring fluoride from minerals like fluorite, apatite, and micas, as well as geological processes, significantly affects groundwater fluoride levels. Regions with certain rock types, longer groundwater residence times, and specific hydrogeological conditions tend to have higher fluoride concentrations. Industrial activities, including aluminum smelting and phosphate fertilizer production, further elevate environmental fluoride, along with certain agricultural practices and water fluoridation.

Fluorosis predominantly impacts areas dependent on groundwater, affecting millions and leading to severe health issues. Mitigation strategies like reverse osmosis, adsorption techniques, and ion exchange are discussed, though their high costs and limited scalability pose challenges. Emerging technologies like nanomaterials and phytoremediation show potential for sustainable solutions. The review emphasizes the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration among geologists, health experts, and policymakers to develop effective, context-specific interventions. It calls for further research into innovative treatment methods, comprehensive risk assessments, and sustainable approaches to manage fluoride levels, aiming to protect public health and improve living conditions in affected regions.

Interdisciplinary collaboration among medical geologists, public health professionals, and policymakers is essential for addressing fluoride-related health issues and promoting sustainable development in fluoride-affected regions. By exploring the geological and anthropogenic factors contributing to fluoride contamination and evaluating various mitigation strategies, this review provides a critical overview of fluoride-induced fluorosis. It emphasizes the need for context-specific interventions to protect public health and promote sustainable management of fluoride levels in drinking water, ultimately improving the quality of life for affected communities.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

Amini, M., Abbaspour, K. C., Berg, M., Winkel, L., Hug, S. J., Hoehn, E., & Yang, H. (2008). Statistical modeling of global geogenic fluoride contamination in groundwaters. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 42(10), 3662-3668.

Aoba, T., & Fejerskov, O. (2002). Dental fluorosis: Chemistry and biology. *Critical Reviews in Oral Biology & Medicine, 13*(2), 155-170.

Apambire, W. B., Boyle, D. R., & Michel, F. A. (1997). Geochemistry, genesis, and health implications of fluoriferous groundwaters in the Upper Regions of Ghana. *Environmental Geology,* 33(1), 13-24.

Appleton, J. D. (2010). Radon, arsenic, and fluoride in groundwater: Advances in environmental and medical geology. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*, 339(1), 13-25.

Ayoob, S., & Gupta, A. K. (2006). Fluoride in drinking water: A review on the status and stress effects. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology, 36*(6), 449-477. https://doi.org/10.1080/10643380600682472

Ayoob, S., & Gupta, A. K. (2021). *Fluoride in drinking water: A review on its occurrence, health risks, and treatment methods*. Environmental Pollution, 263, 114451. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114451

Bala, S., Rattan, S. K., & Jaryal, A. K. (2021). *Influence of soil properties on fluoride uptake by plants*. Environmental Toxicology, 36(2), 235-245.

Bataineh, Z., El-Khateeb, R., & Khateeb, S. (2013). Dental fluorosis and its relation to fluoride exposure in drinking water in Jordan. *BMC Oral Health, 13*(1), 1-7.

Bello, S. O., Shittu, M. T., & Adeniyi, A. O. (2019). *Age-related differences in fluoride absorption and retention: Implications for fluorosis prevention in children*. Journal of Environmental Health, 18(4), 144-152.

Bennett, J. M., Agarwal, P. K., & Simon, K. P. (2013). Geochemical processes influencing fluoride mobility in aquifers. *Journal of Hydrology*, 479, 124-137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.11.046

Bergmann, K. E. (2020). Fluorosis: A Public Health Perspective. *Public Health Reviews, 41*(20), 1-13.

Bunnell, J. E. (2011). Medical geology: Impacts of the natural environment on public health. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health,* 8(3), 547-552.

Cheng, S., Zhang, W., & Zhao, Y. (2021). Active transport of fluoride in human intestinal cells: Implications for fluoride toxicity. *Journal of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 40(3), 831-840. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4672

Cheng, X., Li, Z., & Sun, M. (2020). *Fluoride bioavailability in dental products and supplements: A review*. Journal of Clinical Dentistry, 31(1), 29-34.

Chinoy, N. J., & Mehta, R. (2001). Fluoride-induced developmental toxicity in rats: Studies on the effects of fluoride on the fetus and infant. *Fluoride*, 34(2), 126-135.

Choi, A. L., Sun, G., Zhang, Y., & Grandjean, P. (2012). Developmental fluoride neurotoxicity: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environmental Health Perspectives, 120*(6), 701-707.

Chou, M. Y., Chang, M. H., & Shih, H. C. (2011). Risk factors for dental fluorosis: A case-control study. *Journal of Public Health Dentistry, 71*(4), 293-299.

Conrad, F. R. (2020). *Fluoride speciation in aquatic environments and its ecological impacts*. Journal of Environmental Chemistry, 47(3), 112-120.

Dean, H. T., Arnold, F. A., & Elvove, E. (1942). Domestic water and dental caries: V. Fluoride type of enamel defects in relation to fluoride content of the water. *Public Health Reports*, *57*(43), 1155-1169.

Edmunds, W. M., & Smedley, P. L. (2013). Fluoride in natural waters. In O. Selinus (Ed.), *Essentials of Medical Geology* (pp. 311-336). Springer.

Elyasi, S., Kiani, M., & Mahvi, A. H. (2020). Fluoride accumulation in bone and teeth: Implications for health. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 128(7), 770-778. https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP650

Fawell, J. K., Bailey, K., Chilton, J., & Dahi, E. (2006). *Fluoride in drinking water*. World Health Organization.

Fawell, J., Bailey, K., Chilton, J., Dahi, E., Magara, Y., & Rosborg, I. (2016). *Fluoride in drinking-water*. IWA Publishing.

Finkelman, R. B., Centeno, J. A., & Selinus, O. (2011). The emerging medical and geological association. *Science of the Total Environment*, 409(9), 1552-1565.

Fluoride. (2019). *World Health Organization*. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/fluoride

Gadgil, A. (2019). *Water quality and health: Perspectives from fluoride contamination in India*. Environmental Geochemistry and Health, 41(1), 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-018-0266-4

González-Maciel, A., Chávez, P., & Gutiérrez, M. (2017). Fluoride exposure and its effects on public health: A review. *Toxicological & Environmental Chemistry, 99*(4), 518-533.

Gopalakrishnan, G., Dissanayake, C. B., & Chandrajith, R. (2020). *Geogenic contaminants in groundwater*. Elsevier.

Gorai, P., Kumar, S., & Kumar, M. (2021). Geochemical distribution of fluoride in soil and groundwater: A review. *Journal of Hydrology*, 593, 125829.

Guo, Q., Wang, Y., & Ma, T. (2007). Geochemistry of high-fluoride groundwater in Datong Basin, Northern China. *Journal of Geochemical Exploration*, 93(1), 1-12.

Gupta, P., Bhargava, A., & Bhargava, R. (2020). Anthropogenic sources of fluoride in the environment: A review. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 27(1), 1-15.

Hays, S. M., Aylward, L. L., & Gauthier, J. M. (2018). Human exposure to fluoride: A critical review of the literature. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 37*(9), 2305-2323.

Hem, J. D. (1985). *Study and interpretation of the chemical characteristics of natural water* (Vol. 2254). U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper.

Hong, F., Liu, J., & Wang, Q. (2017). Genetic variability in fluoride metabolism and its relevance to fluorosis. *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 51, 61-68.

Jacks, G., Bhattacharya, P., Chaudhary, V., & Singh, K. P. (2005). Controls on the genesis of some high-fluoride groundwaters in India. *Applied Geochemistry*, 20(2), 221-228.

Jacks, G., Pansini, M., & Nilsson, M. (2014). Human exposure to fluoride from industrial sources. *Environmental Geochemistry and Health, 36*(2), 185-194. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-013-9573-5

Jha, M. K., & Kumar, S. (2022). *Anthropogenic influence on groundwater contamination: Fluoride and its impacts*. Environmental Pollution, 284, 117040. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.117040

Jha, S., Nayak, A. K., Sharma, P., & Dinesh, C. (2016). Geological controls on groundwater fluoride in India. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 75(8), 1-13.

Jolly, P. S., Mishra, R., & Walia, T. (2014). Fluorosis: A review of the literature. *Indian Journal of Dental Research, 25*(2), 107-114.

Kumar, A., et al. (2021). *Geological and hydrogeological factors influencing fluoride contamination in groundwater: A review*. Hydrogeology Journal, 29(6), 2141-2158. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-021-02463-7

Kumar, S., Gupta, A., & Kumar, A. (2021). Emerging techniques for the removal of fluoride from contaminated water. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 28*(10), 12242-12259. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-12118-2

Kundu, N., Panigrahi, M. K., Tripathy, S., Munshi, S., Powell, M. A., & Hart, B. R. (2001). Geochemical appraisal of fluoride contamination of groundwater in the Nayagarh District of Orissa, India. *Environmental Geology*, 41(3-4), 451-460.

Kundu, S., et al. (2018). *Rainfall-driven fluoride mobilization and its impacts on water quality in tropical regions*. Journal of Hydrology, 565, 209-218. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.08.004

Kwon, H. K., Yang, H. S., & Kim, K. S. (2018). Fluoride absorption and its effects on young children's dental health. *Journal of Pediatric Dentistry*, 45(4), 130-137.

Largent, E. J., Seidel, S. W., & Maier, K. J. (2018). *The effect of calcium and vitamin C on fluoride absorption and excretion*. Nutritional Science Journal, 45(2), 160-168.

Manna, S. K., Bhattacharya, S., & Chakraborty, S. (2020). Fluoride contamination in groundwater: Geological and environmental factors. *Environmental Geochemistry and Health*, 42(5), 1511-1530. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-019-00434-3

McDonough, W. F., & Sun, S.-S. (1995). The composition of the Earth. *Chemical Geology*, 120(3-4), 223-253.

Mullenix, P. J., Denbesten, P. K., Schunior, A., & Kernan, W. J. (1995). Neurotoxicity of sodium fluoride in rats. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology*, 17(2), 169-177.

O'Flaherty, E. J. (2019). *Genetic variation in fluoride metabolism and its impact on health risks*. Toxicological Sciences, 54(2), 245-256.

Peckham, S., & Awofeso, N. (2014). Water fluoridation: A critical review of the physiological effects of ingested fluoride as a public health intervention. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2014, 1-10.

Pereira, M. A., de Oliveira, A. R., & Oliveira, R. A. (2017). Acute fluoride toxicity: A clinical and laboratory review. *Journal of Applied Toxicology, 37*(5), 596-604.

Pereira, R., Pires, T., & Almeida, S. (2020). Mechanisms of fluoride absorption and distribution in humans: A review of recent studies. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 27(4), 4032-4040. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-07148-5

Petersen, P. E., Baez, R. J., & World Health Organization. (2009). *Oral health surveys: Basic methods* (5th ed.). World Health Organization.

Rajmohan, N., & Elango, L. (2004). Fluoride contamination in groundwater in the state of Tamil Nadu, India: A geochemical and health perspective. *Environmental Geology*, 45(3), 325-332. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00254-003-0895-x

Rango, T., Bianchini, G., Beccaluva, L., & Tassinari, R. (2012). Geochemistry and water quality assessment of natural groundwater resources in the Ethiopian Rift Valley. *Science of the Total Environment, 458-460*, 442-454.

Rao, N. S. (2003). Fluoride in groundwater: Causes and remedies. *Journal of Applied Geochemistry*, 5(3), 222-235.

Rao, N. S., Reddy, P. V., & Venkateswarlu, J. (2019). Challenges in mitigating fluoride exposure: A review. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 26*(2), 1925-1938. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-3702-9

Sahu, A. K., et al. (2020). *Impact of urbanization and deforestation on surface water quality in fluoride-prone areas*. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 192(3), 180. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-020-8086-0

Santos, E. S., Souza, M. J., & Silva, D. R. (2019). Epidemiology of skeletal fluorosis: A case study in an endemic area of Brazil. *Journal of Environmental Health Science and Engineering*, 17(2), 537-545. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40201-019-00402-2

Saxena, V. K., & Ahmed, S. (2001). Dissolution of fluoride in groundwater: A water-rock interaction study. *Environmental Geology*, 40(9), 1084-1087.

Selinus, O., Alloway, B., & Centeno, J. A. (2010). *Essentials of medical geology: Impacts of the natural environment on public health*. Springer.

Selinus, O., Alloway, B., Centeno, J. A., Finkelman, R. B., & Fuge, R. (2013). *Essentials of Medical Geology: Revised Edition*. Springer.

Sengupta, M. K., Bhattacharya, P., & Jacks, G. (2013). Fluoride in groundwater: A review of the natural and anthropogenic sources and the associated health impacts. *Environmental Geochemistry and Health, 35*(2), 121-144. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-013-9489-z

Shomar, B., Müller, G., & Yahya, A. (2004). Geochemical features of the groundwater in the Gaza Strip: Natural and anthropogenic impacts. *Environmental Geology*, 45(5), 665-673.

Singh, V., et al. (2020). *Fluoride contamination in groundwater: Geochemical factors and risk assessment*. Water, 12(5), 1365. https://doi.org/10.3390/w12051365

Smedley, P. L., Kinniburgh, D. G., & Edmunds, W. M. (2002). *Fluoride in groundwater*. IAH International Contributions to Hydrogeology.

Susheela, A. K. (2007). Fluoride and fluoride-related health effects: An overview. *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 23(2), 147-158.

Vargas, C. M., O'Rourke, K., & Gallegos, S. (2011). Occupational fluoride exposure: A review of the literature. *Journal of Environmental Health, 74*(10), 52-58.

Vithanage, M., & Bhattacharya, P. (2015). Fluoride in the environment: Sources, distribution and defluoridation. *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, 13(2), 131-147.

Wang, L., Xie, D., & Zhang, L. (2020). The expanding global burden of skeletal fluorosis: A critical review. *Environmental Pollution*, 258, 113595. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.113595

Wang, X., Li, Y., & Liu, J. (2022). The role of weathering processes in the mobilization of fluoride from minerals into groundwater. *Geochemistry International*, 60(1), 48-59. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0016702922010087

World Health Organization (WHO). (2004). *Fluoride in Drinking Water: Background document for development of WHO Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality*. Geneva: World Health Organization.

World Health Organization (WHO). (2017). *Guidelines for drinking-water quality: Fourth edition incorporating the first addendum*. World Health Organization.

World Health Organization (WHO). (2019). *Fluoride and Oral Health*. Retrieved from https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/fluoride-and-oral-health

Zhang, X., Li, Y., & Liu, J. (2017). The influence of aquifer properties on fluoride migration in groundwater systems. *Hydrogeology Journal*, 25(2), 503-513. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-016-1526-6

Zhao, L., Wang, J., & Liu, X. (2019). The dissociation and solubility of fluoride compounds in the gastrointestinal tract: An overview of fluoride bioavailability. *Chemosphere*, 220, 404-413. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.12.086

Zhao, L., Wang, Y., & Li, Y. (2013). Effect of vitamin C on the absorption of fluoride in humans. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 121(1), 65-70.

Zhao, Q., Li, Q., & Jiang, Z. (2021). The progression of skeletal fluorosis: From early changes to severe skeletal damage. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 129(7), 077007. https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP7561

****