Library Progress International
Vol.44 No. 3, Jul-Dec 2024: P.9834-9844

Print version ISSN 0970 1052
Online version ISSN 2320 317X

Original Article

Available online at www.bpasjournals.com

Revolutionizing Higher Education with AI: Opportunities, Challenges, and Future Trends

Ruchi[1], Kusum Choudhary[2], Dr.Suvojit Ganguly[3], Dr. Deepika[4], Dr. Prabhat kumar singh[5], Dr.Anton Joseph[6]

[1]Professor, Department of Design, Galgotias University
Greater Noida
ruchi.arora@galgotiasuniversity.edu.in
[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Design, Galgotias University
Greater Noida.
Kusum.choudhary@galgotiasuniversity.edu.in
[3]Professor, School of Hotel and Tourism Management,
Vellore Institute of Technology (VIT) University,
Tamilnadu, India.
suvojit.ganguly@vit.ac.in
[4]Assistant professor (Commerce)
RCU govt. PG College Uttarkashi.
deepikaverma121@gmail.com
[5]Assistant Professor (Commerce)
Govt. Degree college chinyalisaur, Uttarkashi.
prabhat.researcher@gmail.com
[6]Assistant Professor
School of Commerce and Management Studies,
Bharata Mata College, Thrikkakara
anton@bharatamatacollege.in

How to cite this article: Ruchi, Kusum Choudhary,Suvojit Ganguly, Deepika, Prabhat kumar singh, Anton Joseph (2024) Revolutionizing Higher Education with AI: Opportunities, Challenges, and Future Trends. *Library Progress International,* 44(3), 9834-9844.

Abstract

Higher education institutions are undergoing a sea change as a result of the incorporation of AI, which is improving both instructional and administrative tasks. Using data collected from 200 students, faculty, and administrators from three NCR institutions, this research investigates the pros, cons, and potential future directions of artificial intelligence (AI) in the realm of higher education. In the end, AI boosts student happiness and institutional efficiency by providing personalised learning experiences, automating administrative work, and improving student assistance. However, obstacles to AI adoption include worries about data privacy, pushback from professors, and limited funding. The research explores four hypotheses on the impacts of AI adoption using statistical methods such as analysis of variance (ANOVA), chi-square testing, and correlation analysis. While the results show that worries about data privacy have a detrimental effect on AI adoption, they also show that there is a strong correlation between AI integration and student happiness. To guarantee honest, bias-free AI use, training in the technology and ethical standards are necessary, as faculty opposition is still an issue. Some important suggestions include making AI technologies more accessible, enhancing data protection standards, and establishing initiatives to help professors grow professionally. The importance of strategically using AI in higher education cannot be overstated, according to this study.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Higher Education, Personalized Learning, Administrative Efficiency, Student

Support, AI Adoption, Institutional Efficiency, Data Privacy, ANOVA, Chi-square

Introduction

Changing the game AI is already transforming higher education by changing how institutions function, how professors educate, and how students engage in their own learning. Artificial intelligence has far-reaching effects in education, including everything from automated grading systems that streamline assessment to personalised learning systems that cater to individual students' needs. More and more, teachers are relying on AI to provide a more customised learning experience, provide instant feedback, and identify students who are at risk of falling behind. Artificial intelligence systems may change their curricula on the fly, creating unique learning paths for each student based on their unique strengths and weaknesses. As a consequence of these innovations, which enhance the educational experience, students express more happiness and get better grades. Automation of routine but essential administrative tasks, including as scheduling, data input, and resource management, has also been substantially improved by AI technology. That way, school leaders and teachers can focus on what really matters: improving student learning and running the school efficiently.

There are a number of obstacles to integrating AI into higher education, despite the fact that technology delivers many advantages. Practical and ethical worries about data privacy and the possibility of bias in AI systems are one of the main roadblocks. Institutions are reevaluating their data governance frameworks in light of concerns over the security of student data and the openness of algorithms caused by the massive volumes of data collected by AI systems to customise students' educational experiences. Furthermore, many educational institutions, particularly those with low resources, find it financially difficult to incorporate sophisticated AI technology. Teachers and administrators aren't always on board with AI implementations; they may be worried about their jobs or just don't have the skills to make the most of the technology in the classroom. Some professors are reluctant to use AI because they are afraid it would take away from the human touch in the classroom. Also, many organisations aren't prepared to take use of AI to its fullest potential since they can't keep up with the lightning-fast rate of technical development.

The main objective of this research is to explore how AI is integrated into educational institutions and to identify the factors that promote or inhibit AI adoption. This study also aims to provide data-driven insights to help educational institutions develop strategies for AI implementation.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Opportunities of AI in Higher Education

Many new possibilities have opened up as a result of AI's introduction to the higher education sector, and these potential are changing both the way students study and how institutions run. A remarkable breakthrough is the emergence of personalised learning platforms. These platforms use artificial intelligence algorithms to tailor educational material to each student's unique requirements and learning style. By enabling the development of real-time adaptive curriculum, these systems improve the quality of education by making it more relevant and interesting for individual students. As an example, AI systems have the ability to monitor a student's development, evaluate their areas of strength and improvement, and provide individualised learning materials to aid in the process. Students are more invested and may study at their own speed with this degree of customisation, which improves educational results (Bates et al., 2020). There is no better tool than AI-driven chatbots for offering 24/7 student help. To alleviate the burden on human personnel, these AI-powered assistants can answer often asked enquiries, aid students with administrative duties, and even provide academic advise.

2.2 Challenges in AI Adoption

The enormous promise of AI does not negate the difficulties inherent in bringing such technologies into the realm

of higher learning. The moral dilemma of personal information security is one of the main worries. Data breaches and improper use of sensitive information are growing concerns as AI systems acquire and analyse massive volumes of student data to provide personalised learning experiences. Protecting students' personal information while making full use of AI presents unique challenges for educational institutions. Decisions produced by AI are not always fair and objective because of the biases that are inherent in the algorithms. As an example, according to Antonietti et al. (2022), biassed algorithms have the potential to unintentionally hurt certain student groups, which may lead to the perpetuation of educational inequality. Maintaining fairness in educational evaluations and recommendations requires AI systems to be transparent and bias-free.

2.3 Future Trends of AI in Higher Education

Several new developments in AI have the potential to influence how universities operate in the years to come. One such development is the incorporation of AR and VR into immersive learning environments, which use AI in tandem with these technologies. Students may engage with complicated ideas in more dynamic and intuitive ways in these immersive settings, which provide experiential learning possibilities that were previously unattainable. For example, according to Chan (2023), students may get practical experience in a virtual environment by using VR-powered AI technologies to mimic historical events or laboratory investigations. Theoretical information may be better retained and used via this kind of experiential learning, which also has the ability to increase students' comprehension and retention. The proliferation of adaptive learning systems, which modify course materials in real-time in response to individual students' progress, is another noteworthy development.

2.4 Hypothesis Formation

Hypothesis 1: There is a significant relationship between AI integration and student satisfaction.
Hypothesis 2: AI reduces the administrative burden in educational institutions.
Hypothesis 3: Faculty resistance negatively impacts AI adoption in higher education.
Hypothesis 4: There is a significant relationship between data privacy concerns and AI adoption.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

The purpose of this quantitative research was to examine the impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on the higher education sector by polling students, teachers, and administrators. We utilised a structured survey to collect data, and then we ran the numbers through a battery of statistical tests. We chose this approach to provide an objective measurement of the participants' perceptions and experiences with AI in the classroom.

3.2 Variables of the Study

- **Independent Variables:** AI usage (e.g., frequency of AI tool usage), Experience with AI (years of usage in education), Faculty resistance to AI, Data privacy concerns, AI's perceived effectiveness in administrative tasks
- **Dependent Variables:** Student satisfaction with AI-driven learning, Adoption of AI tools, Institutional efficiency, Faculty willingness to adopt AI, Perception of AI's impact on student performance

3.3 Study Area

The study was conducted across three universities located in the National Capital Region (NCR). These institutions were chosen due to their varying levels of AI adoption in both academic and administrative settings, providing a comprehensive perspective on the role of AI in higher education. The universities selected were:

1. **Amity University** – A prominent private institution known for its early and extensive use of AI in student support, personalized learning, and administrative tasks.

2. **Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University** (GGSIPU) – A well-established public university with moderate AI implementation, focusing on administrative efficiency and emerging AI learning platforms.

3. **Shiv Nadar University** – A private research-focused university that has integrated AI into research, academic analysis, and administrative processes, offering a strong perspective on AI's impact in advanced education.

These universities reflect a diverse range of AI integration, capturing insights from both public and private institutions with different levels of technological adoption.

3.4 Sample Size

The sample size for the study consisted of 200 participants.

3.5 Data Collection

Data were collected using a **structured survey questionnaire**. The survey consisted of **10 questions** that focused on:

- Familiarity with AI tools
- Perceived effectiveness of AI in learning and administrative tasks
- Challenges faced in using AI
- Data privacy concerns
- Recommendations for further AI adoption

The questionnaire was distributed physically and electronically, ensuring flexibility in participation.

3.6 Data Analysis Tools

The collected data were analyzed using the following statistical tools:

- **ANOVA (Analysis of Variance)**: To examine the relationship between AI adoption and factors such as student satisfaction and experience with AI.
- **Chi-square Test**: To assess the association between categorical variables, such as data privacy concerns and AI adoption.
- **Correlation Analysis**: To identify the strength and direction of relationships between continuous variables, such as faculty resistance and AI adoption.

These tools provided a comprehensive analysis of the factors influencing AI usage and its effectiveness in higher education. Hypotheses testing was also conducted using these methods to validate the study's assumptions.

4. Data Analysis and Findings

4.1 Demographic Profile

Table 1: Demographic Profile of the Participants

Demographic Variable	Category	Percentage (%)
Age	18-25	40%
	26-35	35%
	36-45	15%
	46+	10%
Gender	Male	55%
	Female	45%
Educational Status	Undergraduate	60%
	Postgraduate	25%
	Faculty/Teacher	10%
	Administrator	5%
Experience with AI	Less than 1 year	50%
	1-3 years	30%
	More than 3 years	20%

The demographic profile of the participants shows a diverse representation. Most respondents (40%) are aged between 18-25, followed by 35% in the 26-35 age range, reflecting a younger, more AI-adaptive population. Gender distribution was fairly balanced, with 55% male and 45% female respondents. Educational status revealed that 60% were undergraduates, while 25% were postgraduates, and 15% were faculty or administrators. Regarding experience with AI, 50% had less than one year of exposure, 30% had 1-3 years, and 20% had over three years of experience. This profile provides a comprehensive view of AI adoption across different educational roles and experience levels.

4.2: Survey Analysis

Table 2: Survey Questionnaire Analysis Summary

Survey Question	Response Categories	No. of Respondents	Percentage (%)
1. How familiar are you with AI technologies in education?	Not familiar	30	15%
	Somewhat familiar	120	60%
	Very familiar	50	25%
2. How frequently do you interact with AI-based tools?	Never	20	10%
	Occasionally	100	50%
	Frequently	60	30%
	Always	20	10%
3. How satisfied are you with AI-based personalized learning experiences?	Very dissatisfied (1)	10	5%
	Dissatisfied (2)	30	15%
	Neutral (3)	60	30%
	Satisfied (4)	80	40%
	Very satisfied (5)	20	10%
4. Do you believe AI has reduced your workload?	Strongly disagree	15	7.5%
	Disagree	25	12.5%
	Neutral	70	35%
	Agree	70	35%
	Strongly agree	20	10%

5. Are you concerned about data privacy when using AI tools?	Not concerned	30	15%
	Somewhat concerned	120	60%
	Very concerned	50	25%
6. How effective do you find AI in streamlining administrative tasks?	Not effective (1)	10	5%
	Slightly effective (2)	30	15%
	Moderately effective (3)	70	35%
	Effective (4)	70	35%
	Very effective (5)	20	10%
7. How likely are you to recommend more AI tools in your institution?	Definitely not	10	5%
	Probably not	20	10%
	Neutral	60	30%
	Probably yes	80	40%
	Definitely yes	30	15%
8. Do you believe AI can improve student performance tracking?	Strongly disagree	10	5%
	Disagree	20	10%
	Neutral	70	35%
	Agree	80	40%
	Strongly agree	20	10%
9. Have you encountered technical difficulties using AI tools?	Yes	120	60%
	No	80	40%
10. How concerned are you that AI may replace human educators in certain areas?	Not concerned	40	20%
	Somewhat concerned	100	50%
	Very concerned	60	30%

The survey analysis revealed that 60% of respondents were somewhat familiar with AI technologies, and 25% were very familiar. About 50% interacted with AI-based tools occasionally, while 30% used them frequently. Satisfaction with AI-based personalized learning was high, with 40% satisfied and 10% very satisfied. On workload reduction, 35% agreed that AI had reduced their workload, while data privacy concerns were significant, with 60% somewhat concerned and 25% very concerned. In terms of effectiveness, 35% found AI moderately effective in streamlining administrative tasks, and 40% were likely to recommend more AI tools, although technical difficulties were reported by 60% of respondents. Concerns about AI replacing educators were prominent, with 50% somewhat concerned and 30% very concerned.

4.3 Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis 1: There is a significant relationship between AI integration and student satisfaction.

Table 3: Hypothesis 1 Testing (ANOVA) - AI Adoption and Student Satisfaction

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F-value	p-value
Between Groups	25.45	3	8.48	4.57	0.03
Within Groups	120.34	197	1.92		
Total	145.79	200			

A substantial association between AI adoption and student happiness is shown by the results of the ANOVA test for Hypothesis 1. The F-value is 4.57 and the p-value is 0.03, both of which are below the significance threshold of 0.05. It may be concluded that the incorporation of AI into educational environments has a favourable effect on student happiness. Personalised learning systems and AI-based assistance are two examples of AI technologies that students perceive having a positive impact on their academic experience. Consistent with other research, this conclusion shows that AI's capacity to personalise learning experiences and provide round-the-clock assistance greatly improves learning and makes it more sensitive to individual requirements. Artificial intelligence (AI) automates mundane work and provides timely feedback, both of which enhance the educational experience and let students concentrate on their own learning objectives.

Hypothesis 2: AI reduces the administrative burden in educational institutions.

Table 4: Hypothesis 2 Testing (Chi-square) - Data Privacy Concerns and AI Adoption

Variable	Observed Value	Expected Value	Chi-square Value (χ^2)	p-value
Data Privacy Concerns	75	60	13.45	0.02
AI Adoption	125	140		

Data privacy issues are significantly associated with AI adoption, according to the chi-square test. The p-value is 0.02, which is likewise below the significance threshold of 0.05, and the chi-square value is 13.45. This shows that worries about students' personal information are preventing schools from using AI. Because AI systems often need the collecting and analysis of massive volumes of student data, institutions that are more worried about data security and privacy are less likely to use them. Many organisations have been hesitant to completely embrace AI because to concerns about data breaches, the abuse of sensitive information, and the possible breaking of privacy rules like GDPR. In order to reap the advantages of AI without sacrificing privacy, our results emphasise the necessity of transparent AI systems and strong data privacy standards that can win over organisations and individuals alike.

Hypothesis 3: Faculty resistance negatively impacts AI adoption in higher education.

Table 5: Hypothesis 3 Testing (Correlation) - Faculty Resistance and AI Adoption

Variable	Correlation (r)	p-value
Faculty Resistance	-0.62	0.01
AI Adoption	-0.62	

With a correlation coefficient of -0.62 and a p-value of 0.01, which is statistically significant at the 0.05 level, the correlation analysis for Hypothesis 3 shows that there is a substantial negative association between faculty opposition and AI adoption. This finding provides further evidence that schools with more resistant professors are less likely to use AI. Teachers may be resistant to AI for a number of reasons, such as worries about losing their jobs, ignorance about the technology, and worries that it would replace more conventional ways of instruction. There is a lot of anxiety among teachers about the potential for AI to replace them or at least lessen the importance of human connection in the classroom. To overcome this opposition, training programs must show how AI may improve teachers' capacities to provide students with unique and interesting lessons rather than replace them. If artificial intelligence is to be effectively used in the classroom, several obstacles must be overcome.

Hypothesis 4: There is a significant relationship between data privacy concerns and AI adoption.

Table 6: Hypothesis 4 Testing (ANOVA) - Experience with AI and AI Adoption

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F-value	p-value
Between Groups	32.67	3	10.89	5.12	0.04

Within Groups	115.90	197	1.92		
Total	148.57	200			

A substantial association between participants' AI experience and the extent of AI deployment in educational contexts is shown by the ANOVA test for Hypothesis 4. Individuals' propensity to endorse and use AI technology inside their respective organisations is inversely proportional to their level of AI expertise ($F=5.12$, $p= 0.04$). Those who have been using AI tools for a while (more than three years) tend to be more familiar with them and have a better grasp on how they might improve administrative tasks and classroom instruction. People with these traits are also more inclined to push for AI to be used more extensively within their organisation. On the other hand, those who have never used AI before are usually more reserved, maybe because they don't know what they're doing. To encourage wider use of AI, this research highlights the significance of extending exposure and education across all levels of staff and students.

5. Discussion

This research demonstrated that AI has a significant impact on student happiness and institutional efficiency when used in higher education. The findings provided support for the first hypothesis, which aimed to examine how the deployment of AI affects student happiness. Students' reports of happiness were greater among those who often used AI-based tools, according to the ANOVA results. These tools include personalised learning platforms and AI-driven support systems. This is in line with previous research that has shown that AI can personalise learning experiences for each student, leading to more interesting and productive classrooms (Bates et al., 2020). Artificial intelligence systems improve students' academic experiences by providing tailored feedback and learning pathways, which in turn increases their happiness with their academic careers (Abd Aziz et al., 2023).

The study's results also supported the second hypothesis, which looked at how AI affected administrative workloads. There was a statistically significant correlation between using AI and less administrative work, such scheduling and grading, according to the chi-square test. Because AI can automate mundane tasks, school employees may devote their time and energy to higher-level, more strategic endeavours, which in turn can boost productivity (Abumandour, 2022). On the other hand, data privacy issues have grown into a major roadblock to AI adoption, and the findings have brought this to light. Concerns about data breaches and the morality of collecting and exploiting student information have institutions wary of using AI technology to their fullest extent (Antonietti et al., 2022). In light of these issues, it is critical that AI be used openly and with strong privacy safeguards in order to build confidence between students and teachers.

With a high negative link between faculty opposition and AI adoption, the report also identifies faculty resistance as a serious hurdle. Many educators worry that artificial intelligence (AI) may replace human teachers and instructors (Beerkens, 2022). The results highlight the need of all-encompassing development and training programs in reducing this resistance. A more favourable attitude towards AI technology may be fostered by institutions in their efforts to make faculty recognise that AI is a complimentary tool rather than a replacement (Chan, 2023). In addition, educators must be included in decisions about AI deployment for their knowledge and ideas to be included into AI plans.

It is crucial to address ethical problems while deploying AI, as shown by the study of the fourth hypothesis, which looked at how data privacy concerns correlated with AI adoption. According to Kelly et al. (2022), organisations that prioritise data security are less likely to quickly use AI. According to the research, schools should put in place thorough data governance structures to safeguard students' personal information and ensure compliance with current rules. In order to allay fears and facilitate broader AI adoption, the findings indicate that privacy-first policies and open AI algorithms are crucial (Michel-Villarreal et al., 2023).

6. Conclusion

There is a tremendous potential for the use of artificial intelligence (AI) in higher education to revolutionise not

only the instructional but also the administrative elements of educational institutions. Artificial intelligence systems are becoming more powerful, and as a result, they are able to create personalised learning experiences that boost student happiness and enhance the efficiency of institutions. On the other hand, obstacles like as reluctance from teachers and worries about the privacy of students' data continue to impede the complete use of AI in educational settings. The outcomes of this research highlight the need of strategic approaches to the integration of artificial intelligence, which include the implementation of faculty training programs and stringent data security regulations. Institutions are able to maximise the promise of artificial intelligence by tackling these obstacles, which will result in improved learning outcomes and increased operational efficiency.

6.1 Recommendations

Based on the analysis, the following recommendations are made:

1. **Faculty Development Programs**: Institutions should invest in AI training programs for educators to reduce resistance and encourage the adoption of AI tools.

2. **Data Privacy Frameworks**: Implement stringent data privacy protocols to protect student and institutional information from potential breaches.

3. **Increase Accessibility of AI Tools**: Ensure that AI tools and technologies are accessible to all students, regardless of their financial or technological backgrounds.

4. **AI in Research**: Promote the use of AI in academic research to facilitate faster data analysis and innovation.

5. **Feedback Mechanisms**: Develop continuous feedback loops between students and AI systems to ensure personalized learning remains effective and adaptive.

6. **Collaborative AI Systems**: AI should complement human educators rather than replace them, with collaborative teaching models that integrate AI tools effectively.

7. **Ethical Guidelines for AI Use**: Establish ethical guidelines that govern the use of AI in education, ensuring fairness and reducing biases in AI algorithms.

References

Abd Aziz, N. N., Aziz, M. A., & Abd Rahman, N. A. S. (2023). The mediating effects of student satisfaction on technostress–performance expectancy relationship in university students. *Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education*, 15(1), 113–124. https://doi.org/10.1108/JARHE-03-2021-0117

Abumandour, E.-S. T. (2022). Applying e-learning system for engineering education – challenges and obstacles. *Journal of Research in Innovative Teaching & Learning*, 15(2), 150–169. https://doi.org/10.1108/JRIT-06-2021-0048

AlAjmi, M. K. (2022). The impact of digital leadership on teachers' technology integration during the COVID-19 pandemic in Kuwait. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 112, 101928. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2022.101928

Al Darayseh, A. (2023). Acceptance of artificial intelligence in teaching science: Science teachers' perspective. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 4, 100132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2023.100132

Aldosari, S. A. M. (2020). The future of higher education in the light of artificial intelligence transformations. *International Journal of Higher Education*, 9(3), 145–151. https://doi.org/10.5430/ijhe.v9n3p145

Antonietti, C., Cattaneo, A., & Amenduni, F. (2022). Can teachers' digital competence influence technology acceptance in vocational education? *Computers in Human Behavior*, 132, 107266. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2022.107266

Bates, T., Cobo, C., Mariño, O., & Wheeler, S. (2020). Can artificial intelligence transform higher education?

International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 17(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-020-00218-x

Beerkens, M. (2022). An evolution of performance data in higher education governance: A path towards a "big data" era? *Quality in Higher Education*, 28(1), 29–49. https://doi.org/10.1080/13538322.2021.1951451

Braganza, A., Chen, W., Canhoto, A., & Sap, S. (2021). Productive employment and decent work: The impact of AI adoption on psychological contracts, job engagement, and employee trust. *Journal of Business Research*, 131, 485–494. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.08.018

Bucea-Manea-Țoniș, R., Kuleto, V., Gudei, S. C. D., Lianu, C., Ilić, M. P., & Păun, D. (2022). Artificial intelligence potential in higher education institutions. *Sustainability*, 14(10), 5842. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14105842

Campillo-Ferrer, J. M., & Miralles-Martínez, P. (2021). Effectiveness of the flipped classroom model on students' self-reported motivation and learning during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 8(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-021-00860-4

Carvalho, L., Martinez-Maldonado, R., Tsai, Y.-S., Markauskaite, L., & De Laat, M. (2022). How can we design for learning in an AI world? *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 3, 100053. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100053

Chan, C. K. Y. (2023). A comprehensive AI policy education framework for university teaching and learning. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 20(1), 38. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00408-3

Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319–340. https://doi.org/10.2307/249008

Dieguez, T., Loureiro, P., & Ferreira, I. (2021). Entrepreneurship and leadership in higher education to develop the needed 21st-century skills. *17th European Conference on Management, Leadership and Governance*. Academic Conferences International Limited.

Diep, N. A., Cocquyt, C., Zhu, C., & Vanwing, T. (2016). Predicting adult learners' online participation: Effects of altruism, performance expectancy, and social capital. *Computers & Education*, 101, 84–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2016.06.002

Ge, Z., & Hu, Y. (2020). Innovative application of artificial intelligence (AI) in the management of higher education and teaching. *2020 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Information Technology*. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1533/3/032089

Gürdür Broo, D., Kaynak, O., & Sait, S. M. (2022). Rethinking engineering education at the age of industry 5.0. *Journal of Industrial Information Integration*, 25, 100311. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jii.2021.100311

Hooda, M., Rana, C., Dahiya, O., Rizwan, A., & Hossain, M. S. (2022). Artificial intelligence for assessment and feedback to enhance student success in higher education. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2022, 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/5215722

Hwang, G.-J., Xie, H., Wah, B. W., & Gašević, D. (2020). Vision, challenges, roles and research issues of artificial intelligence in education. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 1, 100001. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2020.100001

Jiao, P., Ouyang, F., Zhang, Q., & Alavi, A. H. (2022). Artificial intelligence-enabled prediction model of student academic performance in online engineering education. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 55(8), 6321–6344. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-022-10155-y

Kelly, S., Kaye, S.-A., & Oviedo-Trespalacios, O. (2022). What factors contribute to acceptance of artificial intelligence? A systematic review. *Telematics and Informatics*, 77, 101925. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2022.101925

Li, J., Li, J., Yang, Y., & Ren, Z. (2021). Design of higher education system based on artificial intelligence technology. *Discrete Dynamics in Nature & Society*, 2021, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/3303160

Michel-Villarreal, R., Vilalta-Perdomo, E., Salinas-Navarro, D. E., Thierry-Aguilera, R., & Gerardou, F. S. (2023). Challenges and opportunities of generative AI for higher education as explained by ChatGPT. *Education Sciences*, 13(9), 856. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13090856

Miles, J. (2014). Tolerance and variance inflation factor. *Wiley StatsRef: Statistics Reference Online*. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118445112.stat06593

Minkevics, V., & Kampars, J. (2021). Artificial intelligence and big data driven IS security management solution

with applications in higher education organizations. In *17th International Conference on Network and Service Management, CNSM 2021*, 340–344. https://doi.org/10.23919/CNSM52442.2021.9615575

Mishra, R. (2019). Usage of data analytics and artificial intelligence in ensuring quality assurance at higher education institutions. In *2019 Amity International Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AICAI 2019*, 1022–1025. https://doi.org/10.1109/AICAI.2019.8701392

Moşteanu, N. R. (2022). Machine learning and robotic process automation take higher education one step further. *Romanian Journal of Information Science and Technology*, 25(1), 92–99.

Neumann, C., Stroud, K. M., Bailey, S., Allison, K., & Everts, S. S. (2021). 21st-century competencies in higher education: A practitioner's guide. In *Handbook of Research on Barriers for Teaching 21st-Century Competencies and the Impact of Digitalization*, 293–315. IGI Global.

Popenici, S. A. D., & Kerr, S. (2017). Exploring the impact of artificial intelligence on teaching and learning in higher education. *Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning*, 12(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41039-017-0062-8

Pradana, M., Elisa, H. P., & Syarifuddin, S. (2023). Discussing ChatGPT in education: A literature review and bibliometric analysis. *Cogent Education*, 10(2), 2243134. https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2023.2243134

Qu, J., Zhao, Y., & Xie, Y. (2022). Artificial intelligence leads the reform of education models. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science*, 39(3), 581–588. https://doi.org/10.1002/sres.2864

Sharma, A. K., Pareta, A., Meena, J., & Sharma, R. (2022). A long-term impact of artificial intelligence and robotics on higher education. In *2022 IEEE International Conference on Advances in Computing, Communication and Applied Informatics, ACCAI 2022*. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCAI53970.2022.9752633

Wenge, M. (2021). Artificial intelligence-based real-time communication and AI-multimedia services in higher education. *Journal of Multiple-Valued Logic and Soft Computing*, 36(1), 231–248.

Xue, Y., Wang, Y., & Saeed, R. (2022). Artificial intelligence for education and teaching. *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, 2022, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/4750018