Library Progress International
Vol.44 No. 3, Jul-Dec 2024: P. 11756-11761

Print version ISSN 0970 1052
Online version ISSN 2320 317X

Original Article

Available online at www.bpasjournals.com

Construction And Standardization Of Self-Regulation Scale

Ms.Vigneshwari J[1], Dr.P.Senthamizh Pavai [2]

[1]Research scholar, Dr.M.G.R.Educational and Research Institute, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India,
kaviviki94@gmail.com
[2]Assistant Professor, Dr.M.G.R.Educational and Research Institute, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India,
lakshanika39@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Vigneshwari J,P.Senthamizh Pavai (2024). Construction And Standardization Of Self-Regulation Scale. *Library Progress International*, 44(3), 11756-11761.

ABSTRACT
In the professional world, self-regulation is vital for career success. It equips students with the skills needed to manage their workload, meet deadlines, and continuously improve their performance. It is a critical skill for undergraduate students, significantly impacting their academic success and personal development. It enables students to set goals, monitor their progress, and adjusts strategies to achieve academic success, leading to better grades and a deeper understanding of the material. Effective time management, stress management, and adaptability are crucial, especially as students juggle coursework, part-time jobs, and extracurricular activities. This study aimed to develop and standardize a scale for measuring self-regulation among undergraduate students. A carefully designed scale was administered to a sample of 100 undergraduate students. The study included specific procedures to assess the reliability and validity of the inventory. The research focuses on the development process of this scale, emphasizing its effectiveness in evaluating self-regulation in undergraduate students

Keywords- self-regulation, standardization, reliability, validity

Introduction

Self-regulation is crucial in higher education due to its profound impact on students' academic success, personal development, and overall well-being. In the demanding environment of higher education, students must manage their time effectively, set realistic goals, and stay motivated. Self-regulation empowers students to take control of their learning process, enabling them to plan, monitor, and evaluate their academic activities. This leads to improved academic performance, as students can identify and implement effective study strategies and adjust them as needed. Moreover, self-regulation enhances students' ability to manage stress and maintain a healthy balance between academic and personal life. Higher education often presents numerous challenges, including rigorous coursework, deadlines, and the pressure to excel. Self-regulated students are better equipped to handle these challenges through effective coping mechanisms and resilience, reducing the risk of burnout and mental health issues.

Self-regulation fosters personal growth by encouraging reflection, understanding motivations, and developing resilience, which are essential for making informed decisions and setting realistic goals. This skill also facilitates the transition to independent learning, preparing students for lifelong learning and professional readiness. Additionally, self-regulation practices contribute to improved mental health by reducing anxiety and depression. Ultimately, fostering self-regulation among undergraduate students is essential for their academic achievements, personal growth, and overall well-being, equipping them to face the challenges of college life and beyond. In addition, self-regulation fosters lifelong learning and professional readiness. Students who develop self-regulatory skills are more likely to become autonomous learners, capable of pursuing knowledge and skills beyond the classroom. This adaptability is

essential in a rapidly changing job market, where continuous learning and self-improvement are crucial for career success.Furthermore, self-regulation promotes personal growth and self-awareness. Students learn to reflect on their actions, understand their motivations, and make informed decisions. This introspection leads to greater self-efficacy and confidence, which are important for personal and professional development.

Theoretical Foundations

Self-Regulation Theories:

Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory emphasizes the role of self-efficacy and observational learning in self-regulation. Individuals regulate their behavior through self-monitoring, self-judgment, and self-reaction.

Zimmerman's Model of self-regulated learning identifies three phases: forethought (planning and goal setting), performance (self-monitoring and strategy use), and self-reflection (self-evaluation and adaptation).

Review of literature

- Research shows that self-regulation skills are crucial for academic success. Studies by Pintrich and De Groot (1990) found a positive correlation between self-regulated learning strategies and academic achievement.
- Interventions that teach self-regulation strategies, such as goal setting, time management, and self-monitoring, have been shown to improve students' performance (Schunk & Ertmer, 2000).
- Schunk, D. H., & Ertmer, P. A. (2000). "Self-Regulation and Academic Learning: Self-Efficacy Enhancing Interventions"-This review is particularly useful for educators seeking evidence-based strategies to support student learning. The authors provide a clear link between self-efficacy, self-regulation, and academic success, offering practical recommendations for classroom application.
- Azevedo, R., & Cromley, J. G. (2004). "Does Training on Self-Regulated Learning Facilitate Students' Learning with Hypermedia?-This study addresses the growing relevance of digital literacy and the need for effective self-regulation in online learning environments. The authors' emphasis on the benefits of SRL training in hypermedia contexts is timely and applicable in today's increasingly digital education landscape.
- Efklides, A. (2011). "Interactions of Metacognition with Motivation and Affect in Self-Regulated Learning: The MASRL Model-Efklides' MASRL model provides a holistic view of self-regulated learning by incorporating cognitive, motivational, and emotional factors. This integrative approach offers a more comprehensive understanding of how students regulate their learning, making it valuable for both theory and practice.
- Winne, P. H., & Hadwin, A. F. (1998). "Studying as Self-Regulated Learning-Winne and Hadwin's work provides a detailed examination of studying from a self-regulation perspective. Their focus on the active role of students in managing their learning processes is crucial for developing effective educational strategies and interventions.

About standardizing a Tool

Standardizing a tool involves several key steps to ensure its consistency, reliability, and validity. Initially, it is crucial to clearly define the construct that the tool is intended to measure (DeVellis, 2016). This includes developing and refining items to align with this definition, often through expert feedback and pilot testing (Nunnally & Bernstein, 1994). Reliability is assessed using methods such as Cronbach's alpha for internal consistency, while validity is evaluated through content, construct, and criterion-related approaches (Wright & Masters, 1982). Additionally, standardized procedures for administering and interpreting the tool are established, and normative data is collected to provide benchmarks for score interpretation. Continuous review and revision ensure the tool remains effective and relevant (DeVellis, 2016).

Objectives of the study

- To construct a self-regulation scale.
- To standardize the constructed self-regulation scale.

Method of construction and standardization

Tool construction and standardization were carried out in the followingstages.

1. Planning
2. Construction
3. Standardization

Planning stage:

Developing a standardized scale is preparing a comprehensive plan, which varies based on the type of tool being created. Planning is crucial, particularly for constructingit requires meticulous preparation. Researchers must consider key aspects such as the target population, the content to be measured, the timing, and the method of measurement. Effective planning is not only important but essential in tool construction.

Furthermore, the plan should include a thorough review of existing literature to identify relevant variables and validated measures, ensuring the new tool builds on established knowledge. Researchers should also consider the format and structure of the tool. Additionally, practical considerations such as the length of the tool, the time required for respondents to complete it, and the ease of administration should be addressed to ensure respondent engagement and data quality.

With these considerations in mind, the researcher developed a self-regulation scale for undergraduate students with three dimensions. At this stage, the researcher plans to frame approximately 45-65 statements.

Construction stage:

The next phase is the construction of the tool, which involves preparing the items. This includes creating the items, writing clear directions for the items and their administration, outlining the scoring criteria, and developing a question-wise analysis chart. Each of these elements is crucial for ensuring the test's effectiveness and accuracy. The test items should be carefully crafted to accurately assess the intended constructs or skills, while the directions provide clarity and guidance to test takers. Additionally, clear instructions for administering and scoring the responses are essential for consistency and fairness. The question-wise analysis chart aids in organizing and analyzing the test results systematically, contributing to a comprehensive evaluation of test performance. Collectively, these preparations form the foundation of a well-constructed and reliable of tool.

Thus, the second step in test construction is the preparation of the test itself. At this stage, we have to prepare:

i) reviewing relevant tools
ii) framing of test items
iii) directions to test items
iv) directions for administration
v) directions for scoring
vi) question-wise analysis chart.

Standardization stage:

The tool consists of 50 statements under three dimensions with positive and negative items. The scoring procedures were too clearly determined and the scoring key was finalized.

The developed tool was submitted to experts for evaluation, during which they assessed its face and content validity. This review ensured that the tool appeared effective and relevant at a surface level (face validity) and comprehensively covered the intended content areas (content validity). Feedback from the experts was used to refine the tool, addressing any gaps or ambiguities to enhance its overall validity. This expert validation process is crucial in confirming that the tool accurately measures the intended constructs and is suitable for its intended purpose. Thus, after obtaining expert feedback, the number of items was reduced from 50 to 48.

Reliability of the tool

i) Pearson correlation coefficient (r)

To calculate Pearson's r:

1. Calculate the mean (average) of the initial scores and the follow-up scores.
2. Subtract the mean from each individual score to obtain the deviation scores for both initial and follow-up scores.
3. Multiply the deviation scores for each participant (initial deviation * follow-up deviation) and sum these products.
4. Square the deviation scores for the initial scores and follow-up scores separately and sum these squared deviations.

The formula for Pearson's (r):

$$r = \frac{\sum (X-\bar{X})(Y-\bar{Y})}{\sqrt{\sum (X-\bar{X})^2 \sum (Y-\bar{Y})^2}}$$

Where,
X-initial score
$\bar{X}$-mean of the initial score
Y- follow up score
$\bar{Y}$- mean of the follow-up score
A high Pearson's r (close to +1) indicates high test-retest reliability, meaning the tool produces stable and consistent results over time.

ii) Cronbach's Alpha (α)

Cronbach's alpha is a measure of internal consistency, which indicates how closely, related a set of items are as a group. It's commonly used to assess the reliability of a survey or test.

The formula for Cronbach's alpha is:

$$\alpha = \frac{N \times \bar{c}}{\bar{v} + (N-1) \times \bar{c}}$$

Where,

α = Cronbach's alpha

N = the number of items

$\bar{c}$ = the average covariance between item-pairs

$\bar{v}$ = the average variance of each item

Interpretation:

α ≥ 0.9: Excellent internal consistency

0.8 ≤ α < 0.9: Good internal consistency

0.7 ≤ α < 0.8: Acceptable internal consistency

0.6 ≤ α < 0.7: Questionable internal consistency

0.5 ≤ α < 0.6: Poor internal consistency

α < 0.5: Unacceptable internal consistency

During the pilot study, a reliability analysis of the items within each tool revealed that deleting certain items would increase the alpha value (as indicated by the 'alpha if item deleted' column). Additionally, the 'Corrected Item-Total Correlation' (point biserial) showed some negative values. The point biserial correlation measures item discrimination, with values of 0.2 and above generally considered acceptable. Based on these findings, the researcher modified or removed several items to improve the overall reliability of the tools."

Test-Retest Reliability Procedure

The following procedures were carried out and the reliability of the tool was calculated

To assess test-retest reliability, first, the researcher administers the scale to a sample of participants, ensuring they complete it under similar conditions to maintain consistency. After a four-week interval, re-administers the same scale to the same participants, again maintaining similar conditions to reduce variability due to external factors.

Both sets of scores are collected to calculate the Pearson correlation coefficient (r) between the two sets of scores. A high Pearson's r indicates strong test-retest reliability, demonstrating that the tool produces stable and consistent results over time.

Thus, the reliability of the inventory was found to be near 0.7, which indicates that the tool constructed is reliable.

Item Selection

According to Edwards (1957),'t' is a measure of the extent to which a given item differentiates between the high and low groups. If the 't' value is equal to or greater than 1.96, it indicates that the average response of the high and low groups to a statement differs significantly."

Table-1-'t' value of the items

Q.no	t-value	Accepted/ Rejected	Q.no	t-value	Accepted/ Rejected
1	2.734	Accepted	25	1.752	Rejected
2	1.356	Rejected	26	1.673	Rejected
3	1.841	Rejected	27	3.173	Accepted
4	1.985	Accepted	28	2.791	Accepted
5	1.650	Rejected	29	2.353	Accepted
6	1.985	Accepted	30	1.884	Rejected
7	1.288	Rejected	31	3.397	Accepted
8	3.298	Accepted	32	0.977	Rejected
9	3.564	Accepted	33	4.372	Accepted
10	0.206	Rejected	34	1.712	Rejected
11	1.924	Rejected	35	3.982	Accepted
12	3.468	Accepted	36	2.629	Accepted
13	3.714	Accepted	37	4.047	Accepted
14	3.367	Accepted	38	0.268	Rejected
15	1.408	Rejected	39	3.662	Accepted
16	1.330	Rejected	40	3.446	Accepted
17	3.054	Accepted	41	2.520	Accepted
18	3.239	Accepted	42	0.991	Rejected
19	4.054	Accepted	43	4.468	Accepted
20	1.806	Rejected	44	1.903	Rejected
21	1.848	Rejected	45	4.908	Accepted
22	2.651	Accepted	46	1.424	Rejected
23	1.515	Rejected	47	1.830	Rejected
24	1.525	Rejected	48	1.269	Rejected

The 't' values of all 48 items were calculated to select the items for the final draft. Out of these, 25 items had a 't' value greater than 1.96 and were retained, while the remaining 23 items were deleted. The selected items are listed in Table 1.

Conclusion

Self-regulation is crucial in higher education as it enhances academic performance, helps manage stress, supports lifelong learning, and promotes personal development (Zimmerman, 2002). Cultivating self-regulatory skills equips students to navigate the complexities of higher education and excel in their future careers (Pintrich, 2004). The study underscores the importance of standardizing tools to assess self-regulation, as this process supports research efforts and contributes to the development of evidence-based practices and policies that foster self-regulation (DeVellis, 2016). Standardized self-regulation assessments are essential for creating a supportive learning environment that empowers students to take control of their learning processes and achieve their academic and personal goals.

References

- Artino AR Jr, La Rochelle JS, Dong T, DeZee KJ. Developing questionnaires for educational research: AMEE Guide No. 87 [online]. Med Teach. 2014 [cited 2024 May 05];36(6):463-74. Available from: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3109/0142159X.2014.889814
- Azevedo, R., & Cromley, J. G. (2004). Does training on self-regulated learning facilitate students' learning with hypermedia? *Journal of Educational Psychology, 96*(3), 523-535.
- Bandura, A. (1991). Social cognitive theory of self-regulation. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 50(2), 248–287. https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90022-L
- DeVellis, R.F., 2016. Scale development: Theory and applications. 4th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Edwards AL. The social desirability variable in personality assessment and research. New York: Free Press; 1957.
- Hedeker D, Gibbons RD. Longitudinal data analysis [online]. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley; 2006 [cited 2024 Jun 19]. Available from: https://www.wiley.com/en-us/Longitudinal+Data+Analysis-p-9780471463795
- Kim, T.K., 2015. T test as a parametric statistic. Korean Journal of Anesthesiology, 68(6), pp.540-546. doi: 10.4097/kjae.2015.68.6.540.
- Ruxton, G.D., 2006. The unequal variance t-test is an underused alternative to Student's t-test and the Mann–Whitney U test. Behavioral Ecology, 17(4), pp.688-690.
- Schunk, D. H., & Ertmer, P. A. (2000). Self-regulation and academic learning: Self-efficacy enhancing interventions. *Handbook of Self-Regulation*, 631-649.
- Tavakol M, Dennick R. Making sense of Cronbach's alpha [online]. Int J Med Educ. 2011 [cited 2024 Jun 21];2:53-5. Available from: https://www.ijme.net/archive/2/cronbachs-alpha
- Pintrich, P. R. (2000). The role of goal orientation in self-regulated learning. *Handbook of Self-Regulation*, 451-502.
- Pintrich, P.R., 2004. A conceptual framework for assessing motivation and self-regulated learning in college students. Educational Psychology Review, 16(4), pp.385-407.
- Efklides, A. (2011). Interactions of metacognition with motivation and affect in self-regulated learning: The MASRL model. *Educational Psychologist, 46*(1), 6-25.
- Miller, R. B., & Brickman, S. J. (2004). A model of future-oriented motivation and self-regulation. *Educational Psychology Review, 16*(1), 9-33.
- Nunnally, J.C. and Bernstein, I.H., 1994. Psychometric theory. 3rd ed. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Winne, P. H., & Hadwin, A. F. (1998). Studying as self-regulated learning. In D. J. Hacker, J. Dunlosky, & A. C. Graesser (Eds.), *Metacognition in Educational Theory and Practice* (pp. 277-304). Routledge.
- Wright BD, Masters GN. Rating scale analysis: Rasch measurement [online . Chicago: MESA Press; 1982 [cited 2024 Jun 17]. Available from: https://www.rasch.org/rating_scale_analysis.htm
- Zimmerman, B. J. (2002). Becoming a self-regulated learner: An overview. *Theory into Practice, 41*(2), 64-70.
- Zimmerman, B. J. (2000). Attaining self-regulation: A social cognitive perspective. In M. Boekaerts, P. R. Pintrich, & M. Zeidner (Eds.), Handbook of self-regulation (pp. 13– 39). Burlington, MA: Academic Press.
- Zimmerman, S., Phelps, E., & Lerner, R. M. (2007). Intentional self-regulation in early adolescence: Assessing the structure of selection, optimization and compensations processes. The European Journal of Developmental Science, 1, 272–299.