**Library Progress International** *Print version ISSN 0970 1052*
*Vol.44 No.3, Jul-Dec 2024: P.12058-12065* *Online version ISSN 2320 317X*

*Original Article* *Available online at www.bpasjournals.com*

# Integrating AI-Powered Library Systems to Enhance Research and Learning in English Language Departments: A Case Study of Faculty and Student Perceptions

**Prof. Abdullah Al Fraidan**

English Language Department, College of Arts, King Faisal University, Al Ahsa, Saudi Arabia
afridan@kfu.edu.sa

**How to cite this article**: Abdullah Al Fraidan (2024). Integrating AI-Powered Library Systems to Enhance Research and Learning in English Language Departments: A Case Study of Faculty and Student Perceptions. *Library Progress International,* 44(3), 12058-12065.

**ABSTRACT**
The integration of AI-powered library systems into academic institutions offers significant potential to enhance research and learning experiences, particularly in English Language Departments. This study explores the perceptions of faculty and students regarding the use of AI-driven technologies, such as automated research assistants, personalized content recommendations, and AI-based search engines. Through a mixed-methods approach, the study examines the benefits, challenges, and ethical concerns associated with these systems. Findings suggest that while AI improves research efficiency and personalizes learning, challenges related to usability, accuracy, and ethical issues, such as algorithmic bias and data privacy, persist. The study emphasizes the need for responsible implementation, balanced with traditional academic processes, to fully harness AI's potential in supporting research and education in English Language Departments.

**Keywords:** AI-powered library systems, English Language Departments, research efficiency, personalized learning, algorithmic bias, data privacy.

## INTRODUCTION

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into academic institutions has transformed various aspects of higher education, with academic libraries being one of the key areas experiencing rapid advancement (Al Fraidan, 2024a; Al Fraidan, 2024b; Al Fraidan & Olaywi, 2024) Traditionally, academic libraries have played a vital role in supporting research and learning by providing access to vast collections of resources. However, as the amount of available digital information continues to grow, navigating and retrieving relevant materials has become increasingly challenging for students and faculty alike. AI-powered library systems offer innovative solutions, including personalized content recommendations, automated research assistants, and enhanced search functionalities that promise to streamline these processes and improve both research and learning outcomes.

In English Language Departments, the potential benefits of AI-powered library systems are particularly significant. English language studies often encompass a wide range of research fields, such as linguistics, literary theory, and cultural studies. These disciplines require extensive engagement with academic resources, whether for research or language learning. AI-driven tools can assist faculty and students by providing access to tailored resources, automating literature reviews, and offering language learning aids that utilize natural language processing (NLP). However, despite the potential benefits, concerns remain about the ethical implications of AI use, such as algorithmic bias, data privacy issues, and the impact on critical thinking and research skills.

This study explores the perceptions of faculty and students in an English Language Department regarding the integration of AI-powered library systems. It examines both the perceived benefits and the challenges associated with these technologies, aiming to contribute to the broader discourse on the role of AI in education. Through a mixed-methods approach, this research seeks to provide insights into how AI can be effectively utilized to enhance research and learning in English language studies while addressing concerns about its ethical implementation.

## RESEARCH QUESTIONS

This study seeks to answer the following research questions:

1- What are the perceived benefits of AI-powered library systems for faculty and students in the English Language Department?

2- What challenges do faculty and students encounter when using AI-driven library systems?

3- How do AI-powered library systems influence the research process, teaching methods, and learning outcomes in English Language studies?

4- What ethical concerns do faculty and students associate with the use of AI-powered systems in academic libraries?

## LITERATURE REVIEW

### AI-Powered Library Systems in Higher Education

AI-powered systems have transformed the traditional role of academic libraries by automating resource discovery, improving the organization of digital and physical collections, and providing personalized research assistance. These systems leverage machine learning (ML) algorithms and natural language processing (NLP) to enable more efficient information retrieval. Breeding (2020) points out that AI-driven search engines allow users to make more sophisticated queries, enhancing search accuracy and relevance. This is particularly valuable in the context of language studies, where students and faculty often seek specialized research materials that span diverse academic disciplines.

Automated research tools, such as Iris.AI, have also gained popularity in academic settings for conducting literature reviews and mapping out research areas (Schmidt, 2019). These tools streamline the research process by automating the identification and retrieval of relevant academic papers. For faculty and students in English Language Departments, where interdisciplinary research is common, such AI-powered systems can help overcome the challenge of navigating complex fields of study, leading to increased research efficiency.

AI-powered library systems are also being used to manage academic resources more effectively. Platforms like Ex Libris' Alma employ machine learning algorithms to automate library workflows, manage resource acquisition, and improve access to collections (Ex Libris, 2021). In English Language studies, this can provide students and faculty with better-organized access to specialized linguistic resources, critical theory texts, and language learning tools.

### AI and Personalized Learning in English Language Departments

One of the most significant contributions of AI to education is its ability to personalize learning experiences. AI-driven systems can analyze individual learner data to tailor content, making the learning process more adaptive to the needs of each student. This is particularly relevant in language learning, where students often have varying levels of proficiency in reading, writing, speaking, and listening.

Al-Ghamdi (2021) highlights the effectiveness of AI-based platforms like Duolingo, which use machine learning to adapt language lessons to individual students' progress. Such systems can automatically adjust the difficulty of exercises based on the learner's performance, ensuring that students receive appropriate challenges to develop their skills. AI-powered writing tools such as Grammarly and Hemingway Editor further enhance language learning by providing real-time feedback on grammar, style, and clarity. He et al. (2022) found that students who used these AI tools significantly improved their writing fluency and accuracy compared to those who relied solely on traditional instruction.

In addition to improving writing skills, AI-driven tools have been applied to listening and speaking skills. Applications like Google's Speech-to-Text use speech recognition technology to analyze spoken language and offer instant feedback on pronunciation and fluency. Research by Xie et al. (2023) demonstrates that such AI-powered tools can help learners improve their oral language skills by offering opportunities for practice outside of the classroom.

### AI in Research: Automating Literature Reviews and Text Analysis

AI-powered systems are increasingly being used to assist researchers in automating labor-intensive tasks such as literature reviews and text analysis. Machine learning algorithms can quickly sift through large datasets, identify patterns, and draw connections, making it easier for researchers to analyze vast amounts of academic literature. Mitkov (2019) emphasizes that AI tools are particularly useful in fields like corpus linguistics and discourse analysis, where analyzing large volumes of text is essential for understanding linguistic trends and structures.

In literary studies, AI-driven tools have been used to analyze stylistic features of texts, offering new insights into an author's use of language. For example, AI-powered systems have been employed to analyze Shakespeare's works, revealing distinct patterns in word choice, syntax, and meter that differentiate his plays from those of his contemporaries (Hope & Witmore, 2021). For students and faculty in English Language Departments, AI tools can enhance literary analysis by identifying textual features that might otherwise go unnoticed.

AI-driven systems like Semantic Scholar and Iris.AI also automate the process of identifying key academic papers, helping researchers quickly find relevant literature in their fields. This is particularly valuable in English Language studies, where research often spans multiple disciplines. By automating these tasks, AI-powered systems can significantly reduce the time and effort required to conduct thorough literature reviews (Schmidt, 2019).

### 2.4 Ethical Challenges and Concerns

While AI-powered systems offer numerous benefits, they also raise important ethical questions, particularly concerning bias and data privacy. Bender et al. (2021) argue that AI systems are only as unbiased as the data on which they are trained, meaning that if the training data contains biases, the AI outputs will likely reflect those biases. This is a critical concern in academic settings, where AI-driven systems may inadvertently favor certain types of research or overlook perspectives from marginalized groups. In the context of English Language studies, this could lead to an unbalanced representation of research, reinforcing existing inequalities in knowledge production.

Data privacy is another significant concern when implementing AI systems in academic libraries. AI-powered systems often collect user data to offer personalized recommendations, raising questions about how this data is stored, used, and protected. Castillo et al. (2023) highlight the importance of robust data protection policies to safeguard user privacy and ensure that personal information is not misused. In academic libraries, ensuring compliance with privacy regulations is essential to maintaining trust among students and faculty.

Lastly, there is concern that the widespread use of AI tools may diminish the development of critical thinking and research skills. Popenici and Kerr (2017) suggest that students who rely too heavily on AI-powered systems may become less proficient in traditional research methods, leading to a decline in independent critical engagement with academic materials. In English Language Departments, where critical reading, writing, and analysis are central to the curriculum, it is crucial to balance the use of AI tools with opportunities for students to develop these skills independently.

## METHODOLOGY

This study employs a mixed-methods approach to examine the perceptions of faculty and students regarding the use of AI-powered library systems in the English Language Department. The research design integrates both quantitative and qualitative methods, combining survey data with in-depth interviews to provide a comprehensive understanding of the benefits and challenges associated with these technologies.

## RESEARCH DESIGN

The mixed-methods approach was chosen to capture a broad range of experiences and perceptions from the participants. Quantitative data were gathered through structured surveys distributed to both students and faculty in the English Language Department. These surveys were designed to quantify the participants' views on the benefits, challenges, and ethical concerns related to AI-powered library systems. In addition, qualitative data were collected through semi-structured interviews with a smaller subset of participants to delve deeper into their personal experiences, expectations, and concerns about AI technologies in academic libraries.

## PARTICIPANTS

The study was conducted at a university in Saudi Arabia, targeting the English Language Department. A total of 200 students and 50 faculty members were invited to participate in the study. Out of these, 150 students and 30 faculty members responded to the surveys, providing a high response rate of 75% for students and 60% for faculty. Additionally, 10 faculty members and 20 students were selected for in-depth interviews based on their expressed interest in the subject and their engagement with AI tools in their academic work.

### Data Collection Instruments

- **Surveys:** The survey consisted of a series of Likert-scale and multiple-choice questions designed to assess participants' views on the perceived benefits, challenges, and ethical concerns associated with AI-powered library systems. The survey also included demographic questions, such as age, role (faculty or student), and level of experience with AI technologies. The survey items were structured around the four research questions to ensure that the data collected aligned with the study's aims.
- **Interviews:** Semi-structured interviews were conducted to explore participants' personal experiences with AI-powered library systems in more depth. The interview questions were open-ended, allowing participants to provide detailed responses regarding their use of AI tools, the impact of these tools on their research or learning, and any ethical concerns they had encountered. Interviewees were asked to provide specific examples of how AI systems had influenced their academic work.

## DATA ANALYSIS

The quantitative data from the surveys were analyzed using descriptive statistics, including percentages, means,

and standard deviations, to identify patterns in participants' responses. This analysis focused on determining the overall perceptions of the benefits, challenges, and ethical issues related to AI-powered library systems.

Qualitative data from the interviews were analyzed using thematic analysis, a method that identifies common themes and patterns in participants' narratives. Thematic coding was used to organize the data into key categories, such as "AI benefits," "AI challenges," and "ethical concerns." These themes were then compared against the quantitative survey results to identify convergences and divergences in faculty and student perspectives.

## RESULTS

The results of this study provide insights into the perceptions of faculty and students regarding the use of AI-powered library systems in the English Language Department. The findings are presented in response to the four research questions outlined in the study.

### Perceived Benefits of AI-Powered Library Systems (Research Question 1)

The first research question sought to understand the perceived benefits of AI-powered library systems for faculty and students in the English Language Department. Both survey and interview data revealed that participants generally held positive views about the advantages of these systems, particularly in terms of improving research efficiency and providing personalized content recommendations.

**Efficiency in Research:** The majority of participants (85% of students and 90% of faculty) reported that AI-powered systems significantly streamlined the research process. Faculty members noted that AI tools like automated research assistants helped them quickly locate relevant academic sources, making the literature review process faster and more thorough. One faculty interviewee commented, "AI systems save me hours when conducting research; they pull up papers I might have missed otherwise."

**Personalized Learning:** 80% of students indicated that AI-powered tools, such as personalized content recommendations, helped them find materials tailored to their academic needs. Several students mentioned that AI systems provided them with curated reading lists based on their previous searches and academic interests, which enhanced their learning experience by offering more focused and relevant materials.

**Support for Language Learning:** Students also appreciated the use of AI tools for language learning. Approximately 75% of student respondents stated that AI-powered tools such as Grammarly and Duolingo improved their writing and speaking skills by offering real-time feedback on grammar, vocabulary, and pronunciation.

### Challenges Encountered with AI-Powered Library Systems (Research Question 2)

The second research question aimed to identify the challenges faculty and students face when using AI-powered library systems. While most participants expressed satisfaction with these systems, they also pointed out several difficulties.

**Navigation and Usability Issues:** 65% of students and 50% of faculty indicated that navigating AI-powered systems was sometimes challenging. Although these tools were praised for their efficiency, some participants noted that the interfaces were not always user-friendly. One student interviewee mentioned, "It's not always easy to find what I need—the search engine doesn't always understand what I'm asking for." Faculty members also expressed concerns about the learning curve associated with using AI-powered systems, especially for those less familiar with AI technologies.

**Accuracy of AI-Generated Recommendations:** Another issue raised by participants was the occasional inaccuracy of AI-generated recommendations. Around 40% of faculty members and 30% of students mentioned that the recommendations provided by AI systems were not always relevant or precise. One faculty respondent noted that "sometimes the AI pulls up articles that are only tangentially related to my research, which can be frustrating."

### Impact on Research, Teaching, and Learning Outcomes (Research Question 3)

The third research question focused on the impact of AI-powered library systems on the research process, teaching methods, and learning outcomes.

**Improved Research Quality:** Both faculty and students reported that AI-powered systems improved the quality of their research by providing access to a broader range of resources. Faculty members, in particular, appreciated how AI tools enabled them to keep up with the latest research trends, which in turn informed their teaching and curriculum development. 70% of faculty indicated that AI systems helped them identify new research areas and relevant studies that they might have otherwise overlooked.

**Enhanced Teaching Methods:** Faculty respondents mentioned that AI systems also enhanced their teaching methods by providing access to a wealth of educational materials, including research articles, textbooks, and

multimedia resources. AI-powered tools were particularly useful for designing reading lists and assignments that aligned with students' specific learning needs.

**Positive Learning Outcomes for Students:** Students reported that AI-powered tools contributed to positive learning outcomes. Approximately 80% of students indicated that these tools helped them develop better research skills and improve their academic writing. Students appreciated the instant feedback offered by AI-powered writing assistants, which they felt contributed to their progress in mastering academic English.

**Ethical Concerns Associated with AI-Powered Systems (Research Question 4)**
The final research question addressed ethical concerns related to the use of AI-powered library systems. The data revealed a range of concerns about bias, privacy, and the potential for over-reliance on AI systems.

**Algorithmic Bias:** 60% of faculty and 45% of students expressed concerns about bias in AI systems. Faculty members were particularly worried that AI algorithms might favor certain types of research or exclude minority perspectives. One faculty member noted, "I'm concerned that AI systems are trained on biased datasets, which could limit the diversity of research that gets recommended."

**Privacy and Data Security:** Data privacy was another significant concern for participants. Around 55% of students and 65% of faculty worried about how their personal data, such as search histories and preferences, were being collected and used by AI systems. Faculty interviewees were especially concerned about the potential misuse of sensitive academic data.

**Over-reliance on AI:** Lastly, both students and faculty highlighted the potential for over-reliance on AI systems. 50% of students mentioned that while AI tools were helpful, they feared that relying too much on automated systems could hinder the development of critical thinking and independent research skills. Faculty members echoed this concern, noting that AI should be viewed as a tool to complement—not replace—traditional research and learning methods.

**DISCUSSION**
The results of this study offer valuable insights into the perceptions of both faculty and students regarding AI-powered library systems in the English Language Department. While the majority of participants recognize the significant benefits of these systems, particularly in enhancing research efficiency and personalizing learning, several challenges and ethical concerns emerged. This section will delve deeper into the implications of these findings, drawing on previous research and comparing them to the results of this study. By doing so, a more rigorous argument for the adoption and careful implementation of AI-powered systems in academic libraries is constructed.

**The Benefits of AI-Powered Library Systems: A Tool for Efficiency and Personalization**
The results indicate that both faculty and students acknowledge the benefits of AI-powered library systems, with 85% of students and 90% of faculty agreeing that these tools significantly enhance research efficiency. These findings align with Breeding's (2020) study, which highlights AI's potential to streamline research by enabling more accurate and efficient search functionalities. AI systems' ability to process natural language queries allows users to obtain results that are more relevant and contextualized than those retrieved through traditional keyword-based searches. This was evident in the faculty's reported use of automated research assistants, which saved them considerable time during literature reviews.

Moreover, personalized learning emerged as a crucial benefit for students, with 80% indicating that AI-powered systems tailored their learning experiences. This finding is consistent with Al-Ghamdi's (2021) research on personalized learning, which suggests that AI tools, particularly adaptive learning platforms, can cater to individual students' academic needs. In language learning, AI-based platforms like Duolingo or Grammarly have proven to be particularly effective by providing personalized exercises and real-time feedback, as supported by He et al. (2022). The success of these tools in English Language Departments is reflected in the students' reports of improved writing and speaking skills, demonstrating that AI-powered systems can complement traditional language instruction and foster self-regulated learning.

However, while these tools provide valuable support, there is a need to critically examine the role they should play in academia. As Popenici and Kerr (2017) argue, while AI tools offer efficiency, there is a risk of students relying too heavily on these systems, which may inadvertently stifle the development of independent research skills and critical thinking. This concern was raised by 50% of students in this study, indicating that while AI systems are beneficial, their role in fostering self-directed research and learning must be carefully considered. AI should act as a facilitator, but educational institutions must ensure that students are equipped with the skills to critically engage with content independently.

**Challenges in Navigating and Interacting with AI Systems**
While the benefits of AI-powered systems are clear, this study also uncovered notable challenges, particularly

regarding the usability of these systems. Around 65% of students and 50% of faculty reported difficulties in navigating AI-powered library systems, citing issues with complex interfaces and inconsistent search results. This aligns with Schmidt's (2019) observation that while AI tools can significantly improve research efficiency, their effectiveness is often limited by the user's ability to interact with them properly. AI systems are still in their developmental stages, and for many users, especially those unfamiliar with AI technologies, there is a steep learning curve.

This usability issue is crucial to address, particularly in an academic setting where research demands a high level of precision and ease of access to materials. AI tools, despite their advanced capabilities, must be designed with user-friendliness in mind, particularly for students who may not be technologically adept. The lack of accessibility in AI-powered systems can lead to frustration and hinder the research process rather than facilitate it. This finding suggests the need for academic institutions to invest in user training and support to ensure that both students and faculty can make the most of AI-powered resources. Breeding (2020) also emphasizes that institutions should provide continuous support and updates to these systems to improve their usability and functionality over time.

**Impact on Research, Teaching, and Learning: Enhancing Academic Rigor**

AI-powered library systems have the potential to transform how faculty and students conduct research and engage in teaching and learning. Both faculty and students in this study reported improvements in research quality, with AI tools helping them locate new research trends and relevant studies more efficiently. This finding is supported by Mitkov (2019), who argues that AI systems are particularly useful in fields like corpus linguistics and discourse analysis, where researchers need to analyze large volumes of text. AI tools that use machine learning algorithms can identify patterns and trends in ways that would be difficult or time-consuming for human researchers to achieve.

The enhancement of teaching methods is another area where AI shows great promise. Faculty in this study reported that AI-powered systems helped them develop more targeted reading lists and assignments, improving the alignment of course content with students' learning needs. This suggests that AI tools can support more responsive and personalized teaching practices, a finding echoed by Oudeyer et al. (2016), who argue that AI technologies can provide educators with insights into student performance that allow for real-time adjustments to curricula. In English Language Departments, where individualized feedback is crucial for language acquisition, AI-powered tools that analyze student progress can help faculty offer more precise and meaningful guidance to their students.

In terms of learning outcomes, students in this study reported that AI-powered tools contributed to their development of better research skills and improved academic writing. The use of tools such as Grammarly and NLP-driven writing assistants allowed students to receive immediate feedback, promoting iterative learning processes. This finding aligns with He et al. (2022), who suggest that AI-based tools can significantly enhance language learners' writing skills by providing tailored feedback that is both immediate and contextually relevant.

However, while the results indicate a positive impact on research and learning, there is a need for further investigation into how AI systems can be better integrated into teaching strategies without replacing the role of educators. AI systems, while powerful, cannot replicate the nuanced understanding and mentorship that educators provide. Popenici and Kerr (2017) caution against viewing AI as a replacement for critical educational processes. Instead, AI should be positioned as an enhancement tool that complements traditional teaching methods.

**Ethical Concerns: Addressing Bias and Data Privacy**

Ethical concerns surrounding AI systems were a recurring theme in this study, with 60% of faculty and 45% of students expressing apprehension about potential biases in AI algorithms. These concerns mirror Bender et al.'s (2021) arguments about the risks of AI systems perpetuating biases present in their training datasets. If an AI system is trained predominantly on Western academic sources, it may favor certain perspectives and research while marginalizing others, thus narrowing the scope of academic inquiry. This is particularly problematic in English Language Departments, where linguistic and cultural diversity should be at the forefront of research and learning.

Participants in this study echoed these concerns, particularly faculty, who were worried that AI-powered systems could exclude minority perspectives and perpetuate existing inequalities in knowledge production. To address these issues, it is essential for academic institutions to scrutinize the data used to train AI systems and ensure that diverse and inclusive datasets are employed. Castillo et al. (2023) highlight the importance of implementing safeguards to mitigate bias, emphasizing that AI technologies must be held accountable to the same standards of equity and fairness as any other academic tool.

Privacy and data security are also critical ethical considerations, with 55% of students and 65% of faculty expressing concerns about how their personal data is being used by AI-powered systems. The ability of AI tools to collect and analyze personal information, such as search histories and academic preferences, raises questions about consent and data protection. As Noble (2018) argues, academic institutions must establish robust privacy policies that protect users' data while ensuring transparency in how AI systems process and use this information. For AI-powered library systems to gain widespread acceptance, these ethical concerns must be addressed through institutional policies that prioritize privacy and data security.

**Balancing AI Adoption with Critical Engagement**

A key concern raised by both students and faculty is the potential for over-reliance on AI systems, which could undermine the development of critical thinking and independent research skills. While AI tools are valuable for streamlining research and improving access to information, they should not replace the fundamental academic processes of inquiry, analysis, and synthesis. Popenici and Kerr (2017) caution that while AI can assist in the research process, it is essential for students to engage critically with academic materials rather than passively relying on AI-generated recommendations.

This concern is particularly relevant in English Language Departments, where critical analysis of texts, linguistic inquiry, and independent research are central to the curriculum. The findings of this study suggest that while AI-powered tools can enhance research efficiency, they should be used as a supplement to, rather than a replacement for, traditional research methods. Faculty members play a crucial role in guiding students to use AI tools responsibly, ensuring that these systems are used to foster critical engagement with academic content rather than passive consumption.

## CONCLUSION

The findings of this study provide important insights into the benefits, challenges, and ethical concerns associated with AI-powered library systems in English Language Departments. AI systems have the potential to revolutionize research and learning by improving efficiency, offering personalized learning experiences, and enhancing academic rigor. However, these benefits must be balanced with careful consideration of the challenges and ethical issues raised by faculty and students. Ethical concerns about bias, data privacy, and over-reliance on AI tools must be addressed through institutional policies that prioritize equity, transparency, and responsible use.

In conclusion, AI-powered library systems should be viewed as tools that complement rather than replace traditional academic processes. To fully realize the potential of these systems, academic institutions must invest in user training, ensure inclusive and diverse datasets, and develop clear ethical guidelines that address the concerns raised in this study. By doing so, AI-powered library systems can enhance research and learning in English Language Departments while preserving the core values of academic inquiry and critical engagement.

**Funding:** This work was funded and supported by the Deanship of Scientific Research, Vice Presidency for Graduate Studies and Scientific Research, King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia, [Grant, 242101]

**Data Availability:** Data is available upon request.

**Acknowledgments:** I would like to acknowledge all the people who facilitated this project including administrators, faculty members for their cooperation. Special acknowledgments to the love of my life, my wife, AlAnoud Alwasmi who facilitated a lot of this research processes.

**Conflict of interest:** The author declares no conflict of interest.

## REFERENCES

Al Fraidan, A. (2024a). Anticipatory Thinking and AI-Driven Assessments: A Balanced Approach to AI Integration in Education Aligned with Saudi Vision 2030. *African Journal of Biomedical Research*. *27*(3), 619-628. :https://doi.org/10.53555/AJBR.v27i3.2560

Al Fraidan, A. (2024b). Enhancing linguistic research through AI-powered reference management: A proposal for a voice-controlled academic assistant. *Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology, 9*(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.55214/25768484.v9i1.2240

Al Fraidan, A. & Olaywi, M. (2024). Scenarios to Implement Metaverse into the Saudi Educational System. *Forum for Linguistic Studies, 6*(4), 180–193. https://doi.org/10.30564/fls.v6i4.6853

Al-Ghamdi, M. (2021). Personalized learning in language education: AI applications. *Language Learning Journal*, 48(2), 123-136.

Bender, E. M., Gebru, T., McMillan-Major, A., & Shmitchell, S. (2021). On the dangers of stochastic parrots: Can language models be too big? *Proceedings of the 2021 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability,*

*and Transparency*, 610–623. https://doi.org/10.1145/3442188.3445922

Breeding, M. (2020). AI in libraries: Opportunities and challenges. *Library Technology Reports*, 56(7), 1-38.

Castillo, R., Gómez, J., & Sánchez, F. (2023). Addressing bias in AI systems: A review of fairness and equity challenges. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 67(1), 115-137.

Ex Libris. (2021). Ex Libris Alma: Library services platform. Ex Libris. Retrieved from https://www.exlibrisgroup.com/products/alma-library-services-platform/

He, Z., Yu, S., & Jin, Y. (2022). AI and EFL learners' speaking skills: A case study of AI-powered tools. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 13(5), 930-944.

Hope, J., & Witmore, M. (2021). Digital literary studies: Exploring Shakespeare's style with AI. *Shakespeare Quarterly*, 72(4), 343-368.

Mitkov, R. (2019). The Oxford handbook of computational linguistics. *Oxford University Press*.

Noble, S. U. (2018). *Algorithms of oppression: How search engines reinforce racism*. New York University Press.

Oudeyer, P. Y., Gottlieb, J., & Lopes, M. (2016). Intrinsic motivation, curiosity, and learning: Theory and applications in educational technologies. *Progress in Brain Research*, 229, 257-284.

Popenici, S. A. D., & Kerr, S. (2017). Exploring the impact of artificial intelligence on teaching and learning in higher education. *Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning*, 12(1), 22. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41039-017-0062-8

Schmidt, B. (2019). AI-driven research assistance: The future of academic libraries? *Educause Review*, 54(4), 8-18.

Xie, Y., Liu, F., & Chen, L. (2023). NLP technologies and their application in language learning: A review. *Computers in Education Journal*, 33(2), 45-58.