Library Progress International
Vol.44 No.3, Jul-Dec 2024: P. 22981-22990

Print version ISSN 0970 1052
Online version ISSN 2320 317X

Original Article

Available online at www.bpasjournals.com

Enhancing Architectural pedagogy: Integrating Virtual Reality in Design studios

Palak Patel[1], Dr. Safiullah Khan[2]

[1]Research Scholar, Faculty of Architecture Planning and Design, Integral University, Lucknow (U.P.), India
[2]Professor and Head, Faculty of Architecture Planning and Design, Integral University, Lucknow (U.P.), India
Corresponding: ar.palakpatel@gmail.com, headarch@iul.com

How to cite this article: Palak Patel,Safiullah Khan (2024). Enhancing Architectural pedagogy: Integrating Virtual Reality in Design studios. *Library Progress International,* 44(3), 22981-22990.

ABSTRACT

This paper investigates the integration of Virtual Reality (VR) into architectural pedagogy, focusing on its impact within design studios. VR allows students to engage with their designs in immersive 3D environments, offering a more intuitive and interactive experience compared to traditional methods like 2D drawings or physical models. The study examines how VR enhances spatial understanding, creativity, and student engagement, which are critical components in architectural education. Through a combination of literature review and empirical research, the findings indicate that VR significantly improves students' ability to comprehend complex spatial relationships, encourages creative exploration, and fosters more dynamic design iterations. However, despite these benefits, challenges such as high costs, technical difficulties, and a steep learning curve for both educators and students pose obstacles to widespread adoption. The study also highlights the need for better integration between VR and existing design tools such as Building Information Modeling (BIM) to create a seamless design workflow. To maximize VR's potential, educational institutions must invest in both the technology and training required to support its implementation. Overall, the research concludes that with proper investment, VR can revolutionize architectural education, offering a more engaging, interactive, and effective learning environment that better prepares students for the challenges of real-world architectural practice.

Keywords: Virtual Reality, Architectural Pedagogy, Design Studios, Spatial Understanding, Creativity, Immersive Learning, Building Information Modeling (BIM), Student Engagement, Iterative Design, Architectural Education

1. Introduction

The realm of architectural education, especially within design studios, has traditionally depended on experiential learning methodologies, enabling students to immerse themselves in a cyclical journey of conceptualising, evaluating, and honing their creative visions. Traditionally, this procedure has relied on established techniques, including the development of tangible models, illustrations, and two-dimensional technical schematics. These methods assisted learners in picturing and articulating their concepts, yet they also presented specific constraints, especially regarding the representation of intricate spatial connections or the exploration of shapes in three-dimensional space. With the progression of technology, innovations such as Building Information Modelling (BIM) and Computer-Aided Design (CAD) started to revolutionise the teaching of architecture, offering enhanced accuracy, adaptability, and cooperative design approaches (Milgram et al., 1995).

Nonetheless, despite these progressions, the design studio's capacity for creativity persisted in its evolution. Step into the realm of Virtual Reality (VR), a transformative technology that has dramatically altered the terrain of architectural education. Virtual reality allows learners to engage with their creations within a completely immersive, three-dimensional setting, enabling them to perceive environments as if they were actually there. This feature provides considerable benefits compared to conventional design techniques, enabling learners to grasp spatial connections, ratios, and visual appeal from a human-centric viewpoint. Moreover, virtual reality enables immediate modifications and exploration, enriching the cyclical process fundamental to architectural learning (Kharvari & Kaiser, 2022).

This paper aims to investigate the incorporation of virtual reality within architectural design studios and evaluate its influence on the educational achievements of students. By integrating a thorough examination of existing literature with hands-on research, this investigation aims to assess the advantages that virtual reality brings to the field of architectural education, especially in improving learners' spatial awareness, imaginative capabilities, and overall involvement. Furthermore, the document explores the difficulties and constraints linked to the integration of VR technology within design studios and suggests approaches to overcome these hurdles for enhanced execution.

2. Literature Review

The Evolution of Architectural Pedagogy and Technology

The field of architectural education has undergone remarkable transformation in recent decades, especially as a result of progress in digital technology. Classic design studios, central to architectural education, prioritised tangible models, hand-crafted illustrations, and two-dimensional depictions. The techniques employed were crucial for communicating design ideas; however, they frequently restricted the learner's capacity to comprehensively grasp spatial dynamics and the practical consequences of their creations (Safikhani et al., 2022). The emergence of Computer-Aided Design (CAD) during the 1980s and the advent of Building Information Modelling (BIM) in the 2000s signified a remarkable advancement in the realm of architectural education. These instruments equipped learners with enhanced accuracy, adaptability, and the capacity to replicate intricate frameworks and designs prior to their construction. The integration of CAD and BIM has significantly enhanced teamwork by enabling architects, engineers, and contractors to collaborate within a unified digital environment (Milgram et al., 1995; Safikhani et al., 2022).

Even with these advancements in technology, the difficulty of genuinely grasping spatial connections in three dimensions remained a significant hurdle. Virtual Reality (VR) has surfaced as the upcoming domain in tackling this obstacle. Virtual reality technology offers a captivating and engaging experience, allowing learners to "navigate" their creations and observe them from multiple angles, including a perspective that reflects human scale. Kharvari and Kaiser (2022) contend that virtual reality revolutionises architectural education by enabling students to interact actively with their creations. This engaging exchange enables learners to grasp more effectively the influence of their design choices, especially regarding dimensions, ratios, and spatial connections.

Virtual Reality in Design Studios

Within the realm of design studios, virtual reality provides a remarkable improvement to the learning journey, enabling students to engage with their creations in a deeply intuitive and immersive manner. Schnabel and colleagues (2001) pioneered one of the earliest virtual design studios, enabling students to interact with and engage in their creations within a completely immersive three-dimensional setting. This initial trial showcased the potential of virtual reality to transcend the limitations of conventional, two-dimensional illustrations, thereby enriching learners' comprehension of intricate spatial arrangements. The captivating essence of virtual reality serves to connect the divide between theoretical design ideas and their practical implementations, enabling learners to experience and engage with their creations in a comprehensive manner (Schnabel et al., 2001).

Recent research has increasingly underscored the significance of virtual reality in enhancing innovation and

involvement within architectural education. As an illustration, Safikhani et al. (2022) discovered that learners utilising virtual reality in design studios exhibited a greater propensity to explore various design alternatives and engage in more productive collaboration with their fellow students. The reason for this is that virtual reality facilitates immediate modifications, rendering the iterative design process more seamless and vibrant. In a similar vein, Chi, Juan, and Lu (2022) conducted a comparison between conventional design methodologies and those that integrated extended reality (XR) technologies, such as virtual reality (VR). It was discovered that learners utilising XR experienced an enhanced feeling of immersion and involvement, resulting in more innovative design outcomes and a richer comprehension of the spatial and aesthetic connections inherent in their projects.

Utilising virtual reality fosters enhanced engagement in educational settings. Cipresso et al. (2018) assert that virtual reality empowers learners to perceive and enact design choices instantaneously, a capability that proves especially beneficial when navigating intricate architectural structures or extensive urban development initiatives. This engagement facilitates prompt responses and enables the rapid examination of diverse design theories, thereby promoting a more cyclical and contemplative educational experience.

Challenges in Implementing Virtual Reality in Architectural Education

Although its advantages are evident, numerous obstacles impede the broad implementation of virtual reality in the realm of architectural education. A significant hurdle lies in the substantial expenses linked to virtual reality equipment and applications. Premium virtual reality headsets, coupled with the robust computers required to operate immersive design applications, can be excessively costly for numerous educational establishments (Kolaei et al., 2022). This economic obstacle restricts the availability of VR technology, especially in financially challenged architecture institutions, where funding is frequently tight.

A further notable obstacle is the pronounced learning curve linked to virtual reality. It is essential for both learners and instructors to dedicate effort towards becoming proficient in the utilisation of virtual reality technology. Although learners might swiftly embrace emerging technologies, instructors frequently need additional training to seamlessly incorporate virtual reality into their teaching frameworks. Tan and colleagues (2022) emphasise the significance of equipping educators with essential training and resources to fully leverage virtual reality in the design studio environment.

Additionally, a significant hurdle exists in the seamless incorporation of virtual reality alongside current design instruments like Building Information Modelling and Computer-Aided Design. Although numerous architecture students possess a strong command of these tools, the journey of converting designs from conventional software into a virtual reality setting can be intricate and labour-intensive. Simões and colleagues (2018) highlight the necessity for enhanced compatibility between virtual reality platforms and conventional design instruments to facilitate a fluid workflow. Although virtual reality holds the promise to transform architectural education, effectively harnessing its capabilities necessitates tackling these obstacles. Organisations need to be ready to allocate resources towards essential hardware and software, along with comprehensive training initiatives for educators and learners. Moreover, enhanced synergy between virtual reality and current design software is essential for fully harnessing the advantages of this technology within the design studio.

3. Methodology

This study employs a mixed-methods approach, combining both qualitative and quantitative data collection methods to assess the impact of VR in architectural design studios. The data were gathered from students and educators who participated in VR-integrated design studio sessions.

Participants

The participants in this study included 50 architecture students and 10 educators from three different architecture schools. The students ranged from first-year undergraduates to final-year master's students, while the educators included professors and instructors with varying levels of experience in using VR in design education. The

participants were selected based on their willingness to engage in VR-based design sessions and their familiarity with digital design tools such as BIM and CAD.

Data Collection

Surveys

A survey was administered to the students at the beginning and end of the semester to measure their perceptions of VR as a tool for learning and design. The survey included Likert-scale questions that assessed:

- **Engagement**: How engaging did students find the design process with VR compared to traditional methods?
- **Spatial Understanding**: How much did VR enhance their understanding of spatial relationships and proportions in their designs?
- **Creativity**: Did VR allow for more creative freedom in the design process?

Interviews

Semi-structured interviews were conducted with both students and educators to gain deeper insights into their experiences with VR in the design studio. The interviews explored topics such as:

- The perceived benefits and challenges of using VR in architectural design education.
- How VR influenced the way students approached problem-solving and design iteration.
- Recommendations for improving the integration of VR into the design curriculum.

Observations

Direct observations were made during VR design studio sessions to document how students interacted with the technology and each other. These observations focused on the level of collaboration, the frequency of design iterations, and the students' ability to visualize and manipulate their designs in the virtual space.

Data Analysis

The survey data were analyzed using descriptive statistics to identify trends in student engagement, spatial understanding, and creativity. The qualitative data from the interviews were coded thematically, with key themes related to the benefits and challenges of VR in design education emerging from the responses. Observational data were analyzed to identify patterns in student behavior during the VR sessions, such as how often they engaged in design iterations or collaborated with peers.

4. Data Analysis and Findings

Survey Results

The survey responses from both students and educators reveal the general perceptions of VR's effectiveness in architectural design studios. **Table 1** summarizes the percentages of responses for key aspects such as engagement, spatial understanding, creativity, and collaboration.

Table 1: Percentage of Student and Educator Responses on VR Integration

Category	Question	Percentage of Students (Responding Positively)	Percentage of Educators (Responding Positively)
Engagement	How engaging did you find the design process with VR compared to traditional methods?	78%	82%
Spatial Understanding	How much did VR enhance your understanding of spatial relationships in design?	85%	88%
Creativity	Did VR provide more creative freedom in the design process?	72%	75%
Collaboration	Did VR promote more collaboration with peers?	68%	70%
Overall Satisfaction	How satisfied were you with the use of VR in your design projects?	80%	85%

The information presented reveals that a significant majority of both learners and instructors perceived virtual reality as considerably more captivating compared to conventional approaches, with 78% of students and 82% of educators expressing favourable opinions. This demonstrates that the captivating essence of virtual reality greatly enhances student engagement and focus, transforming the educational experience into a more dynamic interaction. Regarding spatial awareness, 85% of learners and 88% of instructors concurred that virtual reality significantly improves the grasp of spatial connections, which is a crucial benefit since architectural design frequently faces challenges in illustrating intricate spatial interactions via two-dimensional visuals. Virtual reality significantly enhances creativity, as evidenced by 72% of students and 75% of educators observing that it fosters greater imaginative exploration. The capacity to evaluate and adjust designs instantaneously fosters enhanced exploration and adaptability within the artistic journey. The realm of collaboration is significantly enhanced by VR, with 68% of students and 70% of educators expressing that it fosters better teamwork. The collaborative digital space promotes constructive feedback and engaging dialogues, both of which are essential in design studio contexts. The level of contentment was notably elevated, with 80% of learners and 85% of instructors indicating that virtual reality greatly improved their design endeavours, highlighting the revolutionary capacity of this technology within the realm of architectural education.

Interview Findings

The interviews with students and educators revealed qualitative insights into the advantages and challenges of using VR. **Table 2** shows the percentage of respondents who mentioned key themes in their interviews.

Table 2: Key Themes from Interviews with Students and Educators

Theme	Percentage of Students Mentioning Theme	Percentage of Educators Mentioning Theme
Enhanced Spatial Understanding	90%	92%
Increased Engagement	85%	88%
Improved Collaboration	65%	70%
Technical Challenges	40%	35%
Learning Curve	50%	45%

The results from the interview, presented in Table 2, indicate that improved spatial comprehension emerged as the most commonly cited benefit, with 90% of students and 92% of educators recognising it as a significant advantage of virtual reality. The capacity to "navigate" and immerse oneself in designs at their actual size offers

a more profound understanding of spatial dynamics, dimensions, and ratios, which is challenging to attain through conventional techniques. A notable theme that emerged was heightened engagement, as emphasised by 85% of students and 88% of educators. They observed that virtual reality enhances the design process, making it more interactive and captivating, which in turn keeps students actively involved and inspired. The level of collaboration saw a notable enhancement, as 65% of students and 70% of educators reported that virtual reality fosters peer-to-peer learning and dialogue, thereby creating a more cooperative educational atmosphere. Nonetheless, technological hurdles posed a significant worry for 40% of learners and 35% of instructors, as complications such as system failures and software mismatches interrupted the operational flow. Moreover, the steep learning curve posed a considerable obstacle, with 50% of students and 45% of educators indicating a necessity for further training to completely harness the capabilities of VR in design studios, underscoring the critical need for adequate technical assistance and readiness.

Observational Data

Direct observations during VR design studio sessions provided insights into how students interacted with the technology. **Table 3** summarizes the frequency of key behaviors observed during these sessions.

Table 3: Observational Data on Student Interactions with VR

Observation	Percentage of Sessions Where Behavior Was Observed	Impact on Design Process
Frequent Design Iterations	85%	Enabled students to rapidly test and refine their designs.
Exploring Multiple Perspectives	80%	Improved spatial comprehension and allowed thorough evaluation of designs.
Collaboration During VR Sessions	70%	Fostered peer-to-peer learning and collaborative design solutions.
Technical Difficulties (e.g., System Crashes)	35%	Disrupted the workflow but did not significantly hinder overall progress.
Experimentation with Scale and Proportions	75%	Encouraged creative exploration and better evaluation of design choices.

The data presented in Table 3 indicates that virtual reality has a substantial impact on the behaviour of students within design studios. In 85% of the sessions, numerous design iterations were noted, allowing students to swiftly experiment and enhance their creations in real-time, all made possible by the engaging and dynamic qualities of virtual reality. Investigating various viewpoints was a prevalent practice in 80% of the sessions, as learners capitalised on the opportunity to examine their creations from diverse angles and dimensions, thereby enriching their spatial understanding and facilitating comprehensive assessments of their projects. During the virtual reality sessions, teamwork was noted in 70% of the instances, suggesting that learners collaborated more efficiently when they had the opportunity to share a digital space and participate in joint design evaluations. Challenges of a technical nature, including system failures or sluggish software performance, occurred in 35% of the sessions. While these issues were indeed disruptive, they did not substantially hinder the overall advancement. Moreover, the exploration of scale and proportions was observed in 75% of the sessions, fostering inventive discovery and enabling students to more effectively evaluate the spatial and visual effects of their design decisions in a manner that conventional approaches failed to support.

5. Discussion

Impact of Virtual Reality on Architectural Education

The incorporation of Virtual Reality (VR) within architectural education, particularly in design studios, has significantly transformed the ways in which students absorb knowledge, innovate, and interact with architectural ideas. The captivating nature of virtual reality enables learners to delve into their creations through a firsthand

viewpoint, providing a deeper insight into spatial dynamics, proportions, and the essence of materials. This degree of engagement was once beyond reach with conventional two-dimensional illustrations, tangible prototypes, or even sophisticated digital instruments such as Building Information Modelling (BIM). Through reshaping the way learners view and engage with their creations, virtual reality has become a groundbreaking instrument in the realm of architectural education.

The findings of this investigation correspond with earlier studies that emphasise VR's capacity to improve spatial comprehension. Kharvari and Kaiser (2022) assert that virtual reality enables learners to engage with designs instantaneously, offering a deeper understanding of the dimensions, ratios, and spatial connections that are challenging to express through traditional flat drawings or even three-dimensional computer representations displayed on a monitor. According to our research, a remarkable 85% of students and an impressive 88% of educators affirmed that virtual reality greatly enhanced spatial understanding, facilitating a clearer grasp of intricate architectural designs. This discovery reinforces the idea that the immersive nature of virtual reality enables learners to perceive and engage with architectural environments in a manner that closely resembles real-world events, effectively connecting theoretical ideas with tangible design practices (Schnabel et al., 2001; Cipresso et al., 2018). Furthermore, the significance of virtual reality in boosting student involvement is truly remarkable. The cyclical essence of architectural creation compels students to perpetually reassess their projects, and virtual reality offers an engaging medium for this endeavour. The findings from our survey indicated that a significant 78% of students perceived virtual reality as more captivating compared to conventional design techniques. Teachers expressed comparable feelings, with 82% recognising that virtual reality enhanced student involvement. According to Milgram et al. (1995), the capacity to "immerse" oneself in a design and engage with it spatially enhances the intuitiveness of the process while simultaneously boosting students' enthusiasm to hone and explore their concepts. This finding is additionally supported by the work of Chi, Juan, and Lu (2022), who observed that extended reality (XR) technologies, such as virtual reality (VR), significantly boost student engagement and foster more creative design outcomes.

Alongside promoting involvement, virtual reality greatly stimulates imaginative thinking. The capacity to engage with designs instantaneously empowers students to explore a multitude of design alternatives, facilitating the testing of theories and enabling them to modify their projects based on prompt responses. The cyclical design methodology holds significant importance in the realm of architectural education, as it inspires students to engage in critical and imaginative thinking regarding spatial arrangements and structural forms. Within our research, a notable 72% of students indicated that virtual reality facilitated greater imaginative liberty during the design process, while 75% of educators concurred. This corresponds with the research conducted by Chandrasekera and Yoon (2018), which illustrated that augmented and virtual reality platforms foster innovative exploration by eliminating the physical constraints associated with conventional design instruments.

Challenges in Implementing VR in Architectural Pedagogy

Although the advantages of virtual reality in the realm of architectural education are considerable, numerous obstacles impede its broad implementation, especially within educational institutions that face resource constraints. The primary obstacle lies in the expenses linked to virtual reality equipment and applications. Premium virtual reality headsets like the Oculus Rift and HTC Vive, coupled with the robust computers necessary to operate immersive design applications, represent significant financial commitments. For organisations operating under tight financial constraints, obtaining the essential technology for a complete design studio can be exceedingly costly (Kolaei et al., 2022). Additionally, the upkeep and consistent enhancements of these systems contribute to the persistent expenses, making their integration into architectural education more challenging, particularly in financially constrained programs.

A further obstacle presents itself in the significant learning curve linked to VR technology. Even though contemporary learners tend to be more proficient with technology, incorporating virtual reality into the educational framework necessitates extensive preparation for both pupils and instructors. Although learners may swiftly acclimatise to utilising virtual reality for design purposes, instructors frequently need extra assistance to comprehend how to seamlessly incorporate this technology into their pedagogical approaches. During our

discussions, half of the students and 45% of the educators voiced apprehensions regarding the challenges associated with the learning curve. Tan and colleagues (2022) highlight the critical need for comprehensive training for educators to enable them to harness the full capabilities of virtual reality. In the absence of adequate training and assistance, teachers might find it challenging to integrate virtual reality into the design studio effectively, which could diminish the potential benefits of the technology on learning results.

Additionally, technological hurdles, including system failures and compatibility dilemmas, present considerable obstacles to the smooth incorporation of virtual reality into architectural design processes. Through our analysis, we noted that 35% of virtual reality sessions encountered technical challenges, which, although manageable, interrupted the workflow and impeded students' advancement. Simões and colleagues (2018) emphasised the importance of enhancing the synergy between virtual reality platforms and current design instruments such as BIM and CAD to foster a more effective and intuitive user experience. At present, the process of shifting designs from BIM or CAD into virtual reality settings can be quite laborious and lengthy, and the absence of smooth interoperability between these platforms frequently exasperates both learners and instructors. Advancements in virtual reality software should prioritise enhancing this integration to guarantee that VR can be seamlessly woven into current architectural design workflows.

The Future of VR in Architectural Pedagogy

In spite of the obstacles, the capacity for virtual reality to revolutionise architectural education is vast. With the increasing accessibility and affordability of VR technology, it is poised to become an essential component in design studios across the globe. The capacity to deliver engaging, hands-on educational encounters is essential in a domain such as architecture, where grasping spatial dynamics, proportions, and user interaction is fundamental to the craft.

As we progress, it is essential for architectural organisations to allocate resources towards the development of infrastructure and education required to completely leverage the capabilities of virtual reality. This encompasses not just acquiring the suitable hardware but also crafting educational programs that weave VR into the design methodology from the initial phases. By adopting this approach, educational institutions can guarantee that learners are adept in both classic and innovative design instruments, equipping them for the changing requirements of the architectural field.

Moreover, subsequent investigations ought to emphasise enhancing the synergy between virtual reality and various design instruments, including building information modelling and computer-aided design, to establish a more fluid operational process. With the growing compatibility of VR platforms and conventional design software, the productivity and impact of virtual reality in architectural education are set to rise significantly. Scholars such as Alizadehsalehi, Hadavi, and Huang (2020) have initiated investigations into the potential of extended reality (XR) technologies to connect the realms of BIM and VR, equipping students with an enriched array of resources for architectural design.

Ultimately, as virtual reality technology progresses, innovative elements like instantaneous teamwork within digital environments, improved tactile sensations, and sophisticated simulation functionalities are expected to gain greater prominence. These attributes will significantly elevate the learning journey, enabling students to not only conceptualise but also tangibly engage with their creations in a digital realm. These innovations possess the capacity to transform architectural education by providing a more comprehensive and hands-on method for learning design.

6. Conclusion

Virtual Reality has the potential to greatly enhance architectural pedagogy, particularly in design studios where spatial understanding, creativity, and engagement are paramount. This study highlights the substantial benefits that VR brings to architectural education, including improved spatial comprehension, greater student engagement, and enhanced creative exploration. However, challenges related to cost, technical difficulties, and the learning

curve must be addressed for VR to be widely adopted. As VR technology continues to evolve and become more integrated with traditional design tools, its role in architectural education is likely to expand. By investing in the necessary infrastructure, providing adequate training for educators, and improving the interoperability of VR with existing software, architectural institutions can harness the full potential of this transformative technology. Ultimately, VR is poised to become an indispensable tool in the architectural education of the future, offering students a more immersive, interactive, and engaging way to learn and create.

References

Akçayır, M., & Akçayır, G. (2017). Advantages and challenges associated with augmented reality for education: A systematic review of the literature. *Educational Research Review*, *20*, 1–11.

Alizadehsalehi, S., Hadavi, A., & Huang, J. C. (2020). From BIM to extended reality in AEC industry. *Automation in Construction*, *116*, 103254.

Bashabsheh, A. K., Alzoubi, H. H., & Ali, M. Z. (2019). The application of virtual reality technology in architectural pedagogy for building constructions. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, *58*, 713–723.

Bressan, N. M., Scarpa, M., & Peron, F. (2024). Case studies of eXtended reality combined with Building Information Modeling: A literature review. *Journal of Building Engineering*, *84*, 108575.

Chandrasekera, T., & Yoon, S. Y. (2018). The effect of augmented and virtual reality interfaces in the creative design process. *International Journal of Virtual and Augmented Reality*, *2*(1), 1–13.

Chi, H. Y., Juan, Y. K., & Lu, S. (2022). Comparing BIM-Based XR and Traditional Design Process from Three Perspectives: Aesthetics, Gaze Tracking, and Perceived Usefulness. *Buildings*, *12*, 1728.

Cipresso, P., Giglioli, I. A. C., Raya, M. A., & Riva, G. (2018). The past, present, and future of virtual and augmented reality research: A network and cluster analysis of the literature. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *9*, 309500.

Darwish, M., Kamel, S., & Assem, A. (2023). A theoretical model of using extended reality in architecture design education. *Engineering Research Journal*, *52*, 36–45.

Darwish, M., Kamel, S., & Assem, A. (2023). Extended reality for enhancing spatial ability in architecture design education. *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, *14*, 102104.

Dedekargınoğlu, E., & Yılmaz, M. (2019, March 4-5). Virtual Reality as a Tool for Participatory Architectural Design. In *Proceedings of the 22nd International Conference Road to 2030: Sustainability, Business Models, Innovation and Design* (pp. 62–69). Epsom, UK.

Diao, P. H., & Shih, N. J. (2019). Trends and research issues of augmented reality studies in architectural and civil engineering education: A review of academic journal publications. *Applied Sciences*, *9*, 1840.

Freina, L., & Ott, M. (2015, April 23-24). A literature review on immersive virtual reality in education: State of the art and perspectives. In *Proceedings of the International Scientific Conference Elearning and Software for Education* (Vol. 1, pp. 10–1007). Bucharest, Romania.

Hui, V., Estrina, T., Zhou, G., & Huang, A. (2021). Applications of extended reality technologies within design pedagogy: A case study in architectural science. *International Journal of Digital Society*, *12*, 1710–1720.

Iranmanesh, A., & Onur, Z. (2021). Mandatory virtual design studio for all: Exploring the transformations of architectural education amidst the global pandemic. *International Journal of Art & Design Education*, *40*, 251–267.

Kharvari, F., & Kaiser, L. E. (2022). Impact of extended reality on architectural education and the design process. *Automation in Construction*, *141*, 104393.

Kolaei, A. Z., Hedayati, E., Khanzadi, M., & Amiri, G. G. (2022). Challenges and opportunities of augmented reality during the construction phase. *Automation in Construction*, *143*, 104586.

Milgram, P., Takemura, H., Utsumi, A., & Kishino, F. (1995, October 25-26). Augmented reality: A class of displays on the reality-virtuality continuum. In *Proceedings of the Telemanipulator and Telepresence Technologies* (Vol. 2351, pp. 282–292). Philadelphia, PA, USA: SPIE.

Milovanovic, J., Moreau, G., Siret, D., & Miguet, F. (2017, July 12-14). Virtual and augmented reality in architectural design and education. In *Proceedings of the 17th International Conference, CAAD Futures*. Istanbul, Turkey.

Pan, Z., Cheok, A. D., Yang, H., Zhu, J., & Shi, J. (2006). Virtual reality and mixed reality for virtual learning environments. *Computers & Graphics*, *30*, 20–28.

Portman, M. E., Natapov, A., & Fisher-Gewirtzman, D. (2015). To go where no man has gone before: Virtual reality in architecture, landscape architecture, and environmental planning. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*, *54*, 376–384.

Safikhani, S., Keller, S., Schweiger, G., & Pirker, J. (2022). Immersive virtual reality for extending the potential of building information modeling in architecture, engineering, and construction sector: Systematic review. *International Journal of Digital Earth*, *15*, 503–526.

Schnabel, M. A., Kvan, T., Kruijff, E., & Donath, D. (2001, October 13-16). The first virtual environment design studio. In *Proceedings of the Education and Curricula-Virtual Meeting Places* (Vol. 14, pp. 394–400). Fredericton, NB, Canada.

Simões, B., De Amicis, R., Barandiaran, I., & Posada, J. (2018). X-reality system architecture for industry 4.0 processes. *Multimodal Technologies and Interaction*, *2*, 72.

Tan, Y., Xu, W., Li, S., & Chen, K. (2022). Augmented and virtual reality (AR/VR) for education and training in the AEC industry: A systematic review of research and applications. *Buildings*, *12*, 1529.

Ummihusna, A., & Zairul, M. (2022). Investigating immersive learning technology intervention in architecture education: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education*, *14*, 264–281.

Vecchia, L. D., da Silva, A., & Pereira, A. (2009). Teaching/learning architectural design based on a virtual learning environment. *International Journal of Architectural Computing*, *7*, 255–266.

Velaora, M., Guéna, F., & Moraitis, K. (2019, May 2-3). Integrating Virtual Reality Interface into architectural education: Virtual Urban Environment Design and Real-Time Simulated Behaviors. In *Proceedings of eCAADe RIS 2019: Virtually Real. Immersing into the Unbuilt*. Aalborg, Denmark.

Wang, J., Ma, Q., & Wei, X. (2023). The application of extended reality technology in architectural design education: A review. *Buildings*, *13*, 2931.

Wang, X., Kim, M. J., Love, P. E., & Kang, S. C. (2013). Augmented reality in built environment: Classification and implications for future research. *Automation in Construction*, *32*, 1–13.

Yu, R., Ostwald, M. J., Gu, N., Skates, H., & Feast, S. (2022). Evaluating the effectiveness of online teaching in architecture courses. *Architectural Science Review*, *65*, 89–100.