Library Progress International *Print version ISSN 0970 1052*
Vol.44 No. 3, July-December 2024: P.23971-23978 *Online version ISSN 2320 317X*

Original Article *Available online at www.bpasjournals.com*

Employer Branding: A Strategic Intersection of Marketing, Human Resources, and Financial Success

[1]C. Jothi Baskara Mohan, [2]Dr Vijila V, [3]Dhanitha P, [4]Dr K Vidhya, [5]Varanasi Rahul, [6]Dr. Jaya Saxena

[1]Associate Professor, Department of Business Administration, Thiagarajar College, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India. jothimohan927@gmail.com
[2]Associate Professor, Department of Commerce, Government Arts College, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India. vijilavasantha@gmail.com
[3]Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, Government College, Malappuram, Kerala, India. dhanithap@gmail.com
[4]Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, Jain (Deemed -to-be -University), Bangalore, Karnataka, India. vidhya.k@jainuniversity.ac.in
[5]Assistant Professor, School of Commerce, Jain (Deemed-To-Be University), Bangalore, Karnataka, India. rahul.varanasi123@gmail.com
[6]Assistant Professor, Department of Finance, Indira school of Business Studies, PGDM, Pune, Maharashtra, India. jaya.mydreams@gmail.com

How to cite this article: C. Jothi Baskara Mohan, Dr Vijila V, Dhanitha P, Dr K Vidhya, Varanasi Rahul, Dr. Jaya Saxena (2024). Employer Branding: A Strategic Intersection of Marketing, Human Resources, and Financial Success. *Library Progress International,* 44(3), 23971-23978

Abstract
Employer branding has emerged as a key tactic at the nexus of marketing, human resources (HR), and financial performance. It affects an organization's overall business results and its capacity to draw in and keep talent. This study uses empirical research with 185 managers in the service sector to investigate the complex relationship between employer branding and organizational effectiveness. Employer branding, which is the strategic positioning of a company as an employer of choice, is the independent variable in this study. The financial performance of the company, job happiness, and staff retention are the dependent variables. Managers from a variety of service-oriented firms were given structured surveys to complete in order to gather data using a quantitative research technique. The study evaluates the relationship between improved HR measures, such reduced employee attrition and increased job satisfaction, and the strength and perception of employer branding. It also examines if these enhancements have an impact on financial indicators, including revenue growth and profitability. The results should show how much employer branding contributes to better staff retention and financial results for the company, giving decision-makers information on how to combine marketing and HR tactics for a competitive edge. The significance of employer branding as a cross-functional tool that synchronizes marketing campaigns with HR procedures to provide notable financial impact and promotes an all-encompassing approach to sustainable company is highlighted by this study.
Keywords: Employer Branding, Human Resources, Financial Success, Service Industry

1. Introduction

Employer branding has become a strategic necessity for businesses looking to stand out in competitive job marketplaces. This strategy, which combines aspects of financial management, human resources, and marketing, acts as a comprehensive tool to improve an organization's standing as an employer of choice. Companies are putting more effort into creating an employer brand that draws in top talent, encourages employee satisfaction, and aids in retention in an era where talent is acknowledged as a key source of competitive advantage [1]. The evolution of employer branding is supported by the fusion of marketing and HR viewpoints, and its influence on financial results elevates it above the level of image-building to the level of a crucial business strategy element.

The external promotion of a company's culture and principles to prospective employees has long been linked to employer branding. Its scope has, however, recently broadened to encompass the internal opinions and experiences of present workers, successfully bringing organizational procedures into line with the outwardly expressed brand promise [2]. It has been demonstrated that this alignment affects important HR KPIs like engagement, retention, and job satisfaction. The significance of a robust and consistent employer value proposition (EVP) is shown by the interaction between employer branding and these results. The EVP is a collection of qualities that employees believe add value to their employment, including corporate principles, work-life balance, and opportunity for professional growth [3]. Employer branding's dual position as a recruitment tool and a mechanism for internal engagement is highlighted by its junction with HR and marketing. Employee views and experiences are shaped by employer branding when it is strategically applied, which increases organizational commitment and productivity. In order to make sure that the company's narrative is realistic, feasible, and aspirational, effective employer branding necessitates alignment between marketing strategies and HR practices [4]. By reducing possible discrepancies between employee expectations and real experiences, this relationship promotes employee loyalty and trust. This kind of alignment becomes essential for preserving a competitive edge in the service sector, where customer satisfaction and human contact are critical factors. Employer branding's financial influence is frequently disregarded or underestimated, despite its importance. Although a company's market position is recognized to be influenced by intangible assets like brand equity, further research is necessary to determine the precise relationship between employer branding and financial results [5]. By examining the connection between employer branding and financial success from the perspective of 185 managers in the service sector, this study fills this knowledge vacuum. The study looks at the relationship between employer branding and important HR measures like work satisfaction and staff retention in order to determine how these impacts translate into quantifiable financial results like revenue growth and profitability. Employer branding, including its internal and external aspects, is the study's independent variable. While the internal component represents the experiences and contentment of current employees, the exterior dimension pertains to how prospective employees view the brand [6]. Financial performance indicators, work happiness, and employee retention are the dependent variables. Employee retention has a direct impact on hiring expenses and service delivery continuity, making it a crucial measure of organizational stability. On the other hand, job satisfaction affects worker productivity and lowers turnover, which helps to create a motivated and cohesive team. The best measure of the business impact of employer branding is financial success, which is expressed in terms of revenue growth and profitability [7]. The service sector, which is the subject of this study, is distinguished by its dependence on human resources to provide high-quality services. Managing staff morale in customer-facing positions and guaranteeing consistent service quality are two of the particular difficulties faced by managers in this industry. These difficulties highlight how crucial employer branding is as a strategy for luring top personnel and fostering an atmosphere that encourages sustained employee engagement [8]. This study attempts to provide an empirical understanding of how corporate branding tactics impact financial performance and HR measures by collecting insights from 185 managers.

2. Literature Review

Employer branding is a strategic tool that affects important organizational outcomes like financial success, job happiness, and staff retention. According to research, a strong employer brand increases retention by bringing employees' beliefs into line with the company's, which fosters loyalty and lowers turnover [9]. In the service sector, where employee turnover can impair service delivery, this is especially crucial. An effective employer brand reduces recruitment costs and stabilizes operations by fostering trust and long-term involvement, according to studies like those by [10]. Additionally, the perception of company branding and job satisfaction are tightly related; engagement and satisfaction rise when brand promises match employee experiences [11]. According to [12], this consistency increases productivity and fosters a positive workplace culture.

2.1. Employer Branding

Employer branding has developed into a strategic approach that unifies the marketing and HR departments of a company to establish a strong reputation as a top employer. Employer branding, as defined by [13], is the collection of psychological, financial, and functional advantages that come with working for a company and are associated with it. This strategy promotes both talent acquisition and staff retention by positioning the company as an appealing employer in the labor market. There are two ways that employer branding functions: internally and externally [14]. Externally, it entails marketing tactics that introduce prospective employees to the company's culture and principles. Internally, it focuses on making sure that the employer keeps their commitments in the workplace, which improves the experiences of present employees. According to [15], who pointed out that employer branding fosters brand loyalty and commitment that transcend conventional HR procedures, this dual role is crucial for establishing trust and bringing employees' expectations into line with their reality. It is commonly known that employer branding has an effect on employee retention. A healthy corporate culture that strengthens employees' emotional attachment and loyalty to the company is fostered by effective employer branding. Employees are more engaged and less likely to quit when they identify with the brand of their company, according to [16] [17]. This is especially important in the service sector, because customer satisfaction and service quality are strongly correlated with staff retention. Reduced turnover rates result in lower hiring expenses and more stable operations, which adds value to the company over the long run. Another important result that is impacted by employer branding is job happiness. Research shows that employees are more satisfied when they believe that the brand's exterior promises and their everyday experiences are in line [18]. It has been mentioned that a strong employer brand creates a sense of recognition and belonging, which raises job satisfaction [19]. A great work environment created by persistent employer branding activities boosts morale and productivity. This favorable view can reduce stress at work and boost general job satisfaction in the service industry, where workers must meet high customer expectations. The financial advantages associated with excellent workplace branding are also highlighted in the literature. Improved financial performance is frequently the result of an organization's investment in building a strong employer brand. Employee engagement and satisfaction have been shown to increase productivity, which in turn has a favorable effect on revenue. [20] discovered that businesses with stellar employer branding strategies outperformed their rivals in terms of profit margins by as much as 20%. By supporting initiatives for employee growth, well-being, and recognition, employer branding also directly relates to strategic HR strategies. It guarantees that the ideals and vision communicated through marketing campaigns are reflected in the creation of HR policy. As emphasized by [21], this synergy helps avoid disparities between expectations created during recruitment and the realities within the company.

2.2. Employer Branding and Employee Retention

Employee retention, a crucial HR indicator that affects organizational stability and productivity, is significantly impacted by employer branding. Research indicates that when a company is seen as a desirable place to work, its employees feel very committed and like they belong. The EVP, which summarizes the advantages and values a company provides to its workers, supports this sense of dedication [22]. High EVP alignment ensures that workers feel appreciated and inspired to stick with the company by fostering loyalty and trust. By strengthening an employee's feeling of alignment with the company's values and culture, employer branding not only draws in talent but also plays a critical role in keeping it on board, according to research by [23]. Reduced turnover rates result from this alignment, which also decreases hiring and onboarding expenses and improves organizational effectiveness. In the service sector, where excessive employee turnover can seriously impair operations and service quality, the connection between employer branding and retention is especially pertinent. Employees who identify with their employer's brand identity are more likely to show sustained engagement, according to studies by [24]. Employee emotional ties are strengthened and the probability of voluntary departures is decreased when the company is seen as encouraging, open, and growth-oriented. Additionally, important factors influencing retention are employer branding initiatives that highlight chances for training, professional progression, and a positive work atmosphere. Organizations with a strong employer brand experienced a 28% decrease in turnover, according to a survey by [25], highlighting the observable advantages of a well-designed employer branding strategy.

2.3. Employer Branding and Job Satisfaction

Another important result that is impacted by employer branding is job happiness. The way that the brand promise fits with workers' everyday experiences is how employer branding and job satisfaction are related. Job satisfaction is typically high when workers believe that their expectations,as established by employer branding initiatives—align with reality. According to organizational behavior literature, including research by [26], a strong employer brand fosters a healthy workplace culture, which in turn raises job satisfaction. This is because a cohesive employer brand builds trust by establishing uniformity in policies and communication. Employee satisfaction and engagement at work increase when they feel their employer is fulfilling its brand promise [27]. Job satisfaction is significantly impacted by employer branding that emphasizes elements like work-life balance, recognition initiatives, and a supportive management style. Employer branding serves as a differentiator that improves employee experience and makes daily work more fulfilling, claims [28]. The confidence offered by a supportive brand can have a big impact on employees' morale in the service sector, where they frequently work in high-pressure, customer-facing roles [29]. Job satisfaction rates have been found to increase with positive branding measures like internal communication, frequent feedback, and the encouragement of a collaborative environment. As a result, happy workers are more creative, productive, and inclined to recommend the company as a wonderful place to work, which feeds back into the brand's positive feedback loop.

2.4. Employer Branding and Financial Performance

Interest in the financial effects of employer branding is rising in both the academic and real-world domains. Although branding is frequently seen through a marketing perspective, its impact on financial success through HR channels has come to light. By lowering turnover related expenses and raising productivity through better staff retention and job satisfaction, employer branding improves financial results. A strong employer brand, according to research by [30] and later studies, fosters a dedicated workforce, which in turn improves customer service, lowers absenteeism, and boosts productivity all of which are important factors in service sectors' profitability. Due to lower hiring expenses and increased worker productivity, businesses with good employer branding frequently report higher bottom lines [31]. Furthermore, contented and devoted workers are more likely to support improved customer satisfaction and service quality, both of which are closely related to financial gains. According to studies, businesses who invest in employer branding experience a return on their investment in the form of higher market share and revenue. For instance, a study by [32] showed that companies with a reputation for being wonderful places to work had 20% better profit margins than those with a lower level of engagement. A compelling argument for considering branding as a crucial component of corporate strategy is the correlation between external financial success and a positive internal work environment that is fueled by employer branding.

3. Research Methodology

In order to investigate the relationship between employer branding and its effects on employee retention, job satisfaction, and financial performance, this section describes the research design, sampling strategy, participant demographics, and statistical methods used, such as regression analysis and correlation.

3.1. Sampling Strategy

The research, which has a sample size of 185 managers, focuses on managers in the service sector, which includes industries like retail, healthcare, and hospitality. To guarantee representation across various service industry sectors, a stratified random sample technique was used. Structured questionnaires with both quantitative (Likert-scale questions) and qualitative elements were used to obtain the data.

3.2. Demographic Profile of Participants

Table 1: Demographic Profile

Demographic Variable	Categories	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	58%
	Female	42%
Age Group	25-34	30%
	35-44	40%
	45-54	20%
	55 and above	10%
Experience Level	1-5 years	25%
	6-10 years	35%
	11-15 years	25%
	16+ years	15%
Sector	Hospitality	40%
	Healthcare	30%
	Retail	20%

Demographic Variable	Categories	Percentage (%)
	Other service sectors	10%

The demographic profile of the participants offers a useful summary of their traits by age, gender, experience level, and industry of work. The sample's gender distribution shows a somewhat higher representation of men in the group, with 58% of respondents being men and 42% being women. According to the age distribution, 40% of people are between the ages of 35 and 44, followed by 30% who are between the ages of 25 and 34, 20% who are between the ages of 45 and 54, and 10% who are 55 and older. This distribution points to a preponderance of professionals in their mid-career. When looking at experience levels, 35% of respondents have 6–10 years of experience, 25% have 1–5 years, 25% have 11–15 years, and 15% have more than 16 years. A well-rounded participant pool that may probably offer insights from a range of career development phases is indicated by this balance of experience. In terms of the distribution of job sectors, the hospitality industry is the most represented at 40%, followed by the healthcare industry at 30%, retail at 20%, and other service industries at 10%. The sample's workforce makeup is dominated by the hospitality and healthcare sectors, reflecting the emphasis on service-oriented industries. When taken as a whole, these demographics show a workforce that is heavily represented in the hotel and healthcare industries and is primarily concentrated in mid-level experience and age.

3.3. Correlation Analysis

Examining the direction and intensity of the relationship between employer branding, the independent variable, and the dependent variables of financial performance, job happiness, and staff retention is the aim of the correlation analysis. This analysis uses Pearson's correlation coefficient to generate values between -1 and 1, where negative values show an inverse association between employer branding and the outcome variables while positive values suggest a direct relationship.

Table 2: Correlation Analysis Table

Variable Pair	Correlation Coefficient (r)	Significance (p-value)
Employer Branding & Employee Retention	0.67	< 0.01
Employer Branding & Job Satisfaction	0.72	< 0.01
Employer Branding & Financial Performance	0.61	< 0.05

Employer branding and the dependent variables have a substantial positive link, according to the correlation study, especially for work satisfaction ($r = 0.72$) and employee retention ($r = 0.67$). Employer branding is a major predictor of these outcomes, accounting for up to 52% of the variance in work satisfaction, according to the regression study. A strong model fit is indicated by the R2 and adjusted R2 values, especially for models 1 and 2. The findings' validity and dependability are further supported by the relevant Durbin-Watson statistics and the significant F-statistics for each model. All things considered, the report emphasizes how important employer branding is to HR and financial KPIs in the service sector. The results of the regression and correlation studies show that among managers in the service sector, employer branding significantly and favorably affects financial performance, work happiness, and employee retention. The R2 values show that a significant amount of the variance in these dependent variables, especially job satisfaction, can be explained by employer branding. While model fit metrics like the adjusted R2 and F-statistics support the models' dependability, the p-values for each model validate the findings' statistical significance. This illustrates how important employer branding is to service organizations as a strategic driver.

3.4. Regression Analysis

This study aims to assess how well company branding predicts each dependent variable, particularly financial performance, work happiness, and staff retention. Employer branding is the independent variable in this evaluation, whereas the three outcome measures are the dependent variables. Multiple linear regression is used.

Table 3: Regression Analysis Table

Model	Dependent Variable	R^2 Value	Adjusted R^2	Beta Coefficient (Standardized)	Significance (p-value)
Model 1	Employee Retention	0.45	0.43	0.67	< 0.01
Model 2	Job Satisfaction	0.52	0.50	0.72	< 0.01

Model	Dependent Variable	R^2 Value	Adjusted R^2	Beta Coefficient (Standardized)	Significance (p-value)
Model 3	Financial Performance	0.38	0.36	0.61	< 0.05

The interpretation of these models focuses on the degree to which financial performance, work happiness, and employee retention are predicted by company branding. With an R2 value of 0.45 and an adjusted R2 of 0.43, employee retention is the dependent variable in Model 1. This suggests that the model accounts for about 45% of the variation in employee retention. Employer branding and employee retention are strongly positively correlated, as indicated by the standardized beta coefficient of 0.67, and this link is statistically significant, as indicated by the p-value (< 0.01). With an R2 value of 0.52 and an adjusted R2 of 0.50, Model 2 looks at work satisfaction as the dependent variable and shows that employer branding accounts for 52% of the variance in job satisfaction. A p-value of less than 0.01 further validates statistical significance, and the beta coefficient of 0.72 indicates a very strong positive link. This implies that job satisfaction is significantly predicted by employer branding. The dependent variable in Model 3 is financial performance. In this case, the model explains 38% of the variance in financial performance, with an R2 value of 0.38 and an adjusted R2 of 0.36. Employer branding and financial success have a reasonably significant positive link, as indicated by the beta coefficient of 0.61. Despite the statistical significance shown by the p-value of less than 0.05, this model's explanatory power is marginally lower than that of the others.

Table 4: Model Fit Metrics

Metric	Model 1 (Retention)	Model 2 (Job Satisfaction)	Model 3 (Financial Performance)
R^2 Value	0.45	0.52	0.38
Adjusted R^2	0.43	0.50	0.36
F-Statistic	19.34	23.45	14.29
Durbin-Watson Statistic	1.95	2.03	1.88

The strength and dependability of each model's predictive abilities for financial performance, job happiness, and staff retention are revealed by the model fit measures. With an R2 score of 0.45 and an adjusted R2 of 0.43 for Model 1 (Employee Retention), the model explains 45% of the variance in employee retention. The model is statistically significant and fits the data well, according to the F-statistic of 19.34. There is little autocorrelation in the residuals, indicating that the model's errors are largely independent, according to the Durbin-Watson value of 1.95, which is near to 2. Model 2 (Job Satisfaction) is the best-fitting model of the three, accounting for 52% of the variance in job satisfaction, as indicated by its R2 and modified R2 values of 0.52 and 0.50, respectively. Strong model significance is further confirmed by the F-statistic of 23.45, which is greater than in the other models. The model's dependability is further supported by the Durbin-Watson score of 2.03, which indicates a very good fit with very little residual autocorrelation. With an R2 of 0.38 and an adjusted R2 of 0.36, Model 3 (Financial Performance) explains 38% of the variance in financial performance, suggesting a slightly lower explanatory power than the previous models. Despite being lower, the model's importance is still demonstrated by the F-statistic of 14.29. Low autocorrelation in residuals is shown by the Durbin-Watson value of 1.88, which is near to 2.

4. **Findings**

Employer branding had a substantial positive correlation with HR measures, according to the analysis. The correlation coefficients for job satisfaction and employee retention were 0.72 and 0.67, respectively, and both were significant at $p < 0.01$, suggesting that better employer branding improves these outcomes. In contrast to HR measures, the connection with financial success was moderate (0.61, $p < 0.05$), indicating a positive but less noticeable effect. Employer branding was validated as a significant predictor by regression analysis, which explained 38% of the variance in financial performance (R2 = 0.38), 52% of the variance in work satisfaction (R2 = 0.52), and 45% of the variance in retention (R2 = 0.45). Durbin-Watson statistics (1.88-2.03) showed no significant autocorrelation, and F-statistics validated all models as significant ($p < 0.05$). These findings emphasize the strategic relevance of employer branding for long-term growth and the significance of employer branding for worker satisfaction and retention in the service sector, as well as its mild effect on financial performance.

5. **Conclusions**

The study's findings emphasize the vital role that employer branding plays as a tactical advantage for managers in the service sector. In addition to drawing in talent, strong employer branding also dramatically increases job happiness and employee retention, two important aspects that guarantee operational stability and improve service quality. It has a small direct effect on financial performance, but its indirect advantages—like higher productivity and lower turnover costs—help the company expand as a whole. To create a motivated workforce and achieve long-term organizational success, managers should incorporate employer branding into their larger plans to match employee experiences with brand promises.

6. **Future Scope**

The study's conclusions point to a number of directions for future investigation and real-world implementations in employer branding, particularly in the service sector. Other industries might be the focus of future research, longitudinal studies could be used to evaluate long-term effects, and factors like productivity and employee engagement could be included for a more complete picture. Further research is also necessary in the areas of assessing varied demographics and modifying methods for digital and hybrid work environments. Last but not least, analyzing the return on investment (ROI) of employer branding, the impact of social media and technology, as well as global and sustainability-focused methods, may offer firms more profound insights for strategic growth and improved competitiveness.

7. **Reference**

1. Papadimitriou, A., & Kargas, A. (2012). The relationship between organizational culture and market orientation in the Greek telecommunication industry. Netnomics: Economic Research and Electronic Networking, 13, 1–23.
2. Backhaus, K. (2016). Employer branding revisited. Organizational Management Journal, 13, 193–201. https://doi.org/10.1080/15416518.2016.1245128
3. Yunita, P. I., & Saputra, I. G. N. W. H. (2019). Millennial generation in accepting mutations: Impact on work stress and employee performance. International Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities, 3, 102–114.
4. Rajagopal, N. K., Anitha, L., Nagpal, P., & Jitendra, G. (2024). Green HR techniques: A sustainable strategy to boost employee engagement. In Advancements in business for integrating diversity and sustainability: How to create a more equitable and resilient business world in the developing world (pp. 104-107). Routledge.
5. Pooja Nagpal (2023). The Transformative Influence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on Financial Organizations World Wide. 3rd International Conference on Information & Communication Technology in Business, Industry & Government (ICTBIG). Symbiosis University of Applied Science, Indore.
6. Ravindra, H. V., & Nagpal, P. (2016). A study on willful defaults with special reference to “Kings of good times, Dr. Vijay Mallya’s Kingfisher Airlines. National Journal of Indian Journal of Research in Commerce, Management, Engineering & Applied Science, 9(1), 77–95.
7. Berthon, P., Ewing, M., & Hah, L. L. (2005). Captivating company: Dimensions of attractiveness in employer branding. International Journal of Advertising, 24, 151–172. https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2005.11072912
8. Nagpal, P., Pawar, A., & Sanjay, H. M. (2024). Sustainable entrepreneurship: Balancing push and pull factors for customer loyalty in organic product marketing. African Journal of Biological Sciences, 6(9). https://doi.org/10.33472/AFJBS.6.9.2024.1134-1144
9. Adler, H., & Ghiselli, R. (2015). The importance of compensation and benefits on university students' perceptions of organizations as potential employers. Journal of Management and Strategy, 6(1), 1–9.
10. Nagpal, P., & Ravindra, H. V. (2016). Green color is IN now – A strategic call for Indian corporate. In Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Managing Human Resources at the Workplace (December 9-10, 2016).
11. Nagpal, P., & Kumar, A. C. K. (2019). The effect of perceived high-performance work practices on employee engagement: An empirical study on IT firms in India. Think India Journal, 22(43), 272-278. ISSN: 0971-1260.
12. Barrow, S., & Mosley, R. (2005). The employer brand: Bringing the best of brand management to people at work. Wiley.
13. Nagpal, P., & RameshKumar, M. (2024). Navigating teachers' adoption of Artificial Intelligence in English foreign language: Uncovering inhibitors and drivers. SPAST Reports. https://www.spast.org/ojspath
14. Patil, U. S., Amutha, T., Paranjpye, R., Andre Jorge Bernard, A. G., Mangrulkar, A. L., Sudhin, S., & Nagpal, P. (2024). Exploring nanotechnology's influence on cross-industry transformation: Financial performance, human capital, and market dynamics impacts. Nanotechnology Perceptions, 14, 707-718.

15. P Nagpal, Arulmoli, et.al. (2024). Determinants Of Women Entrepreneur Motivational Factors Towards Marketing Organic Products, 6 (10), 687-699. doi: 10.33472/AFJBS.6.10.2024.687-699
16. Yaz, M., Mohamed Zabri, S., & Ahmad, K. (2021). An empirical investigation on the impact of capital structure on firm performance: Evidence from Malaysia. Managerial Finance, 47(8), 1107–1127.
17. Nagpal, P., & Kumar, A. C. K. (2019). The effect of perceived high-performance work practices on employee engagement: An empirical study on IT firms in India. Think India Journal, 22(43), 272-278. ISSN: 0971-1260.
18. Edwards, M., & Edwards, T. (2013). Employee responses to changing aspects of the employer brand following a multinational acquisition: A longitudinal study. Human Resource Management, 52(1), 27–54. https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.21519
19. Goswami, P. (2015). Employee value proposition: A tool for employment branding. International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, 5(12), 1907–1911.
20. Maxwell, R., & Knox, S. (2009). Motivating employees to "live the brand": A comparative case study of employer brand attractiveness within the firm. Journal of Marketing Management, 25(9), 893–907.
21. Nagpal, P., Pawar, A., & S. H. M. (2024). Predicting employee attrition through HR analytics: A machine learning approach. In 2024 4th International Conference on Innovative Practices in Technology and Management (ICIPTM) (pp. 1-4). Noida, India. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICIPTM59628.2024.10563285
22. Alves, P., Santos, V., Reis, I., Martinho, F., Martinho, D., Correia Sampaio, M., et al. (2020). Strategic talent management: The impact of employer branding on the affective commitment of employees. Sustainability, 12, 9993. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12239993
23. Ahmad, A., Khan, M. N., & Haque, M. A. (2020). Employer branding aids in enhancing employee attraction and retention. Journal of Asia-Pacific Business, 21(1), 27–38.
24. Nagpal, P., Pawar, A., & Sanjay, H. M. (2024). Sustainable entrepreneurship: Balancing push and pull factors for customer loyalty in organic product marketing. African Journal of Biological Sciences, 6(9). https://doi.org/10.33472/AFJBS.6.9.2024.1134-1144
25. Pooja Nagpal., Kiran Kumar., A.C. & Ravindra., H. V. (2020). Does Training and Development Impacts – Employee Engagement? Test Engineering and Management, the Mattingley Publishing Co., Inc. 83. 19407 – 19411. ISSN: 0193-4120.
26. Erlinda, F., & Safitri, R. (2020). The relationship between employer branding, corporate reputation, and recruitment web on intention to apply. Jurnal Ekonomi Syariah Teori Dan Terapan, 7(8), 1572–1583.
27. Nagpal, P., & Kumar, K. (2020). The effect of perceived high-performance work practices on employee engagement: An empirical study on IT firms in India. Think India Journal, 22(43), 272–278.
28. Potgieter, A., & Doubell, M. (2020). The influence of employer branding and employees' personal branding on corporate branding and corporate reputation. African Journal of Business and Economic Research, 15(2).
29. Nagpal, P., Kumar, S., & Ravindra, H. V. (2019). The road ahead of HR – AI to boost employee engagement. Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research, 180-184. ISSN: 2349-5162.
30. Santiago, J. (2019). The relationship between brand attractiveness and the intent to apply for a job: A millennials' perspective. European Journal of Management and Business Economics, 28(2), 142–157. https://doi.org/10.1108/EJMBE-12-2018-0136
31. Rajagopal, N. K., Anitha, L., Nagpal, P., & Jitendra, G. (2024). Green HR techniques: A sustainable strategy to boost employee engagement. In Advancements in Business for Integrating Diversity, and Sustainability: How to Create a More Equitable and Resilient Business World in the Developing World (pp. 104-107). Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.
32. Subbarao, N. V., Chhabra, B., & Mishra, M. (2022). Social media usage behavior in job search: Implications for corporate image and employer branding. In Managing Disruptions in Business (pp. 51–79). Palgrave Macmillan.