Available online at www.bpasjournals.com

An Analytical Study on Perception and Satisfaction of the National Pension Scheme

¹Dr. Shabana. S, ²Nimy. K C, ³Jhoncy Rani. V, ⁴Sumayya P A

¹Assistant Professor in Department of Commerce, Bharathamatha College of Arts and Science ,Kozhinjampara. E-mail:shabana@bharathamathacollege.com

 $, Kozhinjampara, E-mail: \underline{sumayya@bharathamathacollege.com}\\$

How to cite this article: Shabana. S, Nimy. K C, Jhoncy Rani. V, Sumayya P A (2024). An Analytical Study on Perception and Satisfaction of the National Pension Scheme. *Library Progress International*, 44(3), 24000-24005

Abstarct

This study analyzes the perception and satisfaction of National Pension Scheme (NPS) subscribers in Palakkad, Kerala. Focusing on a sample of 103 government employees, the research explores how factors such as age, education, residence, and experience impact satisfaction levels with the NPS. Using tools like One-Way ANOVA and correlation analysis, the study finds that variables like place of residence, total experience, and monthly income significantly affect satisfaction, with experience and income showing a negative influence. The research highlights that while NPS is an effective retirement tool, improvements in fund management and subscriber engagement could enhance its appeal. The findings offer valuable insights for policymakers to optimize the scheme and better serve its subscribers.

Key words: National Pension Scheme, retirement planning, perception, satisfaction

Introduction

Pension schemes play a vibrant role in ensuring financial security for senior citizens post-retirement. They allow individuals to conserve their quality of life and independence without relying on others. For India's working population, especially in public and private sectors, a viable retirement plan is essential to retain their standard of living. One of the key initiatives addressing this requirement is the National Pension Scheme (NPS), introduced by the Government of India. The NPS is South Asia's first Defined Contribution (DC) pension scheme, proposing features like individual retirement accounts, product choices, professional fund management, and centralized administration.

The NPS is accessible to employees through public, private, and unorganized sectors (except for the armed forces), allowing them to invest in a pension account throughout their employment. Upon retirement, subscribers can extract a portion of the accumulated corpus, ensuring a steady income stream in their retirement years.

Architects of the National Pension System

Point of Presence (POP)

Point of Presence (POP) is the first point of collaboration of the NPS subscriber with the NPS architecture. The authorized branches of a POP, called Point of Presence Service Providers (POP-SPs), will act as collection points and outspread a number of customer services to NPS subscribers.

Central Record keeping Agency (CRA)

The recordkeeping, administration and customer service roles for all subscribers of the NPS are being handled by the National Securities Depository Limited (NSDL), which is performing as the Central Record keeper for the NPS.

Pension Funds (PFs)/Pension Fund Managers (PFMs)

The following Pension Funds (PFs) appointed by PFRDA would manage asset under the NPS:

²Assistant Professor in Department of Commerce, Bharathamatha College of Arts and Science ,Kozhinjampara. E-mail:nimy@bharathamathacollege.com

³Assistant Professor & Head in Department of Commerce, Bharathamatha College of Arts and Science

[,]Kozhinjampara, E-mail:jhoncy@bharathamathacollege.com

⁴Assistant Professor & Head in Department of Management, Bharathamatha College of Arts and Science

- i. ICICI Prudential Pension Funds Management Company Limited
- ii. IDFC Pension Fund Management Company Limited
- iii. Kotak Mahindra Pension Fund Limited
- iv. Reliance Capital Pension Fund Limited
- v. SBI Pension Funds Private Limited
- vi. UTI Retirement Solutions Limited

Trustee Bank

The Trustee Bank appointed under NPS shall simplify fund transfers across various entities of the NPS system viz. PFMs, ASPs, Subscribers, etc. Bank of India (BoI) has been appointed as the Trustee Bank

Annuity Service Providers (ASPs

Annuity Service Providers (ASPs) would be answerable for delivering a regular monthly pension to the subscriber after she/he exits from the NPS.

NPS Trust

A Trust, appointed under the Indian Trusts Act, 1882 is responsible for taking care of the funds under the NPS in the best interests of its subscribers.

Pension Fund Regulatory and Development Authority (PFRDA)

PFRDA is an autonomous body set up by the Government of India to develop and legalize the pension market in India. National Pension Scheme (NPS) is an initiative of Pension Fund Regulatory and Development Authority (PFRDA). PFRDA was established by the Government of India to encourage old age income security by establishing, developing and regulating pension funds, to protect the interests of subscribers to schemes of pension funds.

Review of Literature

Murari (2020) analysed the risk-adjusted performance of NPS fund managers, revealing that LIC Pension Fund Manager outperformed others based on Sharpe and Jensen's alpha ratios.

Jain & Sharma (2018) investigated the adoption of NPS in Rajasthan and conducted a comparative analysis with other pension schemes. They concluded that NPS offers significant tax-saving benefits for subscribers.

Aruna Kapoor (2018) examined investor awareness regarding NPS in Delhi and found that most respondents were satisfied with the scheme's returns.

Keloth & Baskaran (2018) highlighted the growing popularity of NPS, particularly after recent government amendments, positioning it as a preferred option for retirement planning.

Statement of the Problem

The NPS has gained prominence in India's retirement planning landscape. However, limited research has been conducted to understand the satisfaction levels and challenges faced by subscribers in specific regions like Palakkad. This study addresses this gap by exploring the perceptions, preferences, and issues of NPS investors in the district. By examining factors influencing NPS participation and satisfaction, the study provides insights into how the pension scheme can be enhanced to better meet the needs of its subscribers.

Scope of the Study

This study investigates the perception and satisfaction levels of National Pension Scheme (NPS) subscribers in Palakkad district, Kerala. Through surveys and interviews, the research delves into the experiences, difficulties, and overall satisfaction of investors. The findings aim to benefit policymakers, fund managers, and future subscribers by identifying potential areas for improving the scheme.

Objectives of the Study

- 1. To analyse respondents' perceptions of the National Pension Scheme.
- 2. To identify satisfaction levels among NPS subscribers.

Research Methodology

The population includes NPS contributors from Palakkad district, encompassing employees from various Central and State Government departments. The study involves a sample size of 103 respondents, selected through convenience sampling. This non-probability sampling technique allows easy access to participants. Both primary and secondary data were used in the research

Tools Used for the Study

Data analysis was conducted using SPSS software. Statistical tools such as one-way ANOVA, Correlation, Regression and Step-wise Regression were employed to interpret the data.

Analysis and Interpretation

One-Way Anova on Perception Towards NPS

H₀: There is no significant variation in the means score obtained for the variable related to the perception concerning NPS with respect to age

H₁: There is significant variation in the means score obtained for the variable related to the perception concerning NPS with respect to age.

ONE-WAY ANOVA

		Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
100% social security in NPS	Between Groups	1.562	3	.521	.350	.789
	Within Groups	147.156	99	1.486		
	Total	148.718	102			
	Between Groups	2.045	3	.682	.598	.618
Citizens aware about NPS	Within Groups	112.809	99	1.139		
	Total	114.854	102			
1000/1 1.1.41.4	Between Groups	4.505	3	1.502	1.159	.329
100% lumpsum needed at the time	Within Groups	128.272	99	1.296		
of retirement	Total	132.777	102			
	Between Groups	.968	3	.323	.279	.841
Age of retirement	Within Groups	114.721	99	1.159		
	Total	115.689	102			
O11 f1	Between Groups	3.297	3	1.099	1.220	.306
Overall fund management is poor in NPS	Within Groups	89.169	99	.901		
III NPS	Total	92.466	102			
Government should fix minimum	Between Groups	2.557	3	.852	.825	.483
return for their investment for	Within Groups	102.317	99	1.034		
reducing the risk in NPS	Total	104.874	102			
	Between Groups	1.869	3	.623	.596	.619
Principal amount is safe in NPS	Within Groups	103.471	99	1.045		
	Total	105.340	102			
	Between Groups	3.174	3	1.058	.985	.403
Achievement of expected return	Within Groups	106.282	99	1.074		
	Total	109.456	102			
	Between Groups	2.852	3	.951	.998	.397
More importance to grievances	Within Groups	94.351	99	.953		
	Total	97.204	102			
Priority given to government	Between Groups	10.000	3	3.333	3.124	.029*
Priority given to government bonds	Within Groups	105.632	99	1.067		
bonds	Total	115.631	102			
PFM is true decision maker for	Between Groups	9.218	3	3.073	3.410	.020*
their investment	Within Groups	89.209	99	.901		
then investment	Total	98.427	102			
	Between Groups	4.992	3	1.664	1.640	.185
Tax exemption is high in NPS	Within Groups	100.425	99	1.014		
	Total	105.417	102	_		
	Between Groups	4.618	3	1.539	1.480	.225
Suitable for long term investment	Within Groups	102.936	99	1.040		
	Total	107.553	102			

The result of the one-way anova for the variable perception concerning with the age give an F value 3.124 and 3.410 respectively, which are significant at 5 per cent level (p <0.05). Hence the null hypothesis is rejected. This

implies that there is significance difference in the means score obtained for the variable related to the perception concerning NPS with respect to age with respect to priority given to government bonds, PFM is true decision maker for their investment in relation to age. In case of variables such as 100% social security, awareness about NPS,100% lumpsum needed, retirement age to get pension, overall fund management poor in NPS, government should fix minimum return, principal amount is safe in NPS, expected rate of return is achieved, grievances be given more importance, tax exemption is high in NPS, suitable for long term investment with correspondent F value .350, .598, 1.159, .279, 1.220, .825, .596, .985, .998, 1.640, 1.480 respectively are found to be not significant at 5 per cent level (p>0.05). Hence null hypothesis is not rejected .

In order to examine the nature and quantum of association of variables with level of satisfaction with concerning NPS, correlation analysis is used. Nine variables namely Age, Gender, Marital status, period of subscription, Education level, place of residence, nature of bank account, total experience, monthly pay are taken for correlation analysis. Out of nine variables selected five variables are found to be significant namely age, marital status, education level, place of residence and total experience are found to be significant at one per cent and five per cent level.

Variables associated correlated with level of satisfaction concerning NPS

- Correlation Analysis

(a) Age

Age is positively correlated with level of satisfaction concerning NPS. The coefficient determination (r^2) shows that age contributes 2.5 per cent of the variation in the level of satisfaction.

(b) Marital status

VARIABLES	R	R ²
Age	.159**	0.025
Gender	.041	0.001
Marital Status	245*	0.060
Period of subscription	.172	0.029
Education Level	.272**	0.073
Place Of Residence	.331**	0.109
Nature of Bank Account	060	0.003
Total Experience	224*	0.050
Monthly income	114	0.012

Marital status is positively correlated with level of satisfaction concerning NPS. The coefficient determination (r²) shows that marital status contributes 6 per cent of the variation in the level of satisfaction.

(c) Education Level

Education level is positively correlated with level of satisfaction concerning NPS. The coefficient determination (r^2) shows that education level contributes 7.3 per cent of the variation in the level of satisfaction.

(d) Place Of Residence

Place of residence is positively correlated with level of satisfaction concerning NPS. The coefficient determination (r^2) shows that place of residence contributes 10.9 per cent of the variation in the level of satisfaction.

(e) Total Experience

Total experience is positively correlated with level of satisfaction concerning NPS. The coefficient determination (r^2) shows that total experience contributes 5 per cent of the variation in the level of satisfaction.

Determinants of Satisfaction Concerning NPS

In order to ascertain the variables that determine the level of satisfaction concerning NPS, the selected seven variables have been regressed on satisfaction index. The results of the regression analysis are shown in the table

4.22.1 Of the variables taken for analysis, the variables namely Area of Residence, Experience and Monthly Income are found to be significant. The other variables do not influence level of satisfaction. The variables that influence the level of satisfaction are explained in following paragraphs:

 $SAT = a + b_1AGE + B_2GE + B_3MS + B_4EL + B_5AOR + B_6EX + B_7MI + e$

Where,

SAT = Satisfaction

AGE Age GE Gender MS Marital status = EL Education level AOR Area of Residence EX Experience ΜI = Monthly Income Error Term

Constant : 52.651Std. Error of Estimate : 9.814 R^2 : .331Adjusted R^2 : .282

Determinants of Satisfaction Concerning NPS-

Multiple Regression Analysis

Variables	Regression Co-efficient	Standard error	t
Age	2.676	2.027	1.320
Gender	-1.090	2.484	439
Marital status	-4.426	3.221	-1.374
Education level	2.364	1.264	1.870
Area Of Residence	5.478**	1.553	3.527
Experience	-5.551**	1.893	-2.932
Monthly Income	-5.820**	2.001	-2.909

Source: Primary Data **Significant at one per cent level

(a) Area of Residence

The regression co-efficient indicated that Area of residence positively influence the level of satisfaction concerning NPS.

(b) Experience

The regression co-efficient indicated that Experience negatively influence the level of satisfaction concerning NPS.

(c) Monthly Income

The regression co-efficient indicated that Monthly Income negatively influence the level of satisfaction concerning NPS.

Variables Prominently Associated with Satisfaction Concerning NPS- Step-wise Regression Analysis

			•		
Step	Constant	AOR	EX	MI	R ²
1	39.786	4.876			0.110
2	47.052	5.760	-6.349		0.197
3	63.026	6.659	-5.512	-5.909	0.299

AOR = Area of Residence

EX = Experience MI = Monthly Income

The result of step-wise regression test discloses that three variables are found to be significantly associated with the level of satisfaction. The total contribution of the variables namely Area of Residence, Experience and

Monthly Income amounts to 29.9 per cent. The r2 value of multiple regression amounts to 29.9 per cent.

Conclusion

National Pension System is a Defined Benefit long-term investment plan for retirement. The underline motive behind the thesis is to evaluate the performance of pension funds registered under NPS. Pension funds are considered as one of the best retirement investment option available for retirement planning. The performance ratios help the investors to assess the performance of the funds and boost the growth of well performing pension funds. National Pension System is the best way to invest money for retirement. National Pension System and all the authorities related to National Pension System come under the regulation of the Pension Fund Regulatory and Development Authority (PFRDA).

Evaluating the performance of NPS (National Pension System) involves assessing various factors such as returns generated, fund management charges, investment flexibility, and regulatory oversight. By leveraging these statistical methods, policymakers, regulators, and investors can make informed decisions to enhance the efficiency, inclusivity, and effectiveness of the NPS, ultimately contributing to better retirement planning and financial security for individuals.

REFERENCE

- Ananth, S., & Gurunathan, K. (2017). Performance of National Pension Scheme in India. *International Journal of Research in Commerce, IT & Management*, 6(07), July. (retrieved from)https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2842131.
- Barik, B. K. (2015). analysis of mutual fund pension schemes & national pension scheme for retirement planning. International Journal of Business and Administration Research Review, 3(11), 102–108.
- Gupta, A., Gupta, R., & Garg, R. (2017). Comparative analysis of National Pension Scheme (NPS) with special reference to scheme E, C, G under tier 1 and tier 2 of government pension funds. *International Journal of Research in Commerce, IT & Management, 7*(7), 23-30.
- Ha, P., & Acharya, N. (2013). Social Security for the Elderly in India: A Note on Old Age Pension. *Help Age India-Research & Development Journal*, 19(2), May.
- Mukul, A. G., & Nandy, A. (2006). Reforming provident and pension fund regulation in India. *Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance*, 14(3), pp. 273-284.
- Pande, A. (2013). Behavior of participants in a defined contribution pension scheme. *Journal of Asia Business Studies*, 7(1), pp. 84-96. https://doi.org/10.1108/15587891311301043.
- Pushpa, B. V. (2021). Awareness on pension plans-a study of investors' in Bengaluru city. *IT in Industry*, 9(2), ISSN(Online): 22031731, pp. 1017-1021.
- Sarma, J. S. V. G., (2017). Significance of Cost Effectiveness Analysis and Cost Benefit Analysis in Infrastructure Development Company. *International Journal of Management*, 8(2), pp. 237–245.
- Shin, I. (2018). Could pension system make us happier? *Cogent Economics & Finance*, 6(1), 1452342. https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2018.1452342.
- Singh, S., & Kumar, N. (2015). Investors Attitude Towards Pension Scheme: Special Reference To Lucknow District, Uttar Pradesh State, India. 84 of 301 International Journal of Science Technology & Management, 4(2), 188–196.