Library Progress International *Print version ISSN 0970 1052*
Vol.44 No.3, Jul-Dec 2024: P. 27520-27526 *Online version ISSN 2320 317X*

Original Article *Available online at www.bpasjournals.com*

Algorithmic Management Of Digital Labor Platforms: Prospects And Challenges

Smt. Vijayalaxmi C Patil1*, Dr. Devaraju2

[1]*Research Scholar, Department of Business Administration, Rani Channamma University, Belagavi - 591156 (m):9972459727, Email Id: vijaya.cp89@gmail.com
[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Business Administration, Rani Channamma University, Belagavi - 591156 (m):9380967606, Email Id: devaraju@rcub.ac.in

How to cite this article: Vijayalaxmi C Patil, Devaraju(2024) Algorithmic Management Of Digital Labor Platforms: Prospects And Challenges. *Library Progress International,* 44(3), 27520-27526

Abstract:
The advent of digital labor platforms has given rise to a new dimension of work, which includes algorithmic management of workforce to coordinate and actualize remote workforce across the globe. This paper explores the challenges and future opportunities of algorithmic management of workforce (crowd workers) in the digital labor platforms through comprehensive literature review and analysis of current practices. The key challenges such as transparency, fairness, accountability and worker autonomy are considered for the study, as these factors are considered as accelerator for a sustainable and ethical governance of digital labor platforms. The lack of HRM intervention, automated evaluation and task distribution of algorithmic management leads to ethical unfairness and distrust among platform labors or crowd workers. Further, the proper balance between effectiveness and worker well-being remains a recurring problem since algorithmic systems give priority to output, possibly at the cost of fair labor standards. Notwithstanding these challenges, algorithmic management has great promise for improving scalability, streamlining processes, and enabling flexible work schedules. This study suggests methods for enhancing algorithmic management frameworks by incorporating worker feedback channels, ethical norms, and transparency. Tackling these important issues can create more robust and fair digital labor ecosystems. The purpose of this paper is to add to the existing discussion on algorithmic management in digital labor platforms in a responsible manner, bringing attention to the transformative potential as well as the inherent obstacles.

Keywords: digital labor platforms, algorithmic management, crowd workers or platform labors, HRM, challenges

1.Introduction:

The emergence of digital labor platforms has significantly transformed the nature of employment, making it possible for the gig economy and on-demand services to proliferate across a wide range of industries.(Taylor et al., 2023).Crowd work is a part of gig work and is in buzz due to its features viz., job autonomy, remotework(Durward & Blohm, n.d.), which is 3different from traditional setup. In traditional setup we may not have job autonomy but certain jobs provide remote work options these days. Crowd work is paid on task completion , there is no formal employment unlike traditional work setup.(Rani & Furrer, 2021). Crowd workers get their major work from digital labor platforms, like upwork, fiverr, crowd flower,amazon mechanical turk,Uber , amazon Mechanical turk, etc(Kässi & Lehdonvirta, 2018). Crowd work is categorized in two forms., app based work and web based work.(Rani & Furrer, 2021). There is no HR intervention as digital labor platforms function through algorithmic management . These platforms connect workers with jobs through technology, and they frequently use complex algorithms to manage the labor force. The term "algorithmic management" describes the application of automated technologies for task supervision, assessment, and coordination.(Wood et al., 2019)

Algorithmic management works by allocating tasks, keeping track of performance and setting compensation. In terms of efficiency, scalability, and cost-effectiveness, platform operators stand to gain a great deal from this shift towards algorithmic management, but workers and regulators will face considerable obstacles.(Rosenblat & Stark, 2016). Algorithmic management optimizes labor processes by using advanced algorithms and large data sets(Strunk et al., 2022). This allows for real-time task matching, ongoing performance monitoring, and dynamic compensation adjustments. With this strategy, platforms can function at a never-before-seen level, managing thousands of employees concurrently with little assistance from humans(Strunk et al., 2022)(Waldkirch et al., 2021). In a variety of industries, including food delivery, ride-hailing, microtasking, and freelancing, algorithmic management is becoming increasingly popular due to its potential for improved productivity and simplified processes.(Rosenblat & Stark, 2016).

1.1Objectives:

The objectives of this paper are to:

1. Analyze the role of algorithmic management in digital labor platforms.
2. Discuss the prospects of algorithmic management in improving labor efficiency and economic outcomes.
3. Identify the challenges and ethical concerns associated with algorithmic management.
4. Propose potential solutions and regulatory frameworks to mitigate the risks associated with algorithmic management.

1.2 Literature Review:

An increasingly important component of digital labor platforms is algorithmic management, which makes it possible to use automated tools to coordinate widely distributed workforces. According to (Wood et al., 2019), the gig economy and the larger trend of digitization are the sources of algorithmic management, as websites such as Uber and Amazon Mechanical Turk use algorithms to assign work, track employee performance, and impose regulations. These systems, which are intended to maximize efficiency and scalability, take the place of conventional managerial tasks with data-driven decision-making procedures. This change has made it possible for platforms to function with little human supervision, which has decreased expenses and improved their capacity to oversee enormous numbers of employees worldwide.(Rosenblat & Stark, 2016)

The potential benefits of algorithmic management are frequently emphasized in terms of scalability, adaptability, and operational efficiency. Compared to traditional techniques, algorithms can match workers with jobs more effectively because they can process enormous volumes of data in real-time(Kellogg et al., 2020). This effectiveness is also shown in performance monitoring, where ongoing data gathering enables real-time feedback and dynamic task assignment modification. Furthermore, platforms can grow quickly due to algorithmic management's scalability, which can handle demand variations without requiring a corresponding rise in managerial personnel (Rosenblat & Stark, 2016). This potential for expansion has played a major role in the worldwide gig economy platform proliferation.

Furthermore, decision-making consistency offered by algorithmic management is difficult to get by human managers. In theory, a more equitable distribution of work and rewards is ensured by applying established criteria for job allocation and performance evaluation, which lowers the possibility of human error and bias (Kellogg et al., 2020). Additionally, workers may find the flexibility offered by digital platforms appealing since it gives them the freedom to decide when and where to work. This is especially useful for people looking to balance other responsibilities or earn extra money(Veen et al., 2020).

Even with these opportunities, algorithmic management still has a lot of problems, especially when it comes to worker autonomy, transparency, and justice. The opacity of algorithmic decision-making processes, sometimes known as "black box" systems, is one of the main complaints(Autor, 2019)(Schulze et al., 2023). Employees often feel unfairly treated and disenfranchised because they have no understanding of how algorithms decide on work assignments, performance reviews, or pay rates (Rosenblat & Stark, 2016). The already unstable nature of gig employment, where employees are frequently categorized as independent contractors with little benefits or rights, can be made worse by a lack of transparency(Cherry, 2016) .

The potential for algorithmic bias is another major concern. Since algorithms are trained on historical data, they can perpetuate existing inequalities if the data reflects biased practices(Mañero, 2020). For example, if an algorithm is trained on data that underrepresents certain demographics, it may systematically disadvantage those groups in task assignments or performance evaluations. This issue is compounded by the difficulty in challenging

algorithmic decisions, as workers may not have access to the underlying data or understand the criteria used by the algorithm (Baudin, 2007) (Autor, 2019) .

Algorithmic management also increases worker surveillance, with platforms gathering copious amounts of data on worker behavior—often in real-time. Because they feel under pressure to meet the algorithm's expectations, workers may experience greater stress and a decrease in autonomy as a result of this continuous monitoring. The absence of human supervision in these systems might exacerbate employee resentment since they may believe they have no other option to resolve complaints or bargain for better terms.(Wood et al., 2019)

The challenges posed by algorithmic management have prompted calls for stronger regulatory frameworks to protect workers' rights. Scholars like (Cherry, 2016) (Berg et al., 2019)argue for the need to update labor laws to reflect the realities of the gig economy, ensuring that workers have access to benefits, protections, and transparency in algorithmic management processes. This includes measures to ensure that algorithms are fair and accountable, with mechanisms for workers to contest decisions and seek redress for grievances(Myhill et al., 2021)(*Forbes India Magazine - Print*, n.d.) .

It has been suggested that the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) of the European Union, in especially its sections on automated decision-making and the right to explanation, could serve as a model for regulating algorithmic management(Zarsky, 2017). However, because digital labor platforms sometimes operate across numerous jurisdictions with disparate legal norms, their global character makes regulating efforts more difficult. This emphasizes the necessity of global standards creation and international cooperation to overcome the issues associated with algorithmic management (Kellogg et al., 2020).

The delicate balancing act between algorithmic management's efficiency and justice is still relevant as digital labor platforms develop further. Even while algorithmic management has a lot of promise, especially in terms of scalability and operational efficiency, it is important to recognize the risks it poses to worker rights, transparency, and equity.(Caza et al., 2022) The creation of systems that maximize the advantages of algorithmic management while guaranteeing that employees are treated fairly and equally must be the main goal of future research and policy development. In order to safeguard worker interests in the digital economy, this involves looking into revolutionary governance models that incorporate employees in the development and supervision of algorithmic systems as well as creating stronger regulatory frameworks(Waldkirch et al., 2021).

2. Algorithmic Management of Digital Labor Platforms:

2.1Mechanism of Algorithmic Management:

Digital labor platforms mostly rely on algorithmic management to function. It entails using data-driven algorithms to decide how to assign tasks, assess worker performance, and determine worker compensation. These systems are made to automate managerial operations typically carried out by human supervisors to maximize output, minimize costs, and optimize efficiency.(Kellogg et al., 2020)

Fig.1Flowchart of algorithmic Management

i. Task Request Posted: Crowd sourcers receive request from clients. A task is posted on the digital platform by a client or requester, detailing the task requirements and expectations.
ii. Task Analysis: The algorithm analyzes the task to determine its complexity, necessary skills, estimated time for completion, and any specific requirements (e.g., location, language proficiency).
iii. Worker Pool Filter & Selection: The algorithm filters through the pool of available workers based on criteria such as skills, previous experience, location, and worker ratings.
iv. Task Assignment: The algorithm assigns the task to the most suitable worker(s), ensuring that the task requirements match the worker’s capabilities.
v. Task Execution: The selected worker(s) execute the task. The algorithm continues to monitor the progress.
vi. Continuous Performance Monitoring: The platform continuously monitors the worker's performance using various metrics such as speed, accuracy, and adherence to guidelines.
vii. Performance Evaluation: After the task is completed, the algorithm evaluates the worker's performance. Metrics such as task completion time, quality, client feedback, and ratings are analyzed.
viii. Dynamic Compensation: Based on the performance evaluation and other factors such as demand, the algorithm determines the worker's compensation, which may vary dynamically.
ix. Worker Feedback and Adjustment: The worker's performance affects their future task assignments, ratings, and standing on the platform. The algorithm adjusts the worker’s profile based on this feedback.

x.Task Completion Confirmation: The task is completed, and the client or requester confirms that the work meets the required standards, marking the task as finished.

2.2 Human Resource Management and Algorithm Management

Algorithmic management and human resource management (HRM) are two different approaches to workforce management, each having unique strategies, benefits, and drawbacks. Knowing how these two methods differ from one another will assist clarify how labor management is changing, especially when comparing digital labor platforms to traditional organizations.

	Human Resource Management	**Algorithm Mangement**
Decision Making Process	When it comes to hiring, training, performance reviews, promotions, and pay, HRM depends on human judgment, experience, and interpersonal skills. When making choices, HR experts take into account both quantitative data and qualitative information, such as workplace culture and employee morale. Againit is matter of subjectivity due to human intervention(Schulze et al., 2023)	Algorithmic management relies on data and pre-established criteria to automate decision-making processes through algorithms. Large datasets and statistical models are used to inform decisions, with the goals of efficiency and objectivity. Further objectivity reduces subjectivity, the objectivity of these decisions is limited by the quality of the data and the design of the algorithm.(Schulze et al., 2023)
Evaluation and Monitoring of Performance	Performance evaluation in HRM is generally done through periodic reviews and appraisals. Reviewsare combination of both quantitative output as well qualitative.	Algorithmic monitoring is continuous by using metrics such as task completion time , accuracy, customer ratings,these are quantifiable . but quality aspectslike leadership,personality are not considered .
Worker autonomy and control	Direct involvement of HRM leading to provision negotiation opportunities, feedback, empathy, grievance redressal, support.	Workers are often subject to strict, automated oversight with limited flexibility. Algorithms dictate work schedules, task assignments, and even routes for delivery drivers, leaving little room for worker discretion.
Transparency and accountability	Managers and HR specialists are responsible for their decisions, which are usually recorded and open for inspection. Employees can frequently contest decisions or ask questions by filing official grievances. Despite the fact that HRM can occasionally be opaque, formal processes like performance reviews and disciplinary proceedings are typically in place to explain and support judgments.	Since employees may not always be able to understand how decisions are made, algorithmic management systems are sometimes referred to as "black boxes"(Merrer et al., 2023). Reasons for assigning a person to a certain task or pay rate, for example, can be difficult to comprehend or provide context for. Algorithmic management may result in distributed or ambiguous accountability. There could not be a clear human decision-maker engaged, making it challenging for workers to question decisions or keep the platform accountable.
Ethical and Legalconsideration	HRM follow well established standards and legal framework which is set to protect workers' rights. It is a Legal compliance.	The use of algorithms in management creates new ethical questions about algorithmic bias, worker privacy under continuous observation, and the impartiality of computerized decision-making processes. It is possible for algorithms to unintentionally maintain or

		even worsen inequality.

1.1

1.2 3. Discussions:

1.3 3.1 Prospects of Algorithmic Management

Digital labor platforms can gain from algorithmic management in a number of ways, including enhanced productivity, scalability, and data-driven decision-making. These opportunities are especially alluring in a technologically advanced, fast-paced world where responsiveness and flexibility are essential.(Rani & Furrer, 2021)

1.3. **a) Enhanced Efficiency and Productivity :** Algorithmic management has the potential to greatly increase operational efficiency by automating managerial tasks. Because algorithms are faster and more precise than people at processing and analyzing data, they can make decisions more quickly and allocate resources more efficiently. Platform operating expenses can be reduced and productivity can rise as a result of this enhanced efficiency.(Toyoda et al., 2020)

1.3. **b) Scalability and Flexibility:** Globally operating digital labor platforms frequently handle millions of transactions every day. These platforms can scale operations effectively because to algorithmic management, which also manages a vast and diverse workforce without requiring a lot of human oversight. For platforms to grow quickly and adjust to shifting market conditions, scalability is essential.(Williams et al., 2021)

1.3.

1.3. **c) Data-Driven Decision Making :** Decisions made by algorithms are based on data, which makes management techniques more objective and uniform. This data-driven strategy can assist in removing human biases from decision-making, resulting in more equitable outcomes for employees. Algorithmic systems also give platforms a constant feedback loop that lets them enhance overall performance over time by streamlining their procedures.(Bruckman et al., 2013)

1.3.

1.4 3.2. Challenges and Ethical Concerns

Despite its potential benefits, algorithmic management presents significant challenges and raises several ethical concerns. These challenges primarily revolve around issues of transparency, fairness, worker autonomy, and the potential for exploitation.Transparency and Accountability : One of the major criticisms of algorithmic management is the lack of transparency in how decisions are made. Algorithms are often considered "black boxes," with little visibility into the criteria and processes used to allocate tasks, evaluate performance, or determine pay. This lack of transparency can lead to perceptions of unfairness and undermine worker trust in the platform.(Merrer et al., 2023) Fairness and Bias : Algorithms are only as good as the data they are trained on. If the underlying data contains biases, these biases can be perpetuated and even amplified by the algorithmic management system. For example, algorithms might inadvertently favor certain groups of workers over others based on historical performance data, leading to discrimination and unequal treatment.(Kummerfeld, 2018)

a) Worker Autonomy and Privacy: Algorithmic management can erode worker autonomy by imposing rigid performance standards and constant surveillance. Workers may feel compelled to conform to algorithmic expectations, even if it compromises their personal preferences or work-life balance. Additionally, the extensive data collection required for algorithmic management raises concerns about worker privacy and the potential misuse of personal information.(Caza et al., 2022)

b) Exploitation and Precarious Work : The gig economy, powered by digital labor platforms, has been criticized for creating precarious work conditions. Algorithmic management can exacerbate these issues by promoting a "race to the bottom" in terms of wages and working conditions. The dynamic pricing and task allocation models used by these platforms can lead to unpredictable income and job insecurity, placing workers in vulnerable positions.(Graham et al., 2017)

1.4.

1.5 3.3. Regulatory and Policy Implications

The challenges associated with algorithmic management highlight the need for robust regulatory frameworks to protect workers' rights and ensure fair treatment. Policymakers must address issues related to transparency, accountability, and worker protection in the context of digital labor platforms.(Berg et al., 2019)

a) Transparency and Explainability :

Laws should mandate that platforms give transparent explanations of their algorithms' operation, including the standards by which tasks are assigned, performance is assessed, and remuneration is determined. This openness is necessary to guarantee that employees can protest unfair practices and know-how choice that impact their livelihoods are made.(Dabić et al., 2023)

b) Fairness and Anti-Discrimination : To mitigate the risk of bias, algorithms should be regularly audited for fairness. This involves analyzing the outcomes produced by the algorithms to ensure they do not disproportionately disadvantage any group of workers. Anti-discrimination laws should be extended to cover algorithmic decision-making, with penalties for platforms that fail to comply.(Berg et al., 2019)

c) Worker Autonomy and Data Privacy:Regulations should protect worker autonomy by setting limits on the extent of surveillance and data collection. Workers should have the right to opt out of certain forms of monitoring without facing penalties. Additionally, strict data privacy laws should be enforced to prevent the misuse of worker data and ensure that personal information is handled securely.(Dedema & Rosenbaum, 2024)

d) Labor Rights and Protections : Policymakers should consider extending traditional labor protections, such as minimum wage laws and social security benefits, to workers on digital labor platforms. This would help address the precarious nature of gig work and provide a safety net for workers who rely on these platforms for their livelihoods.(Gőbel, n.d.)

1.5.

1.6 4. Conclusion

Algorithmic management is a powerful tool that has the potential to transform digital labor platforms, offering increased efficiency, scalability, and data-driven decision-making. However, it also presents significant challenges, particularly in terms of transparency, fairness, worker autonomy, and exploitation. The lack of human intervention may create communication gap and psychological barriers among crowd workers, As digital labor platforms continue to evolve, ongoing research and dialogue will be critical in shaping the future of work in the algorithmic age. Hence a combination of both HRM and algorithmic management system will be a suggestive alternative. Stakeholders of digital labor platforms, Government organization should work together to create harmonious ecosystem where algorithmic management should not be into dictatorship but rather enjoyable autonomous work. There is huge demand as well as supply of crowd workers which can be considered as new age employment opportunity, further training and development would enhance skill of workers same thing can also be include in algorithms by effective use of AI..To fully realize the benefits of algorithmic management while mitigating its risks, it is essential to develop regulatory frameworks that protect workers' rights and ensure fair treatment.

References:

1. Autor, D. (2019). Work of the past, work of the future. National Bureau of Economic Research. *Nber Working Paper Series Work*, 1–49.
2. Baudin, M. (2007). Working with Machines. *Working with Machines*, 1603–1612. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429272806
3. Berg, J., Cherry, M. A., & Rani, U. (2019). *DIGITAL LABOUR PLATFORMS : A NEED FOR INTERNATIONAL REGULATION ? Resumen*. *16*(2), 104–128.
4. Bruckman, A., ACM Digital Library., & ACM Special Interest Group on Computer-Human Interaction. (2013). *Proceedings of the 2013 conference on Computer supported cooperative work.* ACM.
5. Caza, B. B., Reid, E. M., Ashford, S. J., & Granger, S. (2022). Working on my own: Measuring the challenges of gig work. *Human Relations*, *75*(11), 2122–2159. https://doi.org/10.1177/00187267211030098
6. Cherry, M. A. (2016). *Crowdwork , Corporate Social Responsibility , and Fair Labor Practices*.
7. Dabić, M., Maley, J. F., Švarc, J., & Poček, J. (2023). Future of digital work: Challenges for sustainable human resources management. *Journal of Innovation and Knowledge*, *8*(2). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2023.100353
8. Dedema, M., & Rosenbaum, H. (2024). Socio-technical issues in the platform-mediated gig economy: A systematic literature review. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, *December 2023*, 1–31. https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.24868
9. Durward, & Blohm. (n.d.). *I am a Crowd Worker - How Individuals Identify with a New Form of Digital Work.*
10. *Forbes India Magazine - Print*. (n.d.).
11. Gőbel, N. (n.d.). *THE LABOR MARKET EFFECTS OF CROWDWORK IN INDIA*.

12. Graham, M., Hjorth, I., & Lehdonvirta, V. (2017). Digital labour and development: impacts of global digital labour platforms and the gig economy on worker livelihoods. *Transfer*, *23*(2), 135–162. https://doi.org/10.1177/1024258916687250
13. Kässi, O., & Lehdonvirta, V. (2018). Online labour index: Measuring the online gig economy for policy and research. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *137*, 241–248. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2018.07.056
14. Kellogg, K. C., Valentine, M. A., & Christin, A. (2020). Algorithms at work: The new contested terrain of control. *Academy of Management Annals*, *14*(1), 366–410. https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2018.0174
15. Kummerfeld, J. K. (2018). *Quantifying and Avoiding Unfair Qualification Labour in Crowdsourcing*.
16. Mañero, J. (2020). Review of Virginia Eubanks (2018). Automating Inequality: How High-Tech Tools Profile, Police, and Punish the Poor. *Postdigital Science and Education*, *2*(2), 489–493. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42438-019-00077-4
17. Merrer, E. Le, Trédan, G., Merrer, E. Le, & Trédan, G. (2023). *What is a black box algorithm ? To cite this version : HAL Id : hal-03940259 What is a black box algorithm ?* 0–8.
18. Myhill, K., Richards, J., Sang, K., Myhill, K., & Richards, J. (2021). Job quality , fair work and gig work : the lived experience of gig workers experience of gig workers. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, *0*(0), 1–26. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2020.1867612
19. Rani, U., & Furrer, M. (2021). Digital labour platforms and new forms of flexible work in developing countries: Algorithmic management of work and workers. *Competition and Change*, *25*(2), 212–236. https://doi.org/10.1177/1024529420905187
20. Rosenblat, A., & Stark, L. (2016). Algorithmic labor and information asymmetries: A case study of Uber's drivers. *International Journal of Communication*, *10*, 3758–3784. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2686227
21. Schulze, L., Trenz, M., Cai, Z., & Tan, C. W. (2023). Fairness in Algorithmic Management: How Practices Promote Fairness and Redress Unfairness on Digital Labor Platforms. *Proceedings of the Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, *2023-Janua*, 196–205.
22. Strunk, K. S., Faltermaier, S., Ihl, A., & Fiedler, M. (2022). Antecedents of frustration in crowd work and the moderating role of autonomy. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *128*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2021.107094
23. Taylor, K., Van Dijk, P., Newnam, S., & Sheppard, D. (2023). Physical and psychological hazards in the gig economy system: A systematic review. *Safety Science*, *166*(November 2021), 106234. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2023.106234
24. Toyoda, Y., Lucas, G., & Gratch, J. (2020). The effects of autonomy and task meaning in algorithmic management of crowdwork. *Proceedings of the International Joint Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, AAMAS*, *2020-May*, 1404–1412.
25. Veen, A., Barratt, T., & Goods, C. (2020). Platform-Capital's 'App-etite' for Control: A Labour Process Analysis of Food-Delivery Work in Australia. *Work, Employment and Society*, *34*(3), 388–406. https://doi.org/10.1177/0950017019836911
26. Waldkirch, M., Bucher, E., Schou, P. K., & Grünwald, E. (2021). Controlled by the algorithm, coached by the crowd–how HRM activities take shape on digital work platforms in the gig economy. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, *32*(12), 2643–2682. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2021.1914129
27. Williams, P., McDonald, P., & Mayes, R. (2021). Recruitment in the gig economy: attraction and selection on digital platforms. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, *32*(19), 4136–4162. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2020.1867613
28. Wood, A. J., Graham, M., Lehdonvirta, V., & Hjorth, I. (2019). Good Gig, Bad Gig: Autonomy and Algorithmic Control in the Global Gig Economy. *Work, Employment and Society*, *33*(1), 56–75. https://doi.org/10.1177/0950017018785616
29. Zarsky, T. (2017). Incompatible: The GDPR in the Age of Big Data. *Seton Hall Law Review*, *47*(4), 2. https://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage?handle=hein.journals/shlr47&div=37&id=&page=