Library Progress International
Vol.44 No.3, Jul-Dec 2024: P. 28197-28213

Print version ISSN 0970 1052
Online version ISSN 2320 317X

Original Article

Available online at www.bpasjournals.com

Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder Evaluation: A Review And Future Strategies

Purushotham B[1], Pooja R C[2], Bharathi D R[3], Syed Sagheer Ahmed[4], Mohammad Ali[5*]

[1]M. Pharma Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Sri Adichunchanagiri College of Pharmacy, Adichunchanagiri University, B. G. Nagar, Karnataka, 571418, India.
[2]Research Scholar, Ph.D., Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Sri Adichunchanagiri College of Pharmacy, Adichunchanagiri University, B. G. Nagara, Karnataka, 571418, India.
[3]Dean of Pharmacy & Professor, Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Sri Adichunchanagiri College of Pharmacy, Adichunchanagiri University, B. G. Nagara, Karnataka, 571418, India
[4]Associate Professor, Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Sri Adichunchanagiri College of Pharmacy, Adichunchanagiri University, B. G. Nagara, Karnataka, 571418, India
[5]*Professor, Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Sri Adichunchanagiri College of Pharmacy, Adichunchanagiri University, B. G. Nagara, Karnataka, 571418, India. Email. alimohammad973@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Purushotham B, Pooja R C, Bharathi D R, Syed Sagheer Ahmed, Mohammad Ali(2024) Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder Evaluation: A Review And Future Strategies. *Library Progress International,* 44(3), 28197-28213

Abstract

Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) requires careful evaluation due to underrecognition, difficulties in determining correct analyses, and the necessity for extensive therapy. We look at the approaches currently used to evaluate OCD in adults, such as quick or web-based screening tools, consistent investigative and other scientific meetings, unstandardized clinical interviews, and patient-family self-report assessments. Subjects on the subject, physicians, and researchers can select one of these techniques to measure obsessive-compulsive symptoms in a range of situations. Current OCD evaluation research has concentrated on fundamental sign sizes, implying that all symptom dimensions may have a distinct etiology and necessitate specific therapy. In the upcoming, research may show that a successful evaluation for OCD includes a determination of key indication size to aid in the selection of suitable therapies.

Keywords: Obsessive-compulsive disorder, Screening for OCD, Web-based anxiety and depression test, Diagnosed.

Introduction

Psychological health investigators have the conceptual problem of building new-fangled outlines to comprehend and classify mental diseases as well as turning this information into real therapies [1]. Although the present analytic organization systems help keep doctors informed about diagnoses, they are primarily "etiologically agnostic" when it comes to recognizing the life basis of psychological medicine [2]. Our sympathy of the neurobiology of psychological disease founded on categorical classification is insufficient due to psychiatric comorbidity and variance, fluid symptom trajectories, cross-diagnostic overlap in genetic and neurobiological factors, and the dubious effectiveness of many psychiatric treatments [3]. Another strategy is to use novel information generated from breakthroughs in neuroscience about the understanding routes involved in psychiatric symptoms to create a neurocircuit-based classification for understanding and treating mental illnesses. Clusters of individuals with neural network abnormalities have been observed in depression and psychotic illnesses [4]. Different neurocircuit abnormalities might help explain the variability of mental diseases, and targeted therapies absorbed happening detailed circuit dysfunctions may increase the effectiveness of treatment and guide patient care [5]. Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) could prove especially open to this strategy, as it constitutes one of the better-studied neurocircuit-based theories [6]. OCD has long been connected to malfunctioning cortico-striatal thalamic-cortical (CSTC) circuits, but it has been discovered that changes in other circuits (fronto-limbic,

fronto-parietal, cerebellar) also play a role [7]. Disorders that occur in several neurocircuits most likely communicate with one another to form the complicated OCD phenomenology [8]. Consequently, people with OCD might display different levels and pattern of changes in these neurocircuits, resulting in variation in phenotype [9]. Finally, some initiatives have previously identified transdiagnostic features of the experimental morphology of OCD based on their relationship to wider notions like obsession and anxiety. Currently, effective therapies for people of all ages with OCD include psychological counseling using preventative measures for exposure and response, and medication [10]. Nevertheless, OCD remains poorly understood in many those with the disorder due to many challenges to identity, making it difficult to provide such therapies to all those who are impacte [11]. These hurdles can include difficulties with multiple diagnoses (e.g., other forms of anxiety, diseases of the brain, and behavioural characteristics), patient unwillingness to communicate behaviours (due to potentially embarrassing material), and the heterogeneity of signs and symptoms. Such barriers can be addressed with the ideal choice and OCD methods for evaluation [12]. These techniques for evaluation should be utilized for easier detection existence, clinical efficacy, plus therapy plan [13]. This object affords a brief indication of frequently employed measurements and approaches aimed at assessing mature OCD, with an emphasis on new developments in both clinical and academic settings [14]. We investigate the significance of OCD evaluation criteria for identification, evaluation, and therapy design [15-16]. Our paper wish involves guidelines or online inspectors, patient report policies, unstructured clinical conversations, diagnostic interviews, and other clinician-administered measures used to assess OCD. We shall briefly explore the format, psychometric features, and general pros and disadvantages of every statistic under consideration. However, the efficacy of web-based assessments for screening has become more valued in hospitals and clinics [17]. Multiple investigators have developed wide screening techniques to detect OCD as well as other psychological well-being disorders, and to recommend patients for additional examination if areas of concern are discovered. These diagnostic measures have several benefits including the skill to test a huge quantity of people with little time or expenses, a reduction of the requirement for experienced administrators, and the possibility to identify those who wouldn't normally refer themselves for therapy. Limitations include a superior value of misleading constructive complaints and a lack of information for clinical or curative purposes [18]. Some actions discussed (Table 1).

Table 1. Obsessions and compulsions.

Term	Definition	Examples
Obsessions	Intrusive, distressing thought, impulse or image	Worries about dirt/germs Fears that harm may come to self/others Doubting an action was performed correctly Sexual/religious obsessions Worries about losing things
Compulsions	Repetitive action aimed at reducing distress or anxiety	Hand washing/cleaning Counting Praying Checking Reassurance-seeking Ordering Hoarding

Screening for OCD using web-based and other short methods

Notwithstanding the existence of strong clinical and further evaluation methods designed for people having OCD, as low than 20% of individuals having OCD always request care from a medical qualified [18]. Scientists have discovered hurdles to treatment-looking for OCD affected role, including those shared by many mental illnesses (for example, cost and service availability). Yet, variables linked exactly through OCD may additionally reduce self-referral for action, including anxiety of sharing irrational preoccupation that are non-easily recognized by therapists, and unwillingness to involve in experience-founded psychotherapy [19]. Considering that individuals with OCD cannot recognise oneself for treatment, it is vital to support regular testing in order to boost the possibility of doctors recognizing affected role with obsessive-compulsive signs [20]. Short-term screening tests and network or electronic surveys may be utilized to screening individuals before office visits, thereby reducing the load of assessment in medical offices [21]. Using already validated measurements in an online manner may

prove useful in clinical or research environments. The Obsessive-Compulsive Inventory (OCI) and the Obsessive Beliefs Questionnaire (OBQ-44) are two examples of widely used questionnaires using paper and pencil that have been qualitatively approved to be utilized in an on the internet version [22]. The Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale (Y-BOCS), the instrument most frequently employed for the measurement of obsessive-compulsive symptoms in clinical and research settings, has been transformed into an electronic form that assesses indication occurrence and severity similarly to the clinician-administered revision for individuals with OCD [23], However, there was a high proportion of erroneous signs for people without OCD [24]. Other research has converted the Y-BOCS for computerized or machine-assisted digital voice over the phone, with moderate-to-high associations among clinician and CPU versions [25]. Remarkably, the BT STEPS program, a cyberspace-based calculation and handling set for OCD [26], found using the site and electronic dynamic telephone system was effective for self-evaluation and planning therapy in a placebo-skilful study for adult OCD [27]. Notwithstanding, early usage of net-based evaluations, the knowledge has primarily employed in investigate [28]. Additionally, studies into its application have decreased in the past few decades.

Website Assessment Survey

The Web Screening Questionnaire (WSQ) is a website-created tool for screening with 15 measures assessing a variety of psychological diseases, including nervousness, state of mind, and drug issues [29]. Answers are either yes/no or a 7-point Likert scale. The WSQ takes about 2 minutes to finish and is intended to be used as a preliminary screening tool before visiting the doctor for primary care or in mental wellness centres for testing for comorbidities [30]. The testing items for OCD relied on the Y-BOCS and displayed acceptable sensitivity [31], but only acceptable accuracy for identification of OCD symptoms when compared to a completely organized clinical interview [32]. In general, this metric may be an asset in contexts with restricted opportunity.

Web-based anxiety and depression test

The Web-Based Depression and Anxiousness Test (WB-DAT) comprises an 11-item test that evaluates for signs of major depressive illness plus many nervousness-related conditions, especially OCD [33]. Patients may be given extra questions to complete built on their early authorisation of indications [34]. The WB-DAT items were selected rendering to the Analytic then Arithmetical Physical or Mental Disorders of Disorders of the Mind - the Fourth Version (DSM-IV) and the International Statistical Classes of Disorders and associated medical issues - 10 Edition categories for related illnesses [35]. The WB-DAT was created for use in hospitals and clinics [37], and it generates an overview document with favourable findings for doctors to go over with individuals. When matching indicators indicated through the WB-DAT to the organised psychological interview for DSM Disorder, sensitivity for OCD diagnoses was acceptable (0.71) and validity was outstanding (0.97) [38].

Symptom-Based Assessment Method for General Practitioners

The Symptom Driven Diagnostic System for Primary Care (SDDS-PC) consumes remained evaluated using pencil and paper and digital modes [39]. It comprises of a two-stage approach in which clients perform an online assessment scale and then nurses perform an additional assessment (varying from 1.5 to 3.5 minutes in length) [40]. The results include an overview of one page and a generated by computers analysis for the doctor providing key maintenance, who will follow ahead with the individual [41]. The SDDS-PC tests for a wide range of psychological illnesses, particularly significant depression, drug abuse, then anxieties (which involves OCD) [41]. The SDDC-PC, in instance, may overestimate OCD symptoms while agreeing moderately with the physician diagnosis. Nevertheless, clinicians were likely to identify mental health issues that had not already been diagnosed by the SDDS-PC.

Patient-reported strategies: screenings and therapeutic value

Patients-report assessments are frequently employed across clinical and academic settings since they're simple and quick to use and have the advantage of getting data from the point of view of an individual or client's cousin [42]. They are especially useful for screening for OCD due to their fast management, and they can be used in conjunction with questionnaires to diagnose OCD [43]. Other benefits include utility in assessing the effect of therapy, the capacity to directly assess the answers of affected role as well as their families to different versions of the exact same evaluate, and the access of great reference archives aimed at several self-account metrics [44].

The disadvantages of enduring-account events include challenges of use for persons with a communication impediment or little understanding ability, the possibility of item misunderstanding, and limited adaptability when compared to other forms of evaluation [45]. Furthermore, in individuals with idiosyncratic signs, self-report assessments could understate the severity of symptoms and/or disability [46].

Yale-Brown Obsessive–Compulsive Scale – Self Report

The benefits of a clinician-administered Yale-Brown Obsessive–Compulsive Scale (Y–BOCS) are combined by the usefulness of a personality-report measure in the Y–BOCS–SR [47]. In order to identify the three main obsessions and compulsions, the Y-BOCS-SR asks patients to report whether 58 obsessions and compulsions are present or absent [48]. Patients score obsessions and compulsions separately based on factors like control, interference, time spent, distress, and resistance. A five-point Likert-type scale is used to score the responses (0 being "none" and 4 being "extreme") [49–50]. The Y–BOCS–SR has shown high psychometric qualities, such as sufficient criterion-related validity, convergent legality with the Y–BOCS, test-retest reliability, and internal consistency [51]. When measure-related validity is evaluated using a threshold of 16 for OCD diagnosis, it is sufficient. All things considered, the Y-BOCS-SR is a useful indicator of symptom severity and could be applied to aid in diagnosis [52-53].

Updated Obsessive-Compulsive Inventory (UOCI)

Good subscale internal consistency, satisfactory-to-decent test-retest consistency, discriminant rationality, and convergent validity with the Y-BOCS have all been proven by the UOCI [54]. 21 is the ideal cut-off point for differentiating UOCI patients from nonanxious controls [55]. Because the UOCI has published clinical cut-off scores, it has generally shown strong psychometric qualities in equally scientific and normative samples and could be helpful in making diagnostic judgments [56]. The absence of a difficulty degree and the fact that desires are given a higher rating than obsessions are two drawbacks of the UOCI [57]. Furthermore, a patient's overall severity may be misrepresented by their UOCI total scores (for example, a patient with mild symptoms in multiple fields might appear more impaired than a patient with severe impairment in just one area) [58]. Because of this, selected investigators have proposed that the OCI-R's individual subscale scores would be a more accurate measure of UOCI symptoms [59].

Compulsions, Obsessions, and Compulsive Signals Schedule

The validity that converges with the UOCI and Y–BOCS scores, as well as strong internal consistency and 2-month test-retest reliability [60]. The SCOPI can be used to measure the aspects of obsessive-compulsive symptoms and has strong preliminary psychometric qualities overall [61]. Since the SCOPI hasn't been compared to a measure that doesn't evaluate obsessive-compulsive symptoms, its divergent validity is yet uncertain [62].

Dimensional Obsessive–Compulsive Scale

In line with earlier empirical research into the underlying symptom dimensions of OCD, the Dimensional Obsessive–Compulsive Scale (DOCS) is a 20-item assessment tool used to gauge obsessive-compulsive symptoms. Four dimensions of symptoms are measured by the DOCS: symmetry/completeness/exactness, undesirable obsessional thoughts, contamination, and culpability for harm [63]. The DOCS comprise five measures that evaluate time spent, prevention, anxiety, impairment of function, and difficulty ignoring obsessions or compulsions within each dimension [64]. With strong interior reliability, 12-week test-retest reliability, strong convergent legitimacy with the Y-BOCS and OCI-R, differences in validity with depression and general stress and anxiety measures, and evidence of criterion validity, the DOCS has good psychological features overall [65]. When considering the most enhanced scale, the DOCS has shown demonstrated sensitivity to therapies [66,67]. Assessment of overall severity/impairment, the capacity to quantify previously validated OCD symptom domains, and high-quality psychometric data collected across several sites are all benefits of the DOCS [68]. A few drawbacks include that the DOCS's psychometrics have not yet been documented in other research, it does not evaluate hoarding symptoms, and it does not elicit comprehensive information about symptom presentation [69].

Florida Obsessive–Compulsive Inventory

The twenty-five questions in the Florida Obsessive-Compulsive Questionnaire (FOCI) are divided into two

subscales: The Symptom Severity Scale and the Symptom Checklist [70]. However, the Sign intensity Degree evaluates five extent of intensity (i.e., the amount of time spent on obsessed symptoms) on a scale from 0 = "none" to 5 = "extreme," the Symptom Checklist evaluates the bearing or absence of 10 obsessions and ten compulsions [71]. The FOCI exhibit distinct validity, convergence in the rationality of the seriousness index with the Y-BOCS, excellent internal consistency, and susceptibility to treatment effects after CBT [72]. Generally speaking, the FOCI is a personality-report evaluation that can be administered quickly, which makes it helpful for screening [73]. Additionally, it has shown promising psychometric qualities in nonclinical samples and OCD patients, exhibiting a strong correlation with the Y-BOCS and showing promise as a screener [74]. The FOCI clearly benefit from including a subscale for assessing severity [75]. The FOCI do not, however, include a wealth of psychometric data, therefore it might not help gather comprehensive data on specific OCD symptoms.

The Short Form of the Leyton Obsessive Inventory

With a yes/no response style, the 30-item Leyton Obsessional Inventory – Short Form (SF-LOI) evaluates whether obsessive-compulsive symptoms are present or not [76,77]. The SF-LOI psychometric qualities are sufficient; in a sample of college students, it demonstrated strong discriminant validity and internal consistency. Although the SF-LOI has the advantage of being quick and simple to administer, its drawbacks include an absence of data utilizing a scientific example of OCD patients and comparatively little-known psychometric data (such as unknown test-retest dependability and convergent rationality). Subscales for the SF-LOI have not been discovered, and its sensitivity to treatment effects is unknown [78]. This 30-item test, known as the Leyton Obsessional Inventory – Short Form (LOI–SF), evaluates

When OCD is diagnosed

Finding patients who might not show up for assessment or treatment requires the use of selecting and easy-going-account measures. It is not possible to diagnose OCD based on these measurements alone [79]. But Standardized or non-standardized interviews are usually used to assess OCD for diagnostic purposes. This process takes a lot of time because it is necessary to confirm the existence of OCD symptoms and rule out other possible explanations for them [80]. Given the variability of OCD symptoms and the potential difficulties in revealing the nature of obsessions, some other diseases may manifest similarly to OCD [81]. Widespread apprehension disorder, for instance, is indicated by intense worry that may be supplemented by behaviours like checking or seeking reassurance [82]. Repetitive activities that can be hard to differentiate from OCD rituals are a hallmark of convulsion conditions, which repeatedly co-happen with OCD [83]. People who suffer from a condition known as organic structure dysmorphic syndrome may experience ritualistic reflections approaching their bodies that are similar to OCD obsessions in content (e.g., looking in the mirror). Features of these and other illnesses, such as compulsive-obsessive personality illness, eating disorders, dip, autistic spectrum disorders, psychotic disorders, and others, overlap with OCD symptoms and make diagnosis more difficult. Despite this challenge, we examine interviewing methods in this part that help the accurate diagnosis of OCD [84].

Unplanned clinical interview

When evaluating OCD in a research setting, standardized instruments are usually employed (see the section below on "Clinician-administered measures"). In contrast, unstructured clinical interviews have historically been used in applied clinical practice [85]. In order to determine diagnoses, this later kind of evaluation usually involves speaking with the affected role [86]. In clinical practice, free interviews are most frequently used to make diagnoses since they are very flexible and allow for the customization of questions for each patient. Clinicians may be able to create a comprehensive case formulation that incorporates psychological and functional data with this degree of personalized assessment [87].

Additionally, it might enable doctors to evaluate prognostic information, including treatment response moderators, and tie evaluation data to intervention alternatives. Given the current dearth of tools to evaluate treatment outcome modifiers or predict therapy response, this can be especially beneficial [88]. The unstructured clinical interview's lack of standardization for defining diagnostic status is a disadvantage, despite the fact that its flexibility is a significant asset in customizing assessment to specific patients [89]. There may not be much complete treaty concerning standardized and formless clinical talks. Research comparation together structured then formless scientific conferences to external validity indices (such as expert review, independent daily

behaviour observation, and other indicators of the diagnosis in question) has confirmed that structured interviews have a greater validity rate [90].

Furthermore, plans for therapy may suffer if unstructured interviews are used to make incorrect diagnoses. Formless meetings are essential aimed at obtaining evidence not evaluated by current analytic tools, even if structured or semi-structured interviews may be the most effective way to evaluate diagnostic data regarding OCD [91]. Specifically, while evaluating a diagnosis of OCD, professionals will benefit from learning about family psychiatric history. Finding the majority of evidence for an OCD diagnosis or a patient's risk of acquiring OCD or a related illness may depend on research's growing knowledge with OCD and associated diseases [92]. Younger individuals with OCD may have more impacted family members, therefore evaluating family history may be more crucial for them. Psychosocial repercussions, the significance of a finding, excessive concentrations of intimate modification, or domestic participants who exist also severely impacted by OCD symptoms, may also be difficult for people with a family history of OCD [93]. Families of an individual with OCD may also have higher prevalence of conditions including anxiety illnesses, body dysmorphic illness, tic conditions, and other dressing illnesses (such casing selecting) in adding to a family history of OCD [94]. According to recent studies, increased prevalence of various familial comorbidities may be linked to specific OCD symptom dimensions [95-96].

Clinician-performed interventions

As additional clinicians shift toward indication-founded valuation, organized or rig-organized conferences and psychometrically authenticated events have become more common in practice, even though factually the mainstream of scientific identities was complete using formless scientific meetings [97]. A key component of the systematic examination of OCD symptoms is the advantage of clinician-administered measures, such as analytic meetings and other assessments [98]. Gaining comprehensive knowledge about certain indicators and idiographic compulsive-obsessive produces is one of the unique benefits. Together with patient and family report measures, clinician interviews offer the flexibility to guarantee that patients are given the chance to explain certain points [99]. This is especially critical for individuals with OCD because their indicators may be linked to reduced insight, meaning they might not be listed as indicator on a self-report survey [100].

These physician-managed assessments have drawbacks, such as the time requirements for administration, the degree of training required of clinicians, and the vulnerability of patients to demand characteristics (e.g., request to prove or disprove a certain diagnosis, want to please the doctor). Clinician-administered measures may have additional variable reliability than patient-report measures due to changes in interviewer variables (e.g., expertise and complexity of questions). The following sections examine the most widely treated clinician-attained OCD assesses, such as semi-structured inventories, formal diagnostic interviews, and observational assessments [101].

Interviews for diagnosis

A systematic clinical conversation for disorders classified as DSM-IV Axis I

To diagnose a variety of Alignment I syndromes, the semi-organized interview that follows DSV-IV conditions. Considered the gold-plated regular for the general diagnosis of DSM-IV disorders in adults, the SCCD is most frequently utilized in investigative situations. Clinicians use probing subjects built on previous and current signs in adding to demographic data [102]. Instructions let the clinician skip the remaining diagnostic section questions if the initial core symptoms of a diagnosis are not met [103]. Additionally, presently remains a condensed scientific kind of the SCCD that covers conditions frequently seen in clinical settings, however, it leaves out certain conditions including anxiety about social situations and eating disorders. When evaluated in a typical proven consultation, the SCCD 's psychometric qualities often include greater diagnostic validity and good test-retest reliability [104]. However, the SCCD has come under fire for failing to provide clinically meaningful information about OCD, and the diagnosis of OCD has a poor degree of reliability. All things considered, the SCCD 's benefits include its extensive use, validation, and assessment of many disorders, especially in research contexts. Its applicability for OCD diagnosis and symptom evaluation, however, might be restricted [105].

Schedule of Interviews for DSM-IV Anxiety Disorders

The determination of the semi-structured Concern Disorders Interview Schedule for DSM-IV is to create various trial diagnoses for anxiety disorders, including OCD [107]. Along with screening for other important diagnostic categories like eating illnesses and psychotic illnesses, it also evaluates other frequently co-occurring diseases, such as mood disorders and substance-use disorders [108]. In order to administer the ADIS, clinicians ask several basic questions about the symptoms of each disease, both past and current, and when the answers to these core questions are affirmative, they complete additional supplementary sections [108]. The ADIS has high psychometric qualities, such as discriminant and convergent validity and inter-rater reliability [109]. The dependability of the OCD diagnosis, comprehensive information regarding each diagnosis, and the ADIS's exceptional differentiation among anxiety disorders are among its benefits. Reduced focus on nonanxiety diseases, such as psychosis [110]. Furthermore, the evaluation of the complete range and complexity of obsessive-compulsive symptoms—a crucial component of therapy planning—may not be possible with ADIS [111].

Organizing an OCD treatment plan

Many tools used to diagnose OCD don't give enough information to help plan treatment, especially if patients are receiving cognitive behavioural therapy. Extensive details regarding individual symptoms under various conditions are among the information needed for effective therapy planning [112-113]. To help physicians and researchers assess treatment response, treatment measures should also be responsive to modifications in treatment. Even if some of the previously mentioned indicators are confidential to therapy changes, there might enough data to employ cutting-edge therapy as effectively as possible. The measurements that follow outline components that are helpful to know more about in order to plan treatment [114–115].

Clinical interview without a framework

Due to a lack of available measurements and decreased flexibility, it is challenging to collect crucial information for treatment planning using other formats, just like with unorganized clinical discussions used to diagnose OCD. In a loosely organized clinical interview, information on family functioning, possible therapy modifiers, and developing an OCD experience hierarchy may be especially pertinent for treatment planning [116].

Administrators of therapy

Although modest sample sizes in treatment studies have hampered research into treatment modifiers, the studies conducted thus far point to a few potential characteristics that could influence exposure-based therapy outcomes. Comorbid conditions are linked to less-than-ideal treatment outcomes, including lower motivation to engage in treatment, less understanding of OCD symptoms, higher baseline degree of symptoms, and worse psychosocial functioning. Although customized interventions that effectively meet the needs of these patients have not yet been found in treatment studies, physician awareness of these aspects at the time of initial assessment may help identify treatment difficulties early [117–119].

The functioning of the family

Given the correlation between treatment outcomes and poorer family functioning, it is critical to evaluate overall family functioning in both adults and children with OCD. Furthermore, exposure-based treatment does not work as well for individuals who believe that their family are important. It is also crucial to assess family members' accommodation of obsessive-compulsive symptoms because it is thought to impede treatment components (such as abstaining from rituals) and predicts a poor response to exposure-based treatment for both adults and children [120–121].

Clinician-performed interventions

The Anxiety-Compulsive Disorder Family Accommodation Scale

A measure called the Family Accommodate Scale for Obsessive–Compulsive Disorders intended to be given to a family member of an OCD patient. It evaluates how well the family member manages obsessive-compulsive symptoms. A Y-BOCS-adapted symptom checklist for identifying OCD symptoms is included in the first part. 13 items in the second portion evaluate how well family members accommodate obsessive-compulsive

symptoms, based on the symptoms described in the first section. On a scale of 0 (none) to 4 (severe), responses are graded according to how much time and effort was required to accommodate the patient [122–123]. Convergent and divergent validity, internal consistency, and inter-rater reliability are among the positive psychometric qualities of the FAS. As of right now, the FAS is the only tool used to assess how much family members accommodate OCD patients. Family accommodations are significant since they are linked to the degree and impairment of obsessive-compulsive symptoms. Research has also demonstrated that patients with higher levels of family accommodation had worse outcomes after cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) than patients with lower levels of family accommodation, and that children's treatment improves when family accommodation is reduced. A drawback is that there isn't a direct psychometric comparison between the clinician-administered and family-report formats, despite the fact that the measure has been utilized in both. Family member response bias is another drawback of this measure [124–125].

The Compulsive-Obsessive Stimuli Maudsley Set

Standardized stimuli known as the Maudsley Obsessive–Compulsive Stimuli Set (MOCSS) are used to make OCD patients feel anxious. It has three hundred images that are categorized as showing either symmetry/ordering, aggressive/checking, contamination/washing, neutral, or typically aversive stimuli. Patients are given a subjective anxiety rating on a scale of 0 (none) to 8 (severe) after each category has been visually shown, and they are asked to consider not engaging in compulsion [126–127]. Good convergent validity has been shown by the MOCSS with the OCI-R and Y-BOCS, and divergent validity with a depression and anxiety evaluate. The main benefit of the MOCSS is that it is the first standardized test of obsessive-compulsive indicators that involves exposing affected roles to anxiety-inducing motivations; in other words, it does not rely on symptom memory. Among the MOCSS's drawbacks are its incomplete psychometric data, incomplete assessment for therapeutic usage, and inability to customize stimuli to specific symptoms [128].

Avoidance tests for behaviour

An eccentric, observable assessment of avoidance behaviour and anxiety related to facing a fearful environment or item is the behavioural avoiding test (BAT) [129]. The BAT may include one or more activities, depending on the circumstances, where the enduring is shown dreaded incentives and encouraged to approach them in stages though rating his or her level of distress (usually by means of the Objective Units of Anxiety Scale. When utilized in a therapeutic setting, BATs are typically given both before and after therapy to evaluate functional limitation, symptom severity, and changes from before and after treatment. The BAT's psychometric qualities, which include sufficient centre stability, convergent strength by the Y-BOCS (i.e., negatively correlated with certain measures complete), and opposite authority by events of compulsive-compulsive personality disorder and depression, are sufficient for evaluating obsessive-compulsive symptoms [130–131].

The five-year perspective new

Studying the fundamental symptom aspects of OCD may have significant effects on the disorder's origin, diagnosis, and management [132–133]. Four symptom dimensions have been proposed by dynamic diagnostic research of compulsive-obsessive indicators utilizing the Y–BOCS, notwithstanding their clinical heterogeneity: hoarding, symmetry/ordering, aggressive/checking, and contamination/washing. Some research has supported the use of these dimensions with youngsters, and they are stable over time [134–135]. Furthermore, these dimensions have been linked to varying reactions to psychotherapy with both CBT and pharmakon therapy [136]. Different patterns of brain activity have been linked to each feature of the symptoms. Additionally, recent research has found unique patterns of mental health condition transmission within families that are connected to the dimensions of proband OCD symptoms. The therapy and evaluation of OCD are significantly impacted by this area of inquiry. Individuals with OCD require customized treatment, as evidenced by their unique pathophysiology and response to treatment. Researchers have started to create dimension-specific treatments because of evidence that individuals with various symptom dimensions react differently to treatment (e.g., hoarding). It will be a significant advancement in treatment research to test psychotherapy and pharmacological strategies that target subtypes. To enable optimal therapy, the OCD symptom dimensions assessment needs to be modified for therapeutic usage [137–140].

Feature assessment of the Y-BOCS Indication List is now used to evaluate dimensions, although this method is

not feasible for application in clinical settings. A dimensional form of the Y-BOCS was created by Rosario-Campos et al. to assess the intensity of six symptom dimensions: hoarding, contamination, symmetry, erotic/spiritual, aggression, and other symptoms [141]. The DY–BOCS has a benefit ended the Y–BOCS in that it categorizes otherwise ambiguous symptoms based on the most pertinent dimension (for example, a patient examination that their hands are clean is classified as a contamination symptom, but a persevering examination that they did non injure somebody is secret as a belligerence indication). Additionally, the DY–BOCS produces distinct severity indices for each dimension, which may be useful [142]. The authors also created a self-report version.

Conclusion

Significant impairment results from OCD, which is typified by compulsions (rituals intended to lessen or avoid distress) and obsessions (intrusive, disturbing thoughts). It is crucial to accurately assess OCD because it is not well known, diagnosing it is challenging, and treatment planning is required. Screening measures, diagnostic and other standardized clinician interviews, patient and family-report assessments, and unstandardized clinical interviews are the main modalities of assessment. Flexibility is one benefit of non-standardized clinical interviews; yet, research indicates that poor diagnostic precision may result in less effective treatment. Standardized diagnostic interviews have several benefits, such as high diagnosis accuracy; nevertheless, they can have drawbacks, such as increased time and cost for patients and physicians. Measures based on patient and family reports have the advantages of being brief, simple to apply, and first-person. Among the drawbacks are the possibility of misunderstanding, restricted application to individuals with poor educational attainment or whose first language is not English, and challenges in evaluating a variety of symptoms. Brevity, usability, and the capacity to assess numerous patients and other relevant symptoms in a single session are benefits of screening and web-based assessments. Some drawbacks are the propensity to overidentify symptoms, the paucity of information, and the use of only initial testing in medical settings. In summary, the underlying complaint dimensions of OCD patients should be evaluated because they may influence the therapy option chosen. There are ongoing efforts underway to develop measures that evaluate the dimensions of OCD.

Abbreviation

OCD: Obssesive compulsive disorder; DSM-IV: determination of the semi-structured Concern Disorders Interview Schedule; SCCD: systematic clinical conversation for disorders; SF-LOI: Short Form of the Leyton Obsessive Inventory; FOCI: Florida Obsessive–Compulsive Inventory; DOCS: Dimensional Obsessive–Compulsive Scale; UOCI: Updated Obsessive-Compulsive Inventory; Y–BOCS: Yale-Brown Obsessive–Compulsive Scale; SDDS-PC: Symptom Driven Diagnostic System for Primary Care; WB-DAT: Web-Based Depression and Anxiousness Test; WSQ: Web Screening Questionnaire; OBQ: Obsessive Beliefs Questionnaire; CSTC: cortico-striatal thalamic-cortical.

Funding

The author declares there is no funding.

Reference

1. Coie JD, Watt NF, West SG, Hawkins JD, Asarnow JR, Markman HJ, Ramey SL, Shure MB, Long B. The science of prevention: a conceptual framework and some directions for a national research program. American psychologist. 1993 Oct;48(10):1013.
2. Shephard E, Stern ER, van den Heuvel OA, Costa DL, Batistuzzo MC, Godoy PB, Lopes AC, Brunoni AR, Hoexter MQ, Shavitt RG, Reddy YJ. Toward a neurocircuit-based taxonomy to guide treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorder. Molecular psychiatry. 2021 Sep;26(9):4583-604.
3. Fucà E, Guerrera S, Valeri G, Casula L, Novello RL, Menghini D, Vicari S. Psychiatric comorbidities in children and adolescents with high-functioning autism spectrum disorder: A study on prevalence, distribution and clinical features in an Italian sample. Journal of Clinical Medicine. 2023 Jan 14;12(2):677.
4. Yen C, Lin CL, Chiang MC. Exploring the frontiers of neuroimaging: a review of recent advances in understanding brain functioning and disorders. Life. 2023 Jun 29;13(7):1472.

5. Mayberg HS. Targeted electrode-based modulation of neural circuits for depression. The Journal of clinical investigation. 2009 Apr 1;119(4):717-25.
6. Dougherty DD, Brennan BP, Stewart SE, Wilhelm S, Widge AS, Rauch SL. Neuroscientifically informed formulation and treatment planning for patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder: a review. Jama Psychiatry. 2018 Oct 1;75(10):1081-7.
7. Jameen AR, Ribbons K, Lechner-Scott J, Ramadan S. Evaluation of MS related central fatigue using MR neuroimaging methods: Scoping review. Journal of the Neurological Sciences. 2019 May 15;400:52-71.
8. Pauls DL, Abramovitch A, Rauch SL, Geller DA. Obsessive–compulsive disorder: an integrative genetic and neurobiological perspective. Nature Reviews Neuroscience. 2014 Jun;15(6):410-24.
9. Shephard E, Stern ER, van den Heuvel OA, Costa DL, Batistuzzo MC, Godoy PB, Lopes AC, Brunoni AR, Hoexter MQ, Shavitt RG, Reddy YJ. Toward a neurocircuit-based taxonomy to guide treatment of obsessive–compulsive disorder. Molecular psychiatry. 2021 Sep;26(9):4583-604.
10. Singewald N, Schmuckermair C, Whittle N, Holmes A, Ressler KJ. Pharmacology of cognitive enhancers for exposure-based therapy of fear, anxiety and trauma-related disorders. Pharmacology & therapeutics. 2015 May 1;149:150-90.
11. Sánchez-Meca J, Rosa-Alcázar AI, Iniesta-Sepúlveda M, Rosa-Alcázar Á. Differential efficacy of cognitive-behavioral therapy and pharmacological treatments for pediatric obsessive–compulsive disorder: A meta-analysis. Journal of anxiety disorders. 2014 Jan 1;28(1):31-44.
12. Dong Z, Shen X, Hao Y, Li J, Li H, Xu H, Yin L, Kuang W. Gut microbiome: a potential indicator for differential diagnosis of major depressive disorder and general anxiety disorder. Frontiers in Psychiatry. 2021 Sep 13;12:651536.
13. Obsessive Compulsive Cognitions Working Group. Cognitive assessment of obsessive-compulsive disorder. Behavior Research and Therapy. 1997 Jul 1;35(7):667-81.
14. Wootton BM, Diefenbach GJ, Bragdon LB, Steketee G, Frost RO, Tolin DF. A contemporary psychometric evaluation of the Obsessive Compulsive Inventory-Revised (OCI-R). Psychological assessment. 2015 Sep;27(3):874.
15. Geller DA, March J. Practice parameter for assessing and treating children and adolescents with obsessive-compulsive disorder. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2012 Jan 1;51(1):98-113.
16. Henrikson NB, Blasi PR, Dorsey CN, Mettert KD, Nguyen MB, Walsh-Bailey C, Macuiba J, Gottlieb LM, Lewis CC. Psychometric and pragmatic properties of social risk screening tools: a systematic review. American journal of preventive medicine. 2019 Dec 1;57(6):S13-24.
17. Forstmeier W, Wagenmakers EJ, Parker TH. Detecting and avoiding likely false-positive findings–a practical guide. Biological Reviews. 2017 Nov;92(4):1941-68.
18. Belloch A, Del Valle G, Morillo C, Carrió C, Cabedo E. To seek advice or not to seek advice about the problem: the help-seeking dilemma for obsessive-compulsive disorder. Social psychiatry and psychiatric epidemiology. 2009 Apr;44:257-64.
19. García-Soriano G, Rufer M, Delsignore A, Weidt S. Factors associated with non-treatment or delayed treatment seeking in OCD sufferers: a review of the literature. Psychiatry research. 2014 Dec 15;220(1-2):1-0.
20. Senter MS, Patel SR, Dixon LB, Myers RW, Simpson HB. Defining and addressing gaps in care for obsessive-compulsive disorder in the United States. Psychiatric Services. 2021 Jul 1;72(7):784-93.
21. Vendetti J, Bangham C, Riba M, Whitmore C, Steinberg Gallucci K, Hanson BL, Greece JA. Cross-Site Evaluation of Alcohol Screening and Brief Intervention Implementation Programs in Healthcare Systems Serving Individuals of Reproductive Age. Substance Use & Addiction Journal. 2024 Aug 13:29767342241267074.
22. Saracostti M, Lara L, Miranda-Zapata E. Technological platform for online assessment and report generation of school engagement and contextual factors: Brief technical report. Electronic Journal of Research in Educational Psychology. 2019 Jan 1;17(47).
23. Storch EA, Rasmussen SA, Price LH, Larson MJ, Murphy TK, Goodman WK. Development and psychometric evaluation of the yale–brown obsessive-compulsive scale—second edition. Psychological assessment. 2010 Jun;22(2):223.

24. Baek JH, Kim JS, Nierenberg AA, Jeon HJ, Hong KS. Clinical correlates of false positive assignment in bipolar screening measures across psychiatric diagnoses among patients without bipolar disorder. Psychiatry investigation. 2020 Nov;17(11):1118.
25. Orsolini L, Pompili S, Salvi V, Volpe U. A systematic review on telemental health in youth mental health: focus on anxiety, depression and obsessive-compulsive disorder. Medicina. 2021 Jul 31;57(8):793.
26. Moritz S, Timpano KR, Wittekind CE, Knaevelsrud C. Harnessing the Web: Internet and Self-Help Therapy for People with Obsessive–Compulsive Disorder and Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. Handbook of treating variants and complications in anxiety disorders. 2013:375-97.
27. Chinman M, Hassell J, Magnabosco J, Nowlin-Finch N, Marusak S, Young AS. The feasibility of computerized patient self-assessment at mental health clinics. Administration and policy in mental health and mental health services research. 2007 Jul;34:401-9.
28. Liu B, Young H, Crowe FL, Benson VS, Spencer EA, Key TJ, Appleby PN, Beral V. Development and evaluation of the Oxford WebQ, a low-cost, web-based method for assessment of previous 24 h dietary intakes in large-scale prospective studies. Public health nutrition. 2011 Nov;14(11):1998-2005.
29. Piqueras JA, Garcia-Olcina M, Rivera-Riquelme M, Rodriguez-Jimenez T, Martinez-Gonzalez AE, Cuijpers P. DetectaWeb Project: Study protocol of a web-based detection of mental health of children and adolescents. BMJ open. 2017 Oct 1;7(10):e017218.
30. Meuldijk D, Carlier IV, Van Vliet IM, Van Veen T, Wolterbeek R, van Hemert AM, Zitman FG. The clinical effectiveness of concise cognitive behavioral therapy with or without pharmacotherapy for depressive and anxiety disorders; a pragmatic randomized controlled equivalence trial in clinical practice. Contemporary clinical trials. 2016 Mar 1;47:131-8.
31. Khosravani V, Ganji Z, Sharifi Bastan F, Samimi Ardestani SM, Amirinezhad A. Psychometric properties of the highly sensitive person scale and its relation to symptom dimensions in patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder. Current Psychology. 2021 Jun;40(6):2725-34.
32. Efe A, Tok A. Obsessive–Compulsive Symptomatology and Disgust Propensity in Disordered Eating Behaviors of Adolescents with Celiac Disease. International journal of behavioral medicine. 2024 Feb;31(1):85-96.
33. Radez J, Waite P, Chorpita B, Creswell C, Orchard F, Percy R, Spence SH, Reardon T. Using the 11-item Version of the RCADS to Identify Anxiety and Depressive Disorders in Adolescents. Research on child and adolescent psychopathology. 2021 Sep;49:1241-57.
34. Maj M, van Os J, De Hert M, Gaebel W, Galderisi S, Green MF, Guloksuz S, Harvey PD, Jones PB, Malaspina D, McGorry P. The clinical characterization of the patient with primary psychosis aimed at personalization of management. World Psychiatry. 2021 Feb;20(1):4-33.
35. Katz H, Schelotto F, Bakker D, Castro-Ramos M, Gutiérrez-Expósito D, Panzera Y, Pérez R, Franco-Trecu V, Hernández E, Menéndez C, Meny P. Survey of selected pathogens in free-ranging pinnipeds in Uruguay. Diseases of Aquatic Organisms. 2022 Jul 14;150:69-83.
36. Zambalde ÉP, Dias TL, Maktura GC, Amorim MR, Brenha B, Santos LN, Buscaratti L, Elston JG, Mancini MC, Pavan IC, Toledo-Teixeira DA. Increased mTOR signaling and impaired autophagic flux are hallmarks of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Current Issues in Molecular Biology. 2022 Dec 31;45(1):327-36.
37. Rosendahl J, Bechinie M, Gawlytta R, Frenzl D, Strauss B. Evidence-based group treatments for anxiety disorders, obsessive–compulsive disorder, and posttraumatic stress disorder. Group Dynamics: Theory, Research, and Practice. 2024 Sep;28(3):148.
38. Mulvaney-Day N, Marshall T, Downey Piscopo K, Korsen N, Lynch S, Karnell LH, Moran GE, Daniels AS, Ghose SS. Screening for behavioral health conditions in primary care settings: a systematic review of the literature. Journal of general internal medicine. 2018 Mar;33:335-46.
39. Himmelreich JC, Karregat EP, Lucassen WA, van Weert HC, de Groot JR, Handoko ML, Nijveldt R, Harskamp RE. Diagnostic accuracy of a smartphone-operated, single-lead electrocardiography device for detection of rhythm and conduction abnormalities in primary care. The Annals of Family Medicine. 2019 Sep 1;17(5):403-11.
40. Oude Voshaar RC, Dhondt TD, Fluiter M, Naarding P, Wassink S, Smeets MM, Pelzers LP, Lugtenburg A, Veenstra M, Marijnissen RM, Hendriks GJ. Study design of the Routine Outcome Monitoring for Geriatric

Psychiatry & Science (ROM-GPS) project; a cohort study of older patients with affective disorders referred for specialised geriatric mental health care. BMC psychiatry. 2019 Dec;19:1-2.

41. Adams S, Nicholas D, Mahant S, Weiser N, Kanani R, Boydell K, Cohen E. Care maps and care plans for children with medical complexity. Child: care, health and development. 2019 Jan;45(1):104-10.
42. Iqbal MN, Levin CJ, Levin FR. Treatment for substance use disorder with co-occurring mental illness. FOCUS, A Journal of the American Psychiatric Association. 2019 Apr;17(2):88-97.
43. Quittner AL, Nicolais CJ, Saez-Flores E. Integrating patient-reported outcomes into research and clinical practice. Kendig's Disorders of the Respiratory Tract in Children. 2019 Jan 1:231-40.
44. Nichol K, Copes R, Kersey K, Eriksson J, Holness DL. Screening for hand dermatitis in healthcare workers: comparing workplace screening with dermatologist photo screening. Contact Dermatitis. 2019 Jun;80(6):374-81.
45. Tyagi H, Apergis-Schoute AM, Akram H, Foltynie T, Limousin P, Drummond LM, Fineberg NA, Matthews K, Jahanshahi M, Robbins TW, Sahakian BJ. A randomized trial directly comparing ventral capsule and anteromedial subthalamic nucleus stimulation in obsessive-compulsive disorder: clinical and imaging evidence for dissociable effects. Biological psychiatry. 2019 May 1;85(9):726-34.
46. Margol-Gromada M, Sereda M, Baguley DM. Readability assessment of self-report hyperacusis questionnaires. International Journal of Audiology. 2020 Jul 2;59(7):506-12.
47. Conijn JM, Emons WH, Page BF, Sijtsma K, Van der Does W, Carlier IV, Giltay EJ. Response inconsistency of patient-reported symptoms as a predictor of discrepancy between patient and clinician-reported depression severity. Assessment. 2018 Oct;25(7):917-28.
48. Maloney G, Koh G, Roberts S, Pittenger C. Imagery rescripting as an adjunct clinical intervention for obsessive compulsive disorder. Journal of Anxiety Disorders. 2019 Aug 1;66:102110.
49. Nanjundaswamy MH, Arumugham SS, Narayanaswamy JC, Reddy YJ. A prospective study of intensive in-patient treatment for obsessive-compulsive disorder. Psychiatry Research. 2020 Sep 1;291:113303.
50. Vause T, Jaksic H, Neil N, Frijters JC, Jackiewicz G, Feldman M. Functional behavior-based cognitive-behavioral therapy for obsessive compulsive behavior in children with autism spectrum disorder: A randomized controlled trial. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders. 2020 Jul;50:2375-88.
51. Castro-Rodrigues P, Camacho M, Almeida S, Marinho M, Soares C, Barahona-Corrêa JB, Oliveira-Maia AJ. Criterion validity of the Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale second edition for diagnosis of obsessive-compulsive disorder in adults. Frontiers in psychiatry. 2018 Sep 11;9:431.
52. Wheaton MG, Gershkovich M, Gallagher T, Foa EB, Simpson HB. Behavioral avoidance predicts treatment outcome with exposure and response prevention for obsessive–compulsive disorder. Depression and anxiety. 2018 Mar;35(3):256-63.
53. McCauley JB, Elias R, Lord C. Trajectories of co-occurring psychopathology symptoms in autism from late childhood to adulthood. Development and psychopathology. 2020 Oct;32(4):1287-302.
54. Leeuwerik T, Cavanagh K, Strauss C. The association of trait mindfulness and self-compassion with obsessive-compulsive disorder symptoms: Results from a large survey with treatment-seeking adults. Cognitive Therapy and Research. 2020 Feb;44(1):120-35.
55. Kemper CJ, Trapp S, Kathmann N, Samuel DB, Ziegler M. Short versus long scales in clinical assessment: Exploring the trade-off between resources saved and psychometric quality lost using two measures of obsessive–compulsive symptoms. Assessment. 2019 Jul;26(5):767-82.
56. Shin C, Ko YH, An H, Yoon HK, Han C. Normative data and psychometric properties of the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 in a nationally representative Korean population. BMC psychiatry. 2020 Dec;20:1-0.
57. Abramovitch A, Abramowitz JS, Riemann BC, McKay D. Severity benchmarks and contemporary clinical norms for the Obsessive-Compulsive Inventory-Revised (OCI-R). Journal of Obsessive-Compulsive and Related Disorders. 2020 Oct 1;27:100557.
58. Bang L, Kristensen UB, Wisting L, Stedal K, Garte M, Minde Å, Rø Ø. Presence of eating disorder symptoms in patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder. BMC psychiatry. 2020 Dec;20:1-0.
59. Abramovitch A, Abramowitz JS, Riemann BC, McKay D. Severity benchmarks and contemporary clinical norms for the Obsessive-Compulsive Inventory-Revised (OCI-R). Journal of Obsessive-Compulsive and Related Disorders. 2020 Oct 1;27:100557.

60. Lee SR, Wu KD. Feelings of incompleteness explain symptoms of OCD and OCPD beyond harm avoidance. Journal of Obsessive-Compulsive and Related Disorders. 2019 Apr 1;21:151-7.
61. Ong ML, Reuman L, Youngstrom EA, Abramowitz JS. Discriminative validity of the Dimensional Obsessive–Compulsive Scale for separating obsessive–compulsive disorder from anxiety disorders. Assessment. 2020 Jun;27(4):810-21.
62. Watson D, Stasik-O'Brien SM, Ellickson-Larew S, Stanton K. Explicating the dispositional basis of the OCRDs: A hierarchical perspective. Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment. 2018 Sep;40:497-513.
63. Khosravani V, Abramowitz JS, Ardestani SM, Bastan FS, Kamali Z. The Persian version of the dimensional obsessive-compulsive scale (P-DOCS): A psychometric evaluation. Journal of Obsessive-Compulsive and Related Disorders. 2020 Apr 1;25:100522.
64. Pinciotti CM, Orcutt HK. Common symptom presentations in individuals with probable comorbid obsessive-compulsive disorder and posttraumatic stress disorder. The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease. 2020 Oct 1;208(10):777-84.
65. Gupta S, Bashır L. Social networking usage questionnaire: development and validation in an Indian higher education context. Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education. 2018 Oct 1;19(4):214-27.
66. Katz D, Laposa JM, Rector NA. Anxiety sensitivity, obsessive beliefs, and the prediction of CBT treatment outcome for OCD. International Journal of Cognitive Therapy. 2018 Mar;11:31-43.
67. Ong ML, Reuman L, Youngstrom EA, Abramowitz JS. Discriminative validity of the Dimensional Obsessive–Compulsive Scale for separating obsessive–compulsive disorder from anxiety disorders. Assessment. 2020 Jun;27(4):810-21.
68. Adam J, Goletz H, Mattausch SK, Plück J, Döpfner M. Psychometric evaluation of a parent-rating and self-rating inventory for pediatric obsessive-compulsive disorder: German OCD Inventory for Children and Adolescents (OCD-CA). Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health. 2019 Dec;13:1-3.
69. Tolin DF, Gilliam C, Wootton BM, Bowe W, Bragdon LB, Davis E, Hannan SE, Steinman SA, Worden B, Hallion LS. Psychometric properties of a structured diagnostic interview for DSM-5 anxiety, mood, and obsessive-compulsive and related disorders. Assessment. 2018 Jan;25(1):3-13.
70. Külz AK, Landmann S, Cludius B, Rose N, Heidenreich T, Jelinek L, Alsleben H, Wahl K, Philipsen A, Voderholzer U, Maier JG. Mindfulness-based cognitive therapy (MBCT) in patients with obsessive–compulsive disorder (OCD) and residual symptoms after cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT): a randomized controlled trial. European archives of psychiatry and clinical neuroscience. 2019 Mar 1;269:223-33.
71. du Mortier JA, Visser HA, van Balkom AJ, van Megen HJ, Hoogendoorn AW, Glas G, van Oppen P. Examining the factor structure of the self-report Yale-Brown obsessive compulsive scale symptom checklist. Psychiatry research. 2019 Jan 1;271:299-305.
72. Yee K, Serrano D, Kando J, McElroy SL. A psychometric analysis and revalidation of the Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale modified for Binge Eating in adults with binge eating disorder. Quality of Life Research. 2019 Dec;28:3385-94.
73. Pekruna R. Commentary: Self-Report Is Indispensable to Assess Students' Learning. Frontline learning research. 2020;8(3):185-93.
74. Mohsenabadi H, Zanjani Z, Assarian F. Psychometric evaluation of the Children's Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale (CY-BOCS) in Iranian clinical and non-clinical samples. Journal of obsessive-compulsive and related disorders. 2019 Jul 1;22:100450.
75. Wetterneck CT, Pinciotti CM, Knott L, Kinnear K, Storch EA. Development and validation of the Adult OCD Impact Scale (AOIS): A measure of psychosocial functioning for adults with obsessive-compulsive disorder. Journal of Contextual Behavioral Science. 2020 Oct 1;18:287-93.
76. Naifeh KH. Psychometric testing in functional GI disorders. In Handbook of functional gastrointestinal disorders. CRC Press. 2020 Jul 24 (pp. 79-126).
77. Matsuda N, Nonaka M, Kono T, Fujio M, Nobuyoshi M, Kano Y. Premonitory awareness facilitates tic suppression: subscales of the premonitory urge for tics scale and a new self-report questionnaire for tic-associated sensations. Frontiers in psychiatry. 2020 Jul 3;11:592.
78. Gonzalez-Ericsson PI, Stovgaard ES, Sua LF, Reisenbichler E, Kos Z, Carter JM, Michiels S, Le Quesne J, Nielsen TO, Lænkholm AV, Fox SB. The path to a better biomarker: application of a risk management

framework for the implementation of PD-L1 and TILs as immuno-oncology biomarkers in breast cancer clinical trials and daily practice. The Journal of pathology. 2020 Apr;250(5):667-84.

79. Ferreri F, Bourla A, Peretti CS, Segawa T, Jaafari N, Mouchabac S. How new technologies can improve prediction, assessment, and intervention in obsessive-compulsive disorder (e-OCD). JMIR mental health. 2019 Dec 10;6(12):e11643.
80. Fusar-Poli L, Bisso E, Concas I, Surace T, Tinacci S, Vanella A, Furnari R, Signorelli MS, Nylander L, Aguglia E. Psychometric properties of the Autism Spectrum Disorder in Adults Screening Questionnaire (ASDASQ) in a sample of Italian psychiatric outpatients. Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders. 2020 Oct 1;78:101668.
81. Jeon S, Baek JH, Yang SY, Choi Y, Ahn SW, Ha K, Hong KS. Exploration of comorbid obsessive-compulsive disorder in patients with bipolar disorder: The clinic-based prevalence rate, symptoms nature and clinical correlates. Journal of affective disorders. 2018 Jan 1;225:227-33.
82. Reddy YJ, Sudhir PM, Manjula M, Arumugham SS, Narayanaswamy JC. Clinical practice guidelines for cognitive-behavioral therapies in anxiety disorders and obsessive-compulsive and related disorders. Indian journal of psychiatry. 2020 Jan 1;62(Suppl 2):S230-50.
83. De Caluwe E, Vergauwe J, Decuyper M, Bogaerts S, Rettew DC, De Clercq B. The relation between normative rituals/routines and obsessive-compulsive symptoms at a young age: A systematic review. Developmental review. 2020 Jun 1;56:100913.
84. Malcolm A, Labuschagne I, Castle D, Terrett G, Rendell PG, Rossell SL. The relationship between body dysmorphic disorder and obsessive-compulsive disorder: A systematic review of direct comparative studies. Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry. 2018 Nov;52(11):1030-49.
85. Wu MS, Hamblin R, Nadeau J, Simmons J, Smith A, Wilson M, Eken S, Small B, Phares V, Storch EA. Quality of life and burden in caregivers of youth with obsessive-compulsive disorder presenting for intensive treatment. Comprehensive Psychiatry. 2018 Jan 1;80:46-56.
86. Amjad H, Roth DL, Sheehan OC, Lyketsos CG, Wolff JL, Samus QM. Underdiagnosis of dementia: an observational study of patterns in diagnosis and awareness in US older adults. Journal of general internal medicine. 2018 Jul;33:1131-8.
87. Blank R, Barnett AL, Cairney J, Green D, Kirby A, Polatajko H, Rosenblum S, Smits-Engelsman B, Sugden D, Wilson P, Vinçon S. International clinical practice recommendations on the definition, diagnosis, assessment, intervention, and psychosocial aspects of developmental coordination disorder. Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology. 2019 Mar;61(3):242-85.
88. Blank R, Barnett AL, Cairney J, Green D, Kirby A, Polatajko H, Rosenblum S, Smits-Engelsman B, Sugden D, Wilson P, Vinçon S. International clinical practice recommendations on the definition, diagnosis, assessment, intervention, and psychosocial aspects of developmental coordination disorder. Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology. 2019 Mar;61(3):242-85.
89. Hurst V, Beidel DC. Structured and Semistructured Interviews for Differential Diagnosis: Fundamental Issues, Applications, and Features. Adult Psychopathology and Diagnosis, Eighth Edition. 2018 May 11:105-30.
90. Hörz-Sagstetter S, Caligor E, Preti E, Stern BL, De Panfilis C, Clarkin JF. Clinician-guided assessment of personality using the Structural Interview and the Structured Interview of Personality Organization (STIPO). Journal of Personality assessment. 2018 Jan 2;100(1):30-42.
91. Davis ML, McIngvale E, Schneider SC, Goodman WK, Storch EA. Obsessive-Compulsive and Related Disorders. Diagnostic Interviewing. 2019:155-77.
92. Rodenburg-Vandenbussche S, Carlier I, van Vliet I, van Hemert A, Stiggelbout A, Zitman F. Patients' and clinicians' perspectives on shared decision-making regarding treatment decisions for depression, anxiety disorders, and obsessive-compulsive disorder in specialized psychiatric care. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice. 2020 Apr;26(2):645-58.
93. Pontillo M, Demaria F, Tata MC, Averna R, Gargiullo P, Pucciarini ML, Santonastaso O, Boldrini T, Tozzi AE, Vicari S. Clinical significance of family accommodation and parental psychological distress in a sample of children and adolescents with obsessive-compulsive disorder aged 8-17 years old. Italian Journal of Pediatrics. 2020 Dec;46:1-0.

94. Sani G, Gualtieri I, Paolini M, Bonanni L, Spinazzola E, Maggiora M, Pinzone V, Brugnoli R, Angeletti G, Girardi P, Rapinesi C. Drug treatment of trichotillomania (hair-pulling disorder), excoriation (skin-picking) disorder, and nail-biting (onychophagia). Current neuropharmacology. 2019 Aug 1;17(8):775-86.
95. Chacon P, Bernardes E, Faggian L, Batistuzzo M, Moriyama T, Miguel EC, Polanczyk GV. Obsessive-compulsive symptoms in children with first degree relatives diagnosed with obsessive-compulsive disorder. Revista Brasileira de Psiquiatria. 2018 Jun 11;40(4):388-93.
96. Rostain AL, Culbertson WC. Executive Function Disorders in Pediatric Neuropsychology: Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Tourette Disorder. Handbook of Medical Neuropsychology: Applications of Cognitive Neuroscience. 2019:343-71.
97. Youngstrom EA. Future directions in psychological assessment: Combining evidence-based medicine innovations with psychology's historical strengths to enhance utility. Future Work in Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology.2018 Oct 18:103-23.
98. Loughnan SA, Wallace M, Joubert AE, Haskelberg H, Andrews G, Newby JM. A systematic review of psychological treatments for clinical anxiety during the perinatal period. Archives of women's mental health. 2018 Oct;21:481-90.
99. Thomas S, Kuska MT, Bohnenkamp D, Brugger A, Alisaac E, Wahabzada M, Behmann J, Mahlein AK. Benefits of hyperspectral imaging for plant disease detection and plant protection: a technical perspective. Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection. 2018 Feb;125:5-20.
100. Hasson-Ohayon I, Scholte-Stalenhoef AN, Schirmbeck F, de Haan L, Cahn W, Pijnenborg GH, Boyette LL, van Amelsvoort T, Bartels-Velthuis AA, Bruggeman R, Simons CJ. Insight, personality, and symptoms among individuals with psychosis: Cross-sectional and longitudinal relationships. Schizophrenia Research. 2020 Aug 1;222:243-50.
101. Zimmerman M, Walsh E, Friedman M, Boerescu DA, Attiullah N. Are self-report scales as effective as clinician rating scales in measuring treatment response in routine clinical practice?. Journal of Affective Disorders. 2018 Jan 1;225:449-52.
102. Netto VD, Flores CA, Pallanti S. Pharmacological treatment for comorbid bipolar disorder and obsessive-compulsive disorder in adults. Journal of Psychiatric Practice®. 2020 Sep 1;26(5):383-93.
103. Shapiro AJ, Davis SD, Polineni D, Manion M, Rosenfeld M, Dell SD, Chilvers MA, Ferkol TW, Zariwala MA, Sagel SD, Josephson M. Diagnosis of primary ciliary dyskinesia. An official American Thoracic Society clinical practice guideline. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine. 2018 Jun 15;197(12):e24-39.
104. Matsuoka K, Kobayashi T, Ueno F, Matsui T, Hirai F, Inoue N, Kato J, Kobayashi K, Kobayashi K, Koganei K, Kunisaki R. Evidence-based clinical practice guidelines for inflammatory bowel disease. Journal of gastroenterology. 2018 Mar;53(3):305-53.
105. Cheli S, MacBeth A, Popolo R, Dimaggio G. The intertwined path of perfectionism and self-criticism in a client with obsessive-compulsive personality disorder. Journal of clinical psychology. 2020 Nov;76(11):2055-66.
106. Hurst V, Beidel DC. Structured and Semistructured Interviews for Differential Diagnosis: Fundamental Issues, Applications, and Features. Adult Psychopathology and Diagnosis, Eighth Edition. 2018 May 11:105-30.
107. Robinson LD, Kelly PJ, Deane FP, Reis SL. Exploring the relationships between eating disorders and mental health in women attending residential substance use treatment. Journal of Dual Diagnosis. 2019 Oct 2;15(4):270-80.
108. Tolin DF, Gilliam C, Wootton BM, Bowe W, Bragdon LB, Davis E, Hannan SE, Steinman SA, Worden B, Hallion LS. Psychometric properties of a structured diagnostic interview for DSM-5 anxiety, mood, and obsessive-compulsive and related disorders. Assessment. 2018 Jan;25(1):3-13.
109. Osma J, Quilez-Orden A, Suso-Ribera C, Peris-Baquero O, Norman SB, Bentley KH, Sauer-Zavala S. Psychometric properties and validation of the Spanish versions of the overall anxiety and depression severity and impairment scales. Journal of affective disorders. 2019 Jun 1;252:9-18.
110. Ong ML, Reuman L, Youngstrom EA, Abramowitz JS. Discriminative validity of the Dimensional Obsessive–Compulsive Scale for separating obsessive–compulsive disorder from anxiety disorders. Assessment. 2020 Jun;27(4):810-21.

111. Newson JJ, Hunter D, Thiagarajan TC. The heterogeneity of mental health assessment. Frontiers in psychiatry. 2020 Feb 27;11:76.
112. Ferreri F, Bourla A, Peretti CS, Segawa T, Jaafari N, Mouchabac S. How new technologies can improve prediction, assessment, and intervention in obsessive-compulsive disorder (e-OCD). JMIR mental health. 2019 Dec 10;6(12):e11643.
113. Dougherty DD, Brennan BP, Stewart SE, Wilhelm S, Widge AS, Rauch SL. Neuroscientifically informed formulation and treatment planning for patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder: a review. Jama Psychiatry. 2018 Oct 1;75(10):1081-7.
114. Larson E, Sharma J, Bohren MA, Tunçalp Ö. When the patient is the expert: measuring patient experience and satisfaction with care. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 2019 Aug 8;97(8):563.
115. Staples LG, Dear BF, Gandy M, Fogliati V, Fogliati R, Karin E, Nielssen O, Titov N. Psychometric properties and clinical utility of brief measures of depression, anxiety, and general distress: The PHQ-2, GAD-2, and K-6. General hospital psychiatry. 2019 Jan 1;56:13-8.
116. Kvale G, Hansen B, Hagen K, Abramowitz JS, Børtveit T, Craske MG, Franklin ME, Haseth S, Himle JA, Hystad S, Kristensen UB. Effect of D-Cycloserine on the effect of concentrated exposure and response prevention in difficult-to-treat obsessive-compulsive disorder: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA network open. 2020 Aug 3;3(8):e2013249-.
117. Pittig A, Treanor M, LeBeau RT, Craske MG. The role of associative fear and avoidance learning in anxiety disorders: Gaps and directions for future research. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews. 2018 May 1;88:117-40.
118. Barlow DH, Bullis JR, Comer JS, Ametaj AA. Evidence-based psychological treatments: An update and a way forward. The Neurotic Paradox, Vol 2. 2018 Oct 24:441-72.
119. Baier AL, Kline AC, Feeny NC. Therapeutic alliance as a mediator of change: A systematic review and evaluation of research. Clinical psychology review. 2020 Dec 1;82:101921.
120. Stewart KE, Sumantry D, Malivoire BL. Family and couple integrated cognitive-behavioural therapy for adults with OCD: a meta-analysis. Journal of Affective Disorders. 2020 Dec 1;277:159-68.
121. Oltean II, Perlman C, Meyer S, Ferro MA. Child mental illness and mental health service use: Role of family functioning (family functioning and child mental health). Journal of Child and Family Studies. 2020 Sep;29:2602-13.
122. Baruah U, Pandian RD, Narayanaswamy JC, Math SB, Kandavel T, Reddy YJ. A randomized controlled study of brief family-based intervention in obsessive compulsive disorder. Journal of Affective Disorders. 2018 Jan 1;225:137-46.
123. Calvocoressi L, Mazure CM, Van Noppen B, Price LH. Family Accommodation Scale for Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder–interviewer-rated (FAS-IR). Sexual Obsessions in Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder: A Step-by-Step, Definitive Guide to Understanding, Diagnosis, and Treatment. 2019 Jul 9:353.
124. Patterson PD, Weaver MD, Fabio A, Teasley EM, Renn ML, Curtis BR, Matthews ME, Kroemer AJ, Xun X, Bizhanova Z, Weiss PM. Reliability and validity of survey instruments to measure work-related fatigue in the emergency medical services setting: a systematic review. Prehospital Emergency Care. 2018 Feb 15;22(sup1):17-27.
125. Swami V, Barron D. Translation and validation of body image instruments: Challenges, good practice guidelines, and reporting recommendations for test adaptation. Body image. 2019 Dec 1;31:204-20.
126. Viol K, Aas B, Kastinger A, Kronbichler M, Schöller H, Reiter EM, Said-Yürekli S, Kronbichler L, Kravanja-Spannberger B, Stöger-Schmidinger B, Aichhorn W. Individual OCD-provoking stimuli activate disorder-related and self-related neuronal networks in fMRI. Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging. 2019 Jan 30;283:135-44.
127. Bhikram T, Crawley A, Arnold P, Abi-Jaoude E, Sandor P. Examining the functional activity of different obsessive–compulsive symptom dimensions in Tourette syndrome. NeuroImage: Clinical. 2020 Jan 1;26:102198.
128. Farrand S, Evans AH, Mangelsdorf S, Loi SM, Mocellin R, Borham A, Bevilacqua J, Blair-West S, Walterfang MA, Bittar RG, Velakoulis D. Deep brain stimulation for severe treatment-resistant obsessive-compulsive disorder: an open-label case series. Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry. 2018 Jul;52(7):699-708.

129. Walker DC, White EK, Srinivasan VJ. A meta-analysis of the relationships between body checking, body image avoidance, body image dissatisfaction, mood, and disordered eating. International Journal of Eating Disorders. 2018 Aug;51(8):745-70.
130. Holka-Pokorska J, Piróg-Balcerzak A, Jarema M. The controversy around the diagnosis of selective mutism–a critical analysis of three cases in the light of modern research and diagnostic criteria. Psychiatria polska. 2018 Apr 30;52(2):323-43.
131. Kampmann IL, Emmelkamp PM, Morina N. Self-report questionnaires, behavioral assessment tasks, and an implicit behavior measure: do they predict social anxiety in everyday life?. PeerJ. 2018 Aug 10;6:e5441.
132. López-Solà C, Fontenelle LF, Verhulst B, Neale MC, Menchón JM, Alonso P, Harrison BJ. Distinct etiological influences on obsessive–compulsive symptom dimensions: A multivariate twin study. Depression and anxiety. 2016 Mar;33(3):179-91.
133. Olatunji BO, Ebesutani C, Abramowitz JS. Examination of a bifactor model of obsessive-compulsive symptom dimensions. Assessment. 2017 Jan;24(1):45-59.
134. Cervin M, Perrin S. Measuring harm avoidance, incompleteness, and disgust in youth with obsessive-compulsive disorder and anxiety disorders. Journal of Obsessive-Compulsive and Related Disorders. 2019 Jul 1;22:100442.
135. du Mortier JA, Visser HA, van Balkom AJ, van Megen HJ, Hoogendoorn AW, Glas G, van Oppen P. Examining the factor structure of the self-report Yale-Brown obsessive compulsive scale symptom checklist. Psychiatry research. 2019 Jan 1;271:299-305.
136. Cameron DH, Streiner DL, Summerfeldt LJ, Rowa K, McKinnon MC, McCabe RE. A comparison of cluster and factor analytic techniques for identifying symptom-based dimensions of obsessive-compulsive disorder. Psychiatry Research. 2019 Aug 1;278:86-96.
137. Hamel J, Tawil R. Facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy: update on pathogenesis and future treatments. Neurotherapeutics. 2018 Oct 14;15(4):863-71.
138. Hirschtritt ME, Bloch MH, Mathews CA. Obsessive-compulsive disorder: advances in diagnosis and treatment. Jama. 2017 Apr 4;317(13):1358-67.
139. Gillan CM, Fineberg NA, Robbins TW. A trans-diagnostic perspective on obsessive-compulsive disorder. Psychological medicine. 2017 Jul;47(9):1528-48.
140. Adwas AA, Jbireal JM, Azab AE. Anxiety: Insights into signs, symptoms, etiology, pathophysiology, and treatment. East African Scholars Journal of Medical Sciences. 2019 Oct;2(10):580-91.
141. Storch EA, Nadeau JM, De Nadai AS, Cepeda SL, Riemann BC, Seibell P, Kay B. Symptom correspondence between clinicians and patients on the Yale–Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale. Comprehensive Psychiatry. 2017 Feb 1;73:105-10.
142. Cervin M, Perrin S, Olsson E, Claesdotter-Knutsson E, Lindvall M. Validation of an interview-only version of the Dimensional Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale (DY-BOCS) in treatment-seeking youth with obsessive-compulsive disorder. Psychiatry research. 2019 Jan 1;271:171-7.