

## Decolonizing Governance: Lessons from Kautilya's Arthashastra for Indigenous Communities

Dr. Neha Bhartiya<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Ashu Maharshi<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>. Assistant Professor, Amity School of Liberal Arts, Amity University, Rajasthan,

<sup>2\*</sup>. Associate Professor, School of Law, Manipal University, Jaipur, Rajasthan

[vyas.ashu@gmail.com](mailto:vyas.ashu@gmail.com) ; [ashu.maharshi@jaipur.manipal.edu](mailto:ashu.maharshi@jaipur.manipal.edu)

**How to cite this article:** Neha Bhartiya, Ashu Maharshi (2024) Decolonizing Governance: Lessons from Kautilya's Arthashastra for Indigenous Communities, 44(3), 1168-1176

### ABSTRACT

Indigenous communities worldwide have been subjected to colonial rule, which has often resulted in the erosion of their traditional governance structures and practices. In this context, Kautilya's Arthashastra, an ancient Indian treatise on statecraft, governance, and political economy, offers valuable insights into the principles of governance that prioritize autonomy, sustainability, and justice. Through a critical analysis of Kautilya's Arthashastra and its relevance to contemporary Indigenous governance challenges, this paper seeks to identify key principles and strategies that can assist Indigenous communities in reclaiming and revitalizing their traditional governance systems. Drawing upon concepts such as dharma (ethical duty), rajaniti (political science), and sandha (alliance-building), the paper explores how these principles can inform the development of Indigenous governance models that are rooted in cultural values, respect for nature, and community participation. Furthermore, the paper examines the potential implications and limitations of applying Kautilyan principles to diverse Indigenous contexts, considering factors such as cultural diversity, historical legacies of colonialism, and contemporary socio-political dynamics. By synthesizing insights from Kautilya's Arthashastra with contemporary Indigenous perspectives on governance, this research contributes to the ongoing discourse on decolonizing governance and offers practical recommendations for Indigenous communities striving to reclaim self-determination and sovereignty.

**Keywords :** Kautilya, Good Governance, Arthshashtra, Rajniti, Administraton.

### INTRODUCTION

Kautilya, also referred to as Chanakya, wrote the Arthashastra during the 4th century BCE. This treatise provides a thorough examination of the principles and practices of governing a state, administering it, and formulating economic strategies in ancient India. The Arthashastra, authored by Kautilya, is a classical Indian text written in Sanskrit that offers an elaborate blueprint for governance and the practice of statecraft. Kautilya, an erudite and counselor to Emperor Chandragupta Maurya, authored the Arthashastra amidst a time of momentous political turmoil and amalgamation in ancient India. The treatise had a crucial role in the foundation and administration of the Maurya Empire, which was one of the most expansive empires in the history of India. The historical backdrop of the book emphasizes its significance, since it offered a thorough plan for consolidating and governing numerous provinces under a central power. To fully grasp the profound and extensive implications of

Kautilya's findings, it is essential to have a thorough understanding of this setting. Although the primary focus of the Arthashastra is on centralized monarchy, it also encompasses ideas that transcend its particular historical setting, rendering it a significant repository of wisdom for different forms of governance.

The Arthashastra is structured into fifteen books, each addressing different topics related to military strategies, political governance, and economic matters. The Arthashastra's prescriptions are founded on the premise that actions and policies can be rationalized by grounds pertaining to the state, irrespective of ethical norms. The Arthashastra provides a comprehensive analysis of the tactics required to achieve the objectives of the government.

#### **METHOD AND METHODOLOGY**

This study adopts a qualitative research design, utilizing secondary sources to explore and analyze the concepts of governance and leadership within the framework of Kautilya's Arthashastra and other related literature. The research is primarily analytical and descriptive in nature, aiming to elucidate and interpret the existing theories and practices of governance as documented in historical and contemporary texts

#### **THE CONCEPT OF GOVERNANCE:**

Governance refers to the structure and processes that guide, manage, and supervise a group or organization. The notion of "governance" is not novel. It can be traced back to the inception of human society. Governance refers to the systems and procedures that are used to make decisions and implement actions. Governance can be applied in various situations, including corporate governance, international governance, national governance, and municipal government. Governance encompasses the activities involved in creating and implementing decisions. A governance analysis examines the individuals and groups accountable for formulating and implementing decisions, along with the formal and informal institutions established to facilitate this process. The government plays a crucial part in the process of governance. The participation of supplementary stakeholders in governance is contingent upon the specific tier of government being examined. In rural areas, other influential entities may comprise notable landowners, associations of peasant farmers, cooperatives, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), research institutions, religious leaders, financial institutions, political parties, the military, and similar groups. The situation in metropolitan locations is considerably more intricate. At the national level, many entities such as media, lobbyists, international financiers, and multinational corporations can play a part in decision-making or wield influence over the decision-making process.

The term "governance" has its roots in the Greek language and is closely linked to the concept of steering, which encompasses the actions of leading, guiding, and promoting the occurrence of events. Governance primarily involves the act of making well-informed judgments and carrying out such decisions. Harland Cleveland (1972) introduced the term 'governance' as a substitute for public administration. Since then, the concept of government has been continuously evolving. Cleveland argues that people desire a reduction in government intervention and an increase in effective governance.(1) The concept of governance is influenced by the growing neo-right ideology and neo-liberal framework, which are having a significant influence. It implies that traditional hierarchical structures, where authority is concentrated at the top, will no longer be effective in achieving intended results. The systems will consist of interconnected networks of tension, typified by decentralized control, dispersed power, and several decision-making centers. Rhodes (1997) and other scholars argue that governance represents a shift in the perception of government, encompassing a new approach to governing, a restructured state of organized rule, or a novel technique of social governance.(2) According to Bevir (2010), it can be described as 'government in progress'.(3) In his 1998 work, Gerry Stocker delineates five essential components of the governance paradigm.(4)

- 1) The components of this system consist of various institutions and individuals, encompassing both governmental and non-governmental enterprises.
- 2) The delineation and obligations for the management of socio-economic matters are getting progressively ambiguous.
- 3) The governance model is based on collective action and acknowledges the power dynamics that arise from the interconnection of multiple institutions.
- 4) It is also connected to the concept of autonomy and the creation of self-governing networks of individuals or

groups.

Governing refers to the capacity of the government to direct and exert control over various participants engaged in the process of governance.

The main focus of this governance discussion is on the state, as the evolving concept of governance has influenced the role of the state. The notion of the state has remained pertinent and consistently a focal point of discussion in governance. Throughout history, the primary objective of the state has consistently been the well-being and prosperity of its inhabitants.

As per the World Bank's 1992 definition, good governance encompasses attributes such as effective financial administration, capable governance, adherence to legal norms, and ethical conduct. On the other hand, insufficient governance is characterized by a lack of transparency, bribery, and limited involvement of the public. According to the World Bank, good governance refers to the effective management of a country's economic and social resources to promote development and advancement.<sup>(5)</sup> The approach comprises eight key components: rule of law, participation, consensus, accountability, transparency, efficiency, equity, and inclusion. These attributes are specifically tailored to meet the present and future requirements of society.

## DISCUSSION

The acquisition of knowledge brings great joy and satisfaction to both kings and scholars. The well-being of the populace directly correlates with the well-being of the monarch, and the prosperity of the populace directly correlates with the prosperity of the monarch.

। izजासुखे सुखम् रााK: izजानाम् च हिते हितम्।  
नारम्हियम् हितम् रााK: izजानाम् तुहियम् हितम्।।

According to the Arthashastra, it is advantageous for a king to give priority to the well-being of his subjects, along with his own personal interests. Kautilya's state is characterized as a holistic welfare state, responsible for undertaking many initiatives to foster the welfare and advancement of its populace.

Regarding the origin of the state, Kautilya explicitly gives two concepts:

1. He recognizes the correlation between the establishment of monarchy and the creation of the nation.
2. He dismisses the idea of a divine origin or the force theory as reasons for the emergence of the state. Nevertheless, he favors the Contract Theory as the elucidation for the origin of the state (6).

The state, inherently, is an artificial and materialistic institution. The primary objective of establishing a state is to eliminate injustice and foster justice, so establishing the state as a morally upright body.

The Arthashastra, authored by Kautilya, is the foremost Indian treatise on the principles and methods of governance and administration. An essential condition for effective governance is that the ruler must prioritize the common welfare of the people above their own individual interests. Kautilya bestows onto the ruler/king substantial power, while simultaneously stressing the significance of employing these capabilities for the welfare of the populace. A monarch is expected to behave in a manner that is morally righteous and virtuous.

Kautilya conceived of an ideal state that closely resembled a modern welfare state, in which the King played a prominent role. The King was designated as the principal foundation of the state and the supreme power in decision-making. According to Kautilya, the primary duty of the King was to ensure the protection and well-being of his subjects. He made a clear and direct demand that the state assume responsibility for providing support to young people, childless women, the elderly, and persons who were physically vulnerable or sick and did not have a natural caregiver to look after them. Given that each action had to be carried out with the well-being of the subjects as the foremost priority, it is logical to infer

The notion of effective governance was evident even during that era. The Arthashastra not only promotes the idea of the welfare state, but also endorses the use of compulsion or punishment. The symbol of the ruler's power is crucial in maintaining order and protecting the weak from the strong.

## An Established System of Control for the Monarch and Counselors

Kautilya pushed for a robust and centralized monarchy, wherein the king occupies a pivotal role and wields authority over the majority of state tasks. He was the main foundation of the state and had the most power in making judgments. Although Kautilya recognizes the King's earthly position as being similar to that of God, he does not endorse the idea of worshipping the King. When the King demonstrated noble conduct, practiced self-

control, and fulfilled his duties of protecting his subjects and seeing to their welfare, he was compared to a deity. As per Kautilya, a monarch must possess unique qualities including a noble upbringing, a receptive mindset, resolute determination, and extensive training in all facets of governing. The training of the king was implicitly aimed at highlighting the need of utilizing his powers for the welfare of the people, but also setting specific restrictions on his acts. The Raj purohit would regularly emphasize his duties to him. Moreover, he encountered the ethical pressure imposed by Ministers.(7)

In addition, the Ministers and officials abide by the same code of conduct as the king, as their outstanding conduct sets an example for others to follow.(8)

The king's major duty is to ensure the adherence to and implementation of the law, as well as the preservation of order.

Another important requirement for effective governance suggested by Kautilya is that the primary duty of the King was to maintain law and order and protect the lives and liberties of the people, as the king is remunerated for rendering services to the populace. Effective governance relies heavily on the efficient enforcement of laws and the maintenance of public order.(9) The King served as the chief of the Judiciary, possessing the supreme power to dispense justice and guarantee the implementation of the law. He was entrusted with the duty of ensuring the proper execution of justice and the adherence to established laws. An established legal framework, based on the principles of the rule of law, was essential in deciding good governance.(10)

### **Enhancing Bureaucratic Accountability: Employing Proactive Strategies to Counteract Corruption**

Kautilya's Arthashastra implemented rigorous protocols for the conduct and administration of government officials, particularly those in leadership positions. In the event that an officer neglects to carry out his responsibilities on a particular day, he will incur a penalty up to twice his income. Each department's highest-ranking officer was tasked with the obligation of overseeing the performance of every individual under their authority. An essential measure of successful governance is the execution of preemptive and disciplinary measures to punish corrupt public servants. Kautilya harbored a pessimistic perspective regarding the fiscal integrity of the authorities, thus he aspired to exert stringent control and supervision over them. He astutely noted that assessing the integrity of an officer is equally as difficult as detecting if fish in water are ingesting water.(11)

### **Remuneration and perks for the monarch and public servants**

One crucial aspect of successful governance is the process of establishing salaries and according to Kautilya's Arthashastra, the King's salary was fixed and he was not entitled to any further payment. The stipends assigned to the members of the royal family were established and could not be augmented without the approval of the council.

Kautilya exhibited his comprehension of efficient and responsible governance by incorporating provisions for granting financial aid to government officials even after his demise while they were still in service. In the event of the death of a government employee during active service, his children and spouses are entitled to collect his salary and allowances. On important occasions like funerals, births, or sickness, the families of the dead servant will be given monetary allowances and respected as a way of showing appreciation for their loved one's devotion to the king.(12)

### **Personal administration**

It refers to the management and organization of one's personal affairs and responsibilities. Kautilya recognizes the importance of the individuals who work in businesses, regarding them as equally crucial as other variables.

A specialized organization committed to advancing the efficient and principled administration of public affairs. The imposition of certain qualifications for each position indicates that some essential prerequisites were required for persons to be eligible for government employment. The king personally selected all key appointments, choosing only persons who shown both intelligence and eloquence as suitable candidates for official positions.

The selection of councilors and Ministers was important as a result of the substantial workload. The government underwent a process of diversification. Due to his inability to complete the duty independently, the King had to assign personnel from different categories. At the highest level, there were ministers who were appointed by the King. The government's tasks were decided based on the assessment of each individual's competency and the specific obligations assigned to them. Aside from crucial officials such as the treasurer, chancellor, and governor

general, the monarch directly selected them according to the specific criteria for each role. Before scheduling the appointment, the king meticulously assessed the attributes of the persons under consideration. The evaluation centered on three attributes: citizenship, familial lineage, and compliance with rules and regulations. The evaluation of his previous expertise also appraised his intellect, tenacity, and agility. Upon their appointment, the Ministers were subjected to four tests based on the principles of Dharma, Artha, Kama, and fear. They were awarded suitable roles only after successfully clearing these exams.(13)

After the recruitment process, employees receive training; nonetheless, there is a noticeable absence of discussion regarding this training.

Implementing several governmental departments as outlined in Kautilya's Arthashastra. This can be ascribed to the necessity for candidates to successfully complete a rigorous and arduous examination before being appointed. The Arthashastra outlines the necessary qualities and attributes for different officials and positions in the administration, indicating the existence of efficient government at all levels thanks to a well-developed administrative framework.

### **Key administrative attributes highlighted by Kautilya**

Kautilya has identified certain administrative qualities that are deserving of emulation in modern administration. These traits encompass ministers who possess exceptional expertise, intellectual acumen, and boundless energy, and above all, harbor a profound affection for humanity. According to Kautilya, the King would have a robust and dynamic population and government only if he possesses strength and vitality himself. The monarch must consistently maintain a state of vigilance and attentiveness. With the assistance of the Prime Minister, he is expected to carefully oversee and assess the conduct and effectiveness of his ministers who are in charge of different ministries. An ideal monarch is someone who exhibits excellent leadership qualities, intellectual acumen, inexhaustible vitality, and admirable personal attributes.

He should have the attributes of bravery, eloquent communication, a strong ability to remember, and a keen mind. He must possess the capacity to predict and exploit advantageous situations by meticulously choosing the suitable timing, place, and course of action. The individual in issue must possess the capacity to govern proficiently in both stable and emergency situations. They should possess the ability to accurately judge when it is appropriate to participate in conflict and when it is advisable to seek peace. Above all, it is crucial that they avoid delay in their decision-making process and refrain from letting situations evolve without purpose or guidance.

In order to ensure the welfare of individuals, it is crucial to have a well-managed administration. Nevertheless, the civil service, by virtue of its intrinsic qualities, lacks the ability to simultaneously be both responsive and accountable. They necessitate oversight and guidance.

Kautilya presents three essential concepts for efficiently and systematically administering civil services. An issue arises when government officials, if not subjected to regulation, may resort to illicit and dishonest actions in order to gain cash. Moreover, it is crucial that capable public officials are provided with suitable remuneration. Civil workers must adhere to the third key principle of collecting a suitable number of money, avoiding both excessive and insufficient amounts, while also decreasing expenditure to preserve a positive balance for the state. Every official vested with the ability to execute a task, in their capacity as the Department Head, was duty-bound to apprise the king of the precise nature of the action.

### **Succession of ministers by the sovereign**

Kautilya observed that in the event of a minister's unsatisfactory performance or involvement in any misbehavior, If any conspiracy arises against the king, he should be expeditiously substituted by a more appropriate candidate handpicked by the monarch.

The core principles of Kautilya's governance were constant vigilance and rigorous oversight. Due to his acute perception of human behavior, he identified humanity's tendency towards corruption and proposes countering it through continuous surveillance and the use of coercion. In order to secure the king's firm authority over the government, he suggested the creation of a widespread intelligence network, with covert operatives stationed in virtually every segment of society. Kautilya also advocated for the notion of retribution, instructing the king to show generosity towards competent administrators and strictness towards those who misuse their power.

Thus, Kautilya called for the establishment of a welfare state where the ruler's primary focus was on the welfare and prosperity of the people. It is noteworthy that even during that period, the duties and responsibilities of kings

or rulers towards the well-being of the state, society, nation, and citizens were explicitly delineated. Kautilya offered extensive guidelines for governing the kingdom, encompassing various domains such as economic and financial management, trade and commerce, mining, transportation, village development, taxation, and other aspects. He prioritized not just the people's material well-being but also their moral welfare. Another fascinating feature was that Kautilya's framework of good governance included initiatives for the advancement of marginalized and vulnerable sections of society, in addition to the supply of numerous advantages and facilities, comparable to those provided to our present-day disadvantaged groups. This exemplifies the contemporary and up-to-date character of Kautilya's governance framework in relation to its operational principles. Effective government involves placing the happiness and well-being of the population as a top priority.(14) According to Kautilya, the king's own happiness is contingent upon the happiness of his subjects, and his own well-being is interconnected with their well-being. The ruler ought not to rely on his own subjective preferences as the criterion for goodness, but instead should evaluate what brings satisfaction to his subjects as the benchmark of excellence. Without a doubt, we are presently living in a period defined by democracy, where leaders are anticipated to demonstrate attributes such as receptiveness, obligation, liability, and the capacity to be ousted from authority. The presence of accountability among rulers can promote stability, whereas inadequate performance may lead to instability. It is clear that achieving successful governance cannot be accomplished entirely by the government alone. Efficient governance depends on the cooperation and involvement of a significant number of persons. It can be achieved by showing deep respect for basic human values and rights, by strengthening democratic institutions, and by guaranteeing accountability and openness.

## **RESULT AND CONCLUSION**

The Arthashastra's insights on governance hold significant historical significance and remain very relevant to modern administrative practices. The concepts can be adapted to accommodate contemporary conditions in many ways.

### **Efficiency and effectiveness of administrative processes:**

Modern governments can gain advantages from Kautilya's emphasis on efficient administration and systems based on merit. In order to enhance their effectiveness, modern administrations can enhance their performance by implementing explicit hierarchies, fostering individuals with appropriate qualifications, and ensuring responsibility. The Arthashastra advocates for decentralization, which can improve governance by increasing its flexibility and adaptability.

### **Economic Management**

Macroeconomic policies refer to the tactics and actions used by governments to control and impact the overall economic performance of a nation.

Contemporary economies can gain valuable insights from Kautilya's realistic approach to economic management. The user's text emphasizes the importance of generating wealth, efficiently managing resources, and the government's involvement in critical industries. These ideas could offer valuable insights for modern economic tactics. Modern governments can benefit from using a balanced approach that combines market dynamics with purposeful governmental intervention to ensure both economic stability and growth.(15)

### **Security and Defense**

The Arthashastra, with its comprehensive military plan, can offer useful perspectives for creating modern defense policy. The emphasis on preparedness, shrewdness, and flexibility is particularly relevant in the present complex security environment. Modern states have the capacity to adopt Kautilya's all-encompassing defense strategy, which entails integrating military might with diplomatic and intelligence skills.(16)

Centralized authority is a system in which power and decision-making are focused in a singular entity or group. This entity or group is responsible for decision-making and is held accountable for their actions.

Kautilya advocated for a strong, centralized monarchy, while emphasizing the king's responsibility to prioritize the welfare of his subjects and maintain a transparent and accountable governance.

The primary objective is to ensure the well-being of the general people.

The primary goal of the state is to foster the welfare and progress of its population. This involves offering support to individuals who are in need, ensuring equity, and promoting both moral and economic advancement.

The premise of the Rule of Law is closely linked to the concept of Judicial Independence.

The Arthashastra highlights the importance of legal governance and an independent judiciary. The expectation

was that judges would demonstrate impartiality, and the legal system was created to protect the lives and belongings of individuals.

**Efficient and Ethical Governance:**

An effective government requires the presence of competent, ethically honest, and skilled authority. Kautilya advocated for a disciplined way of life for both the ruler and his counselors, highlighting the significance of a strong moral structure and accountability.

Economic governance refers to the system and processes by which economic decisions are made and implemented. It encompasses the rules, regulations, and institutions that shape economic activities and outcomes. Social welfare, on the other hand, pertains to the well-being and quality of life of individuals and communities. It involves the provision of social services

The state's responsibilities included several sectors, such as promoting economic development (e.g., irrigation, mining, forestry) and ensuring public welfare (e.g., education, health, protection of consumer rights). Kautilya envisioned a welfare state that proactively fosters the economic and social well-being of its inhabitants.

**Education and moral development:**

Education was considered essential for the progress of civilization. The state was responsible for funding education and ensuring its availability, with an emphasis on the ethical and intellectual development of its citizens.

**Protection of Vulnerable Individuals:**

Preventive measures were taken to protect vulnerable populations, such as women, children, the elderly, and individuals facing poverty. This included the ban of hiring underage workers and ensuring the welfare of widows and individuals with disabilities.

**Environmental and public health concerns:**

The state was responsible for the administration of public health and sanitation. Environmental awareness was also evident, since there were measures in place to prevent environmental degradation and uphold public cleanliness.

**Structured and hierarchical administration:**

Kautilya's governance system was distinguished by a hierarchical framework, in which officials at all levels were allocated precise tasks and responsibilities. This structure enabled the maintenance of order and efficiency in governance.(17)

**Foundations of moral and ethical leadership:**

Governance encompasses not just the exercise of governmental authority, but also the moral and spiritual progress of the society. The king's duty involved upholding Dharma (moral law) and enforcing ethical conduct inside the state.

**Responsiveness to the needs and expectations of the broader public:**

Efficient government should be acutely aware and responsive to the needs and welfare of the population. The happiness and well-being of the subjects were seen as inherently linked to the king's victory and legitimacy.

**Efficient and influential leadership:**

The monarch and his ministers were obligated to abide by a rigorous moral code and lead disciplined lives, serving as model figures for society. This needed rigorous training and continuous moral support from mentors.

**Effective Law Enforcement:**

The king's major duty was to maintain the preservation of law and order. An effective governance required the presence of efficient law enforcement and a robust legal framework.

**Improving the level of accountability inside bureaucracies and implementing measures to combat corruption:**

Stringent regulations were implemented to limit the conduct and supervision of government staff. Enforcing proactive and disciplinary measures to address corruption is crucial for maintaining integrity in governance.

**Ensuring Fair Compensation for Government Employees:**

The establishment of salaries and allowances for the king and civil officers was intended to prevent the misuse of authority. Monetary aid was distributed to the families of government officials who died while doing their official duties.

**Comprehensive Human Resources Management:**

Kautilya recognized the importance of capable individuals in the governance of a state. Appointments require

comprehensive qualifications and rigorous scrutiny, while continuous evaluation ensures efficient administration.

**The utilization of efficient governance as a method to attain happiness:**

Good governance is characterized by the advancement of the welfare and satisfaction of the populace. The ruler's satisfaction depended on the well-being of his subjects, illustrating a governmental approach that gives priority to the wants and concerns of the people.

Kautilya's Arthashastra offers a comprehensive framework for governance that effectively balances authoritative power with a deep dedication to the welfare and moral progress of the population. The text explores the concept of Yogakshema. The term consists of two separate terms, "Yog" and "kshem". Yog refers to the attainment of goals by focused effort, whereas Kshem relates to the protection and preservation of what has been accomplished. The Yogakshema style of administration embraces a comprehensive approach, including both the concrete and intangible aspects of human existence and society.(18)

The Yogakshema paradigm enhances the general standard of living in society and fosters the expansion of awareness on a worldwide scale. Ancient scriptures like as Shatiparva, Nitisara, and Arthashastra emphasize the significance of governance as a crucial means to attain the holistic welfare or Yogkshem of the population. The teachings emphasize a holistic approach to governance, encompassing economic stewardship, social equity, ethical leadership, and public well-being, all of which remain important in contemporary administrative methods.

**REFERENCES**

1. Cleveland, H. (1972). *The future executive: A guide for tomorrow's managers*. Harper and Row.
2. Rhodes, R. A. W. (1997). *Understanding governance: Policy networks, governance, reflexivity and accountability*. Open University Press.
3. Bevir, M. (2014). Democratic governance: A genealogy. *Observatoire de la société britannique*, 16, 19–36.
4. Stocker, G. (1998). Governance as theory: Five propositions. *International Social Science Journal*, 50(155), 17–28.
5. World Bank. (1992). *Governance and development*. World Bank Publications.
6. Saletore, B. A. (1963). *Ancient Indian political thought and institutions*. Asia Publishing House.
7. Jain, N. R., & Mukherji, S. (2009). Communicating a holistic perspective to the world: Kautilya on leadership. *Journal of Business Strategy*, 30(5), 435–454
8. Modelski, G. (1964). Kautilya: Foreign policy and international system in the ancient Hindu world. *American Political Science Review*, 58(3), 549–604.
9. Sharma, L., & Sharma, S. (1998). Kautilyan indicators of good governance. *Indian Journal of Public Administration*, 44(3), 265–270.
10. Choudhary, A. S. (2022). Good governance in Kautilya's Arthashastra: An analysis. *Mizoram University Journal of Humanities & Social Sciences*, 8(2).
11. Mathur, B. P. (2008). Kautilya—The art of governance. *Indian Journal of Public Administration*, 54(4), 785–802.
12. Hema, D. (n.d.). Good governance models from ancient India and their contemporary relevance: A study. *3D IBA Journal of Management & Leadership*. IBA Publications.
13. Prakash, A. (1993, December). State and statecraft in Kautilya's Arthashastra. Paper presented at the Fall Semester Mini-Conference, Workshop in Political Theory and Policy Analysis, Indiana University, Bloomington.
14. Jaiswal, M. A. (n.d.). Ethics and values in public governance: An evaluation of the Indian scenario.
15. Debnath, A. (2019). The concept of good governance in Kautilya's Arthashastra. *International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews*, 6(2).
16. Hassan, G. S. (2013). The Arthashastra: An Asian/Oriental treatise on (the art of) governance. Paper presented at the International Symposium on Social Science.
17. Panda, J. P., & At-Paikasahi, P. B. (2020). State and governance in Kautilya's Arthashastra. *Chetna International Journal of Education*.
18. Sharma, S. K. (2005). The idea of good governance: Revisiting Kautilya's Arthashastra. *Dynamics of Public Administration*, 17–18(1–2).

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

We would like to extend our sincere gratitude to the management of Amity University Rajasthan & Manipal University, Jaipur, Rajasthan for providing enabling research environment.

**Funding: NIL**

**Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Author Contributions**

Dr. Neha Bhartiya: Conceptualization, Methodology& Writing.

Dr. Ashu Maharshi : Correspondence, Reviewing and Editing.

**Ethics Approval : N/A**

**Data Availability : N/A**

**Abbreviation: N/A**