Print version ISSN 0970 0889 Online version ISSN 2320 3161 DOI: https://doi.org/10.52710/bsrb-ls.5 http://www.bpasjournals.com

# Microbial Solutions for Sustainable Environmental Restoration

# <sup>1</sup>Parul Bhatt Kotiyal\* and <sup>2</sup>Shivam Kumar Sharma

## **Author's Affiliation:**

<sup>1,2</sup>Scientist-E, Forest Ecology, and Climate Change Division, Forest Research Institute Dehradun 248006 Uttarakhand, India

## \*Corresponding Author:

Parul Bhatt Kotiyal
Scientist-E, Forest Ecology, and
Climate Change Division, Forest
Research Institute Dehradun 248006
Uttarakhand, India
E-mail: parulbhatt29@gmail.com

Received on 06.02.2024 Revised on 16.03.2024 Accepted on 03.04.2024

# **ABSTRACT**

There has been a surge in pollution of many types due to the release of harmful compounds into the environment, resulting from the acceleration of industry and urbanization. Usually, this is the result of the mining business (such as cyanide and sulphuric acid), the building industry (such as cement and metals), the manufacturing sector (such as detergent and dye), and the agriculture sector (such as fertilizers and pesticides). Certain toxins have a detrimental effect on the health of people, animals, and plants. Furthermore, they lead to the eradication of the microbial population in both aquatic and terrestrial settings, compelling remediation. Bioremediation has gained popularity over chemical and physical methods due to their inherent flaws and challenges in environmental restoration. Bioremediation is the use of biological agents, such as plants and microbes, to reduce or eliminate the effects of environmental toxins. Because they may be easily manipulated and grow quickly, microbes are used more frequently than other types of agents for bioremediation. Various fungal, bacterial, and algal communities have been employed to eradicate a range of environmental pollutants. The review examines microbial bioremediation types, procedures, and influencing factors, and suggests initiatives to promote bacteria as effective remediation agents.

**KEYWORDS:** Pollution, Bioremediation, Microbes, Environmental toxins, Remediation approaches, Industrial pollutants

**How to cite this article:** Kotiyal P.B. and Sharma S.K. (2023). Microbial Solutions for Sustainable Environmental Restoration. *Bio-Science Research Bulletin*, 40(1), 13-23.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Growing industrialization and urbanization have exposed the environment to a wide range of toxins that are harmful to all living creatures. Significant causes of contamination to the aquatic and soil environments include pollutants originating from various industrial processes. Heavy metals are emitted in a variety of forms and concentrations both during and after industrial production when they are used as effluents. For example, antimony, chromium, and mercury have been linked to wastewater from dye manufacturing industries (Methneni et

al, 2021). The use of fertilizers, pesticides, and herbicides in the agriculture sector results in the production of environmental contaminants such as lead, copper, arsenic, zinc, aluminum, and nickel (Prabagar et al, 2021; Babalola et al, 2020). Similarly, the ecosystem is harmed when untreated contaminants from the agri-food businesses' effluent are dumped into river canals and other bodies of water (Siric et al, 2022; ALhuqail et al, 2022). Furthermore, the transportation of crude oil results in leaks, pipeline vandalism, and unintentional spills that greatly increase environmental contamination

(Ogunlaja et al, 2019). Lead, arsenic, cadmium, and copper are among the dangerous elements released into the surrounding environment during mining operations (Liu et al, 2020). Several other environmentally hazardous substances, including sulfuric acid and cyanide, employed the mining process in (Ayangbenro et al 2018; Orlovic-Leko et al, 2022). On the other hand, other industrial wastes, including those generated by the cement industry, release cadmium, copper, and zinc and are present in the top soils (Jafari et al, 2019). Water is contaminated by lead and chromium from pharmaceutical effluents, and plastics containing lead, manganese, iron, copper, chromium, silver, cadmium, antimony, and mercury (Kumari and Tripathi 2020; Zhou et al, 2019). Moreover, environmental pollutants from the coal industry include copper, arsenic, mercury, chromium, lead, nickel, cadmium, and zinc (Sun et al, 2019). For both terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems, as well as the people who live there, these heavy metals are extremely harmful. Lead causes cardiovascular disorders, liver and kidney failure, immune system dysfunction, and abnormalities reproductive and reproductive Mercury, cadmium, and lead affect the central nervous system in humans, particularly in newborns (Zwolak et al, 2019; Fashola et al, 2020a; Fashola et al, 2020b; Ayangbenro and Bablola 2020). According to Zwolak et al, 2019; Fashola et al, 2020a; Fashola et al, 2020b; Ayangbenro Bablola 2020), cadmium malignancies, skeletal diseases, neurotoxic and nephrotoxic complexity, and reproductive system malfunction. Oftentimes, wastes that contain heavy metals are disposed of incorrectly into soil and aquatic habitats. If discarded into bodies of water, they have the potential to kill fish and other aquatic life; if not, they become biomagnified and can infect humans and other animals with chronic illnesses. Consequently, physical, chemical, or biological remediation techniques must be used to remove these contaminants. Although the chemical and physical approaches have been utilized for many years, they have certain disadvantages. For example, the chemical bioremediation process requires specialized equipment and an expert, and the physical bioremediation procedure is costly (Mahmood et al, 2021). This

made biological remediation (bioremediation) a more suitable option that is now required. One of the most effective, environmentally benign economical, and technologies for changing pollutants bioremediation (Sonune, 2021). Microbes are preferred over plants for biological remediation because microbes are easier to manipulate and take longer to grow than plants. However, both can be used for biological remediation (Hussain et al, 2022). In addition, microbes mitigate heavy metals and improve soil fertility and plant development (Chaudhary et al, 2023b) Thus, this study addresses the various forms of microbial bioremediation, its mechanisms, obstacles, and contributing variables, and offers suggestions improving the application microorganisms in both terrestrial and aquatic bioremediation schemes.

#### PRINCIPLE OF BIOREMEDIATION

Microorganisms are used in bio-remediation to break down organic pollutants found in solids, sludge, groundwater, and soil. By metabolizing pollutants with an energy source or using them as an energy source, the microorganisms degrade pollutants. More precisely, bio-remediation is the process by which energy is produced in microbial cells through a redox reaction. Respiration and other biological processes required for cell upkeep and reproduction are included in these responses. Typically, one or more of the following must be provided by the delivery system: nutrients, an electron acceptor, and an energy source (electron donor). Bio-remediation can incorporate many microbial electron acceptor classes, such as those that reduce carbon dioxide, oxygen, nitrate, manganese, iron (III), sulfate, or sulfate, along with their accompanying redox potentials.

## **MECHANISM OF BIOREMEDIATION**

Microbes utilize several methods to eliminate contaminants from their surroundings, which can be broadly classified into two categories: immobilization and mobilization processes (Ndeddy & Babalola 2016; Verma & Kuila 2019). According to Tak et al, 2012 and Ayangbenro et al, 2019, immobilization includes

bioaccumulation, complexation, biosorption, and precipitation (solidification), whereas oxidation, mobilization entails enzymatic bioleaching, biostimulation, bioaugmentation, and enzymatic reduction. While immobilization makes molecules unavailable environment, such as transforming nitrate nitrogen into organic nitrogen, mineralization turns pollutants into innocuous end products like carbon dioxide and water (Pratush et al, 2018). Immobilization can be done in situ or exsitu; the former treats pollution on-site, while the latter transports contaminated soils to another place for microbial processing (Pratush et al, 2018; Avangbenro and Bablola 2017; Cao et al, 2020). Microbes that use defense mechanisms like hydrophobic or solvent efflux pumps to defend against hazardous substances, such as E. asburiae and B. cereus, contribute to the immobilization of heavy metals (Zwolak et al, 2019; Verma & Kuila 2019).

## **Enzymatic Oxidation**

Enzymatic oxidation is a vital process in bioremediation, where pollutant compounds undergo oxidation from a higher to a lower oxidation state, reducing heavy metal toxicity as they lose electrons. This mechanism relies on oxidoreductase enzymes released by microbes. Particularly effective for pollutants like dyes and phenols resistant to bacterial degradation, oxidative enzymes generate radicals that break down into various fractions, ultimately forming high molecular weight compounds (Unuofin et al, 2019). For instance, laccase, an oxidoreductase enzyme, catalyzes the oxidation of aromatic amines (Gangola et al, 2018), while phenols and polyphenols facilitate the reduction of molecular oxygen to water (Sahay 2021). Pycnoporus sp. and Leptosphaerulina sp. have been noted for laccase production, contributing to heavy metal degradation (Copete-Pertuz et al, 2018; Tian et al, 2020).

## **Enzymatic Reduction**

In contrast to enzymatic oxidation, this process converts the contaminants into an insoluble reduced oxidized state and is carried out by facultative and obligatory anaerobes (Zacharia 2019). In a similar vein, azoreductase reduces the azo compounds by cleaving them into azo linkages, and chrome reductase catalyzes the

reduction of hexavalent chromium to trivalent chromium (Saxena et al, 2020). Additional research is needed to determine which other animals can be bio-remediated environmental contaminants.

#### Bioleaching

The technique known as "bioleaching" involves using acidophilic microorganisms to encourage the solubilization of solid heavy metals from the sediment matrix. Iron or sulfur pollution is especially well-suited for this procedure (Sun et al, 2021; Bhandari et al, 2023). As a result, bacteria that can oxidize iron or sulfur are primarily used in this process; *A. thiooxidans, Aspergillus sp., Penicillium sp., Mucor sp., Rhizopus sp., and Cladosporium sp.* are a few examples of these organisms (Medfu Tarekegn et al, 2020). These microorganisms provide an acidic environment that dissolves adsorbed heavy metals into an aqueous solution.

# Bioaugmentation

A powerful bioremediation technique known as "bioaugmentation" involves introducing microorganisms into contaminated areas to digest harmful contaminants (Mahmoud 2021). This procedure can involve genetically altering local microorganisms to increase their capacity for pollutant degradation or introducing foreign microbial microbes boost resident to populations (Fashola et al, 2016; Ayangbenro and Bablola 2017; Goswami et al, 2018; Babalola et al, 2019). It is frequently required to manipulate DNA because natural bacteria may not be able to efficiently digest certain contaminants. For best results, introduced strains need to coexist with local bacteria and adjust to their surroundings (Fashola et al, 2016). For example, Burkholderia sp. FDS-1 has demonstrated competence in breaking down nitrophenolic chemicals in soil contaminated by pesticides at particular pH and temperature levels (Goswami et al, 2018; Ojuederie & Babaloa 2017).

# Biostimulation

Biostimulation involves supplementing the soil with nutrients, electron donors, and other substances to boost resident microbe activity and expedite remediation processes (Ojuederie & Babaloa 2017; Ayangbenro and Bablola 2017).

This method is considered cost-effective and eco-friendly (Goswami et al, 2018), and enhances natural microbial diversity while promoting competitiveness over introduced species (Sayed et al, 2021). Nivetha et al, (2022) demonstrated the efficacy of various bacteria, including *Bacillus sp. and Pseudomonas sp.*, in heavy metal bioremediation via biostimulation. However, excessive nutrient supplementation can lead to eutrophication, and synthetic nutrient sources may introduce additional pollution (Nivetha et al, 2022).

#### Bioaccumulation

Bioaccumulation occurs when the absorption rate of a compound exceeds its elimination rate, resulting in the toxic accumulation of compounds within microbial cells (Sharma et al, 2022a). Various mechanisms such as carrier-mediated transport, protein channels, and ion pumps facilitate the movement of heavy metals across microbial membranes (Mir-Tutusaus et al, 2018) Several organisms exhibit significant bioaccumulation capabilities for specific heavy metals, such as *Rhizopus arrhizus* for mercury, *Pseudomonas putida* for cadmium, and *Aspergillus niger* for thorium (Sharma et al, 2022a).

## Precipitation

Biogeochemical cycling, which is aided by enzymes and secondary metabolites, transforms heavy metals or other contaminants into precipitates or crystals, lowering their toxicity (Sharma et al, 2022a). Silver, microfossils, mineralized manganese, iron, and manganese are among the metal deposits that are created as a result of this process. At alkaline pH levels, sulfate-reducing bacteria organophosphate into orthophosphate (Pratush et al, 2018). Furthermore linked to the precipitation of heavy metals the environment include Bacillus subtilis and Oceanobacillus indicireducens (Maity et al, 2019).

#### **Factors Affecting Bioremediation**

Several factors affect the capacity of microbes to remove heavy metals from the environment, such as the concentration of total metal ions, redox potential, chemical forms of the metals, competition between microbes, pH, temperature, soil structure, oxygen content,

- moisture content, soil nature, and the solubility of the heavy metal in water (Medfu Tarekegn et al, 2020).
- 1. The impact of pH on bioremediation: Heavy metals produce free ionic species at acidic pH levels, which saturate binding sites and reduce microbial viability. For bioremediation, a pH between 6.5 and 8.5 is ideal (Kharangate-Lad & D'Souza 2021)
- Microbial competition and nutrient balance: Microbial competition for phosphorus, nitrogen, and carbon influences the rates of biodegradation. It's important to maintain a balanced C: N: P ratio and providing these nutrients can increase microbial activity (Bala et al, 2022)
- Microbial 3. diversity and bioremediation effectiveness: The kind quantity of and microorganisms involved in given bioremediation process dictate its efficacy. In the field, a group of microorganisms is frequently required, even though a single strain might be effective in the lab (Patel et al, 2022)
- **4.** *Microbial properties influencing bioremediation*: Microbial efficaciousness in bioremediation is influenced by various factors, including molecular nature, induction of genes and enzymes, metabolite production, growth efficiency, survival rate, ionization of cell wall moieties, and configuration of sorption sites (Kebede et al, 2021; Mahmou 2021)
- 5. Moisture content and microbial activity: The solubility of heavy metals, pH, and osmotic pressure are all impacted by moisture levels, which in turn affect microbial activity. Microbial biodegradation can be hampered by both high and low moisture levels (Medfu Tarekegn et al, 2020)
- 6. The effect of temperature on bioremediation: Microbial metabolic rates and the solubility of heavy metals are influenced by temperature. Generally speaking, biodegradation processes are favored by higher temperatures (Ren et al, 2018; Bala et al, 2022; Sharma et al, 2022b; Mahmou 2021).
- 7. Chemical characteristics of pollutants: Microbial biodegradation rates are determined by the chemical composition, stability, toxicity, concentration, and bioavailability of pollutants. Remediation is usually simpler for simple chemicals at lower doses (Kebede et al, 2021).

- 8. Microbial activity and soil characteristics: Microbial biodegradation is influenced by soil properties such as moisture-holding capacity, texture, region, and particle size. Increased microbial activity is encouraged by well-drained soils that have better oxygen availability (Álvarez et al, 2020; Ndeddy & Babalola et al, 2017; Huang et al, 2019).
- 9. The effect of salinity on microbiological activity: Microbes are exposed to stress and microbial hydrocarbonoclastic activity is affected by salinity. Elevated salt levels prevent heavy metal solubility and microbial metabolic processes (Imron et al, 2020; Kebede et al, 2021).

# ROLE OF ENZYMES IN MICROBIAL BIOREMEDIATION

Numerous microbial enzymes are essential for eliminating contaminants from the environment, particularly heavy metals, as demonstrated by

studies (Verma & Kuila 2019; Bhatt et al, 2021a; Chaudhary et al, 2023a). These enzymes utilize mechanisms such as elimination, oxidation, ring-opening, and reduction, as outlined in studies like that of (Bhatt et al, 2021a). However, according to Bhandari et al, 2021, variables including temperature, contact concentration, and pH affect how effective they are. There are obstacles to overcome for enzymebased bioremediation, notwithstanding its potential. According to Narayanan et al, 2023, it is costly, time-consuming, and inappropriate for urgent remedial needs. Furthermore, the stability and activity of pollutants can affect the potency of enzyme bioremediation, while diverse enzymes different sourcing for pollutants may prove unsustainable. These challenges underscore the complexity of enzyme-based approaches to bioremediation (Table 1).

Table 1: Various enzyme's action in Bioremediation

Enzyme	Sources of microbes	Remediated pollutants	References
Hydrolases	T. fusca	Polyester plastics	(Gricajeva et al., 2022)
	Pseudomonas sp.	Hydrocarbons	(Dave and Das, 2021)
	Burkholderia sp.		
	Achromobacter sp.		
	Ralstonia sp.		
	Comamonas sp. and Sphingomonas sp.		
Oxidoreductase	Bacillus safenis	Xenobiotics	(Malakar et al., 2020)
Phosphotriesterase	Brevundimonas diminuta	Pesticides	(Thakur et al., 2019)
Lipase	Bacillus pumilus	Oil containing industrial wastewater	(Saranya et al., 2019)
Laccase	Pseudomonas putida	Artificial dyes	(Bhandari et al., 2021)
Lignin peroxidase	Escherichia coli and Bacillus sp. F31	Artificial dyes	(Dave and Das, 2021)
Dehydrogenase	E. coli,	Steroids,	(Ye et al., 2019, Wei et al,
, ,	S. rhizophila	Polyvinyl alcohol	2018)
Protease	Bacillus subtilis	Casein and feather	(Bhandari et al., 2021)
Amylase	Bacillus cereus	Wastewater pollutants	(Sonune and Garode, 2018)
Oxygenase	Pseudomonas sp.	Pesticides	(Malakar et al, 2020)
Lipase	Bacillus pumilus	Palm oil	(Saranya et al., 2019)

# CURRENT DEVELOPMENTS IN THE FIELD OF MICROBIAL BIOREMEDIATION

Microbial glycol-conjugates have been used in recent bioremediation advances to lower surface tension, boost bioavailability, and form solvent interfaces for the removal of organic pollutants al, 2021b). With continuous (Bhatt et advancements in quorum sensing environmental conditions, microbial biofilms comprising polysaccharides, extracellular DNA, and proteins are also utilized, particularly for resistant contaminants (Sonawane et al, 2022). Furthermore, in artificial wastewater, Exiguobacterium profundum exhibits arsenic reduction (Andreasen et al, 2018).

Utilizing microbial group interactions, bioelectrochemical systems integrate biological and electrochemical techniques to regulate pollutants, mainly petroleum hydrocarbons (Ambaye et al, 2023). Many bacteria are useful for remediating phenanthrene, including *Pseudomonas sp., Ralstonia sp., Rhodococcus sp.,* and *Thauera sp* (Sharma et al, 2020).

Globally, nanotechnology presents promising approaches to pollution prevention; the performance of nanoparticles depends on their size, shape, surface coating, and chemical makeup, as well as environmental variables including рΗ and temperature (Shanmuganathan et al, 2019; Tan et al, 2018). Because of their abundance, low toxicity, and distinctive optical features, carbon nanoparticles in particular offer promise in the clean-up of environmental pollutants (Long et 2021). To improve the efficacy bioremediation, more research is necessary.

#### DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

A safer and more sustainable environment is the goal of research on microbial enzymes for waste bioremediation. It is hoped that novel bacteria would increase bioremediation efficacy and potentially even outperform existing techniques. Monitoring progress requires the use of rapid detection techniques. Microbe enzyme production can be increased through genetic manipulation. Microbial consortia combined increase the rate of biodegradation. Microbes

that break down inorganic pollutants are essential for thorough cleanup, even concerning radioactive waste. It is imperative to take action against inadvertent contamination caused by microbiological activity. The best temperatures deterioration and circumstances microbial survival are found through field study. Microbial concerns are observed by regulatory agencies after bioremediation. The combination nanoparticles of enzymes/microbes increases their activity. Growing awareness encourages the use of microbial degradation in place of traditional techniques for efficient pollution control.

# **Data Availability Statement**

The data supporting this study's findings are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy and ethical restriction

#### **Funding**

The author reported there is no funding associated with the work featured in this article.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. AL-Huqail, A. A., Kumar, P., Eid, E. M., Adelodun, B., Abou Fayssal, S., Singh, J., ... & Širić, I. (2022). Risk Assessment of Heavy Metals Contamination in Soil and Two Rice (Oryza sativa L.) Varieties Irrigated with Paper Mill Effluent. *Agriculture*, 12(11), 1864.
- 2. Álvarez, L. M., Ruberto, L. A. M., Gurevich, J. M., & Mac Cormack, W. P. (2020). Environmental factors affecting reproducibility of bioremediation field assays in Antarctica. *Cold Regions Science and Technology*, 169, 102915.
- 3. Ambaye, T. G., Vaccari, M., Franzetti, A., Prasad, S., Formicola, F., Rosatelli, A., ... & Rtimi, S. (2023). Microbial electrochemical bioremediation of petroleum hydrocarbons (PHCs) pollution: Recent advances and outlook. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 452, 139372.
- 4. Ayangbenro, A. S., & Babalola, O. O. (2017). A new strategy for heavy metal polluted environments: a review of microbial biosorbents. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 14(1), 94.

- 5. Ayangbenro, A. S., & Babalola, O. O. (2018). Metal (loid) bioremediation: strategies employed by microbial polymers. *Sustainability*, 10(9), 3028.
- 6. Ayangbenro, A. S., & Babalola, O. O. (2020). Genomic analysis of Bacillus cereus NWUAB01 and its heavy metal removal from polluted soil. *Scientific reports*, 10(1), 19660.
- 7. Ayangbenro, A. S., Babalola, O. O., & Aremu, O. S. (2019). Bioflocculant production and heavy metal sorption by metal resistant bacterial isolates from gold mining soil. *Chemosphere*, 231, 113-120.
- 8. Ayangbenro, A. S., Olanrewaju, O. S., & Babalola, O. O. (2018). Sulfate-reducing bacteria as an effective tool for sustainable acid mine bioremediation. *Frontiers in microbiology*, 1986.
- 9. Ayilara, M. S., Olanrewaju, O. S., Babalola, O. O., & Odeyemi, O. (2020). Waste management through composting: Challenges and potentials. *Sustainability*, 12(11), 4456.
- **10.** Babalola, O. O., Aremu, B. R., & Ayangbenro, A. S. (2019). Draft genome sequence of heavy metal-resistant Bacillus cereus NWUAB01. *Microbiology Resource Announcements*, 8(7), 10-1128.
- **11.** Bala, S., Garg, D., Thirumalesh, B. V., Sharma, M., Sridhar, K., Inbaraj, B. S., & Tripathi, M. (2022). Recent strategies for bioremediation of emerging pollutants: a review for a green and sustainable environment. *Toxics*, *10*(8), 484.
- **12.** Bhandari, G., Gupta, S., Chaudhary, P., Chaudhary, S., & Gangola, S. (2023). Bioleaching: A Sustainable Resource Recovery Strategy for Urban Mining of E-waste. In *Microbial Technology for Sustainable E-waste Management* (pp. 157-175). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- **13.** Bhandari, S., Poudel, D. K., Marahatha, R., Dawadi, S., Khadayat, K., Phuyal, S., ... & Parajuli, N. (2021). Microbial enzymes used in bioremediation. *Journal of Chemistry*, 2021, 1-17.
- **14.** Bhatt, P., Gangola, S., Joshi, C., Chaudhary, P., Kumar, G., Bhandari, G., ... & Tewari, S. (2021a). Recent advancements and mechanism of microbial enzymes in

- sustainable agriculture. *Microbial Technology* for Sustainable Environment, 247-259.
- **15.** Bhatt, P., Verma, A., Gangola, S., Bhandari, G., & Chen, S. (2021b). Microbial glycoconjugates in organic pollutant bioremediation: recent advances and applications. *Microbial Cell Factories*, 20(1), 1-18.
- **16.** Cao, H. L., Liu, C., Cai, F. Y., Qiao, X. X., Dichiara, A. B., Tian, C., & Lü, J. (2020). In situ immobilization of ultra-fine Ag NPs onto magnetic Ag@ RF@ Fe3O4 core-satellite nanocomposites for the rapid catalytic reduction of nitrophenols. *Water Research*, *179*, 115882.
- 17. Chaudhary, P., Ahamad, L., Chaudhary, A., Kumar, G., Chen, W. J., & Chen, S. (2023). Nanoparticle-mediated bioremediation as a powerful weapon in the removal of environmental pollutants. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 109591.
- **18.** Chaudhary, P., Xu, M., Ahamad, L., Chaudhary, A., Kumar, G., Adeleke, B. S., ... & Abou Fayssal, S. (2023b). Application of synthetic consortia for improvement of soil fertility, pollution remediation, and agricultural productivity: a review. *Agronomy*, 13(3), 643.
- 19. Copete-Pertuz, L. S., Plácido, J., Serna-Galvis, E. A., Torres-Palma, R. A., & Mora, A. (2018). Elimination of Isoxazolyl-Penicillins antibiotics in waters by the ligninolytic native Colombian strain Leptosphaerulina sp. considerations on biodegradation process and antimicrobial activity removal. *Science of the Total Environment*, 630, 1195-1204.
- **20.** Dave, S., & Das, J. (2021). Role of microbial enzymes for biodegradation and bioremediation of environmental pollutants: challenges and future prospects. *Bioremediation for environmental sustainability*, 325-346.
- 21. Fashola, M. O., Ngole-Jeme, V. M., & Babalola, O. O. (2016). Heavy metal pollution from gold mines: environmental effects and bacterial strategies for resistance. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 13(11), 1047.
- **22.** Fashola, M. O., Ngole-Jeme, V. M., & Babalola, O. O. (2020a). Heavy metal immobilization potential of indigenous

- bacteria isolated from gold mine tailings. *International Journal of Environmental Research*, 14, 71-86.
- 23. Fashola, M. O., Ngole-Jeme, V. M., & Babalola, O. O. (2020b). Physicochemical properties, heavy metals, and metal-tolerant bacteria profiles of abandoned gold mine tailings in Krugersdorp, South Africa. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, 100(3), 217-233.
- **24.** Gangola, S., Sharma, A., Bhatt, P., Khati, P., & Chaudhary, P. (2018). Presence of esterase and laccase in Bacillus subtilis facilitates biodegradation and detoxification of cypermethrin. *Scientific reports*, 8(1), 12755.
- **25.** Goswami, M., Chakraborty, P., Mukherjee, K., Mitra, G., Bhattacharyya, P., Dey, S., & Tribedi, P. (2018). Bioaugmentation and biostimulation: a potential strategy for environmental remediation. *J Microbiol Exp*, 6(5), 223-231.
- **26.** Gricajeva, A., Nadda, A. K., & Gudiukaite, R. (2022). Insights into polyester plastic biodegradation by carboxyl ester hydrolases. *Journal of Chemical Technology & Biotechnology*, 97(2), 359-380.
- 27. Huang, Y., Pan, H., Wang, Q., Ge, Y., Liu, W., & Christie, P. (2019). Enrichment of the soil microbial community in the bioremediation of a petroleum-contaminated soil amended with rice straw or sawdust. *Chemosphere*, 224, 265-271.
- 28. Hussain, A., Rehman, F., Rafeeq, H., Waqas, M., Asghar, A., Afsheen, N., ... & Iqbal, H. M. (2022). In-situ, Ex-situ, and nanoremediation strategies to treat polluted soil, water, and air-A review. *Chemosphere*, 289, 133252.
- **29.** Imron, M. F., Kurniawan, S. B., Ismail, N. I., & Abdullah, S. R. S. (2020). Future challenges in diesel biodegradation by bacteria isolates: a review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 251, 119716.
- **30.** Jafari, A., Ghaderpoori, M., Kamarehi, B., & Abdipour, H. (2019). Soil pollution evaluation and health risk assessment of heavy metals around Douroud cement factory, Iran. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 78, 1-9.
- **31.** Kebede, G., Tafese, T., Abda, E. M., Kamaraj, M., & Assefa, F. (2021). Factors influencing the bacterial bioremediation of hydrocarbon

- contaminants in the soil: mechanisms and impacts. *Journal of Chemistry*, 2021, 1-17.
- 32. Kharangate-Lad, A., & D'Souza, N. C. (2021). Current Approaches in Bioremediation of Toxic Contaminants by Application of Microbial Cells; Biosurfactants and Bioemulsifiers of Microbial Origin. Rhizobiont in Bioremediation of Hazardous Waste, 217-263.
- **33.** Kumari, V., & Tripathi, A. K. (2020). Remediation of heavy metals in pharmaceutical effluent with the help of Bacillus cereus-based green-synthesized silver nanoparticles supported on alumina. *Applied Nanoscience*, *10*, 1709-1719.
- **34.** Liu, X., Shi, H., Bai, Z., Zhou, W., Liu, K., Wang, M., & He, Y. (2020). Heavy metal concentrations of soils near the large opencast coal mine pits in China. *Chemosphere*, 244, 125360.
- **35.** Long, C., Jiang, Z., Shangguan, J., Qing, T., Zhang, P., & Feng, B. (2021). Applications of carbon dots in environmental pollution control: A review. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 406, 126848.
- **36.** Mahmood, A., Bilal, B., Naeem, Z., & Iram, S. (2021). Physical, chemical, and biological remediation techniques for textile effluents in context with developed and developing countries. *Rhizobiont in bioremediation of hazardous waste*, 409-441.
- **37.** Mahmoud, G. A. E. (2021). Microbial scavenging of heavy metals using bioremediation strategies. *Rhizobiont in bioremediation of hazardous waste*, 265-289.
- **38.** Maity, J. P., Chen, G. S., Huang, Y. H., Sun, A. C., & Chen, C. Y. (2019). Ecofriendly heavy metal stabilization: microbial induced mineral precipitation (MIMP) and biomineralization for heavy metals within the contaminated soil by indigenous bacteria. *Geomicrobiology Journal*, *36*(7), 612-623.
- **39.** Malakar, N., Mitra, S., Toppo, P., & Mathur, P. (2020). Understanding the functional attributes of different microbial enzymes in bioremediation.
- **40.** Medfu Tarekegn, M., Zewdu Salilih, F., & Ishetu, A. I. (2020). Microbes used as a tool for bioremediation of heavy metal from the environment. *Cogent Food & Agriculture*, 6(1), 1783174.

- **41.** Methneni, N., Morales-González, J. A., Jaziri, A., Mansour, H. B., & Fernandez-Serrano, M. (2021). Persistent organic and inorganic pollutants in the effluents from the textile dyeing industries: Ecotoxicology appraisal via a battery of biotests. *Environmental Research*, 196, 110956.
- **42.** Mir-Tutusaus, J. A., Baccar, R., Caminal, G., & Sarrà, M. (2018). Can white-rot fungi be a real wastewater treatment alternative for organic micropollutants removal? A review. *Water research*, 138, 137-151.
- **43.** Narayanan, M., Ali, S. S., & El-Sheekh, M. (2023). A comprehensive review on the potential of microbial enzymes in multipollutant bioremediation: Mechanisms, challenges, and future prospects. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 334, 117532.
- **44.** Ndeddy Aka, R. J., & Babalola, O. O. (2016). Effect of bacterial inoculation of strains of Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Alcaligenes feacalis and Bacillus subtilis on germination, growth and heavy metal (Cd, Cr, and Ni) uptake of Brassica juncea. *International Journal of Phytoremediation*, 18(2), 200-209.
- **45.** Ndeddy Aka, R. J., & Babalola, O. O. (2017). Identification and characterization of Cr-, Cd-, and Ni-tolerant bacteria isolated from mine tailings. *Bioremediation Journal*, 21(1), 1-19.
- **46.** Nivetha, N., Srivarshine, B., Sowmya, B., Rajendiran, M., Saravanan, P., Rajeshkannan, R., ... & Dragoi, E. N. (2023). A comprehensive review on bio-stimulation and bio-enhancement towards remediation of heavy metals degeneration. *Chemosphere*, *312*, 137099.
- 47. Ogunlaja, A., Ogunlaja, O. O., Okewole, D. M., & Morenikeji, O. A. (2019). Risk assessment and source identification of heavy metal contamination by multivariate and hazard index analyses of a pipeline vandalised area in Lagos State, Nigeria. *Science of the total environment*, 651, 2943-2952
- **48.** Ojuederie, O. B., & Babalola, O. O. (2017). Microbial and plant-assisted bioremediation of heavy metal polluted environments: a review. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 14(12), 1504.
- **49.** Ojuederie, O. B., Chukwuneme, C. F., Samuel, O., Olanrewaju, M. A.,

- Adegboyega, T. T., & Babalola, O. O. (2021). Contribution of microbial inoculants in sustainable maintenance of human health, including test methods and evaluation of safety of microbial pesticide microorganisms. *Biopesticides: Botanicals and Microorganisms for Improving Agriculture and Human Health*, 207-240.
- **50.** Orlović-Leko, P., Farkaš, B., & Galić, I. (2022). A short review of environmental and health impacts of gold mining. *Reliability: Theory & Applications*, 17(SI 4 (70)), 242-248.
- **51.** Patel, A. B., Jain, K. R., Manvar, T., Desai, C., & Madamwar, D. (2022). Enriched bacterial community efficiently degrade polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in soil ecosystem: Insights from a mesocosms study. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, *185*, 108516.
- **52.** Prabagar, S., Dharmadasa, R. M., Lintha, A., Thuraisingam, S., & Prabagar, J. (2021). Accumulation of heavy metals in grape fruit, leaves, soil and water: A study of influential factors and evaluating ecological risks in Jaffna, Sri Lanka. *Environmental and Sustainability Indicators*, 12, 100147.
- **53.** Pratush, A., Kumar, A., & Hu, Z. (2018). Adverse effect of heavy metals (As, Pb, Hg, and Cr) on health and their bioremediation strategies: a review. *International Microbiology*, 21, 97-106.
- **54.** Ren, X., Zeng, G., Tang, L., Wang, J., Wan, J., Wang, J., ... & Peng, B. (2018). The potential impact on the biodegradation of organic pollutants from composting technology for soil remediation. *Waste management*, 72, 138-149.
- 55. Saba, Andreasen, R., Li, Y., Rehman, Y., Ahmed, M., Meyer, R. L., & Sabri, A. N. (2018). Prospective role of indigenous Exiguobacterium profundum PT2 in arsenic biotransformation and biosorption by planktonic cultures and biofilms. *Journal of applied microbiology*, 124(2), 431-443.
- **56.** Sahay, R. (2021). Synthetic applications of laccase and its catalytic potentials. *Inter J Adv Eng Res Sci*, 8(6), 112-120.
- 57. Saranya, P., Selvi, P. K., & Sekaran, G. (2019). Integrated thermophilic enzyme-immobilized reactor and high-rate biological reactors for treatment of palm oil-containing wastewater without sludge production.

- Bioprocess and biosystems engineering, 42, 1053-1064.
- 58. Saxena, G., Kishor, R., & Bharagava, R. N. (2020). Application of microbial enzymes in degradation and detoxification of organic and inorganic pollutants. Bioremediation of Industrial Waste for Environmental Safety: Volume I: Industrial Waste and Its Management, 41-51.
- **59.** Sayed, K., Baloo, L., & Sharma, N. K. (2021). Bioremediation of total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) by bioaugmentation and biostimulation in water with floating oil spill containment booms as bioreactor basin. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(5), 2226.
- 60. Shanmuganathan, R., Karuppusamy, I., Saravanan, M., Muthukumar, H., Ponnuchamy, K., Ramkumar, V. S., & Pugazhendhi, A. (2019). Synthesis of silver nanoparticles and their biomedical applications-a comprehensive review. *Current pharmaceutical design*, 25(24), 2650-2660.
- 61. Sharma, M., Nandy, A., Taylor, N., Venkatesan, S. V., Kollath, V. O., Karan, K., ... & Gieg, L. M. (2020). Bioelectrochemical remediation of phenanthrene in a microbial fuel cell using an anaerobic consortium enriched from a hydrocarbon-contaminated site. *Journal of hazardous materials*, 389, 121845.
- **62.** Sharma, P., Dutta, D., Udayan, A., Nadda, A. K., Lam, S. S., & Kumar, S. (2022a). Role of microbes in bioaccumulation of heavy metals in municipal solid waste: Impacts on plant and human being. *Environmental Pollution*, 305, 119248.
- **63.** Sharma, P., Singh, S. P., Parakh, S. K., & Tong, Y. W. (2022b). Health hazards of hexavalent chromium (Cr (VI)) and its microbial reduction. *Bioengineered*, 13(3), 4923-4938.
- **64.** Širić, I., Eid, E. M., El-Morsy, M. H., Osman, H. E., Adelodun, B., Abou Fayssal, S., ... & Kumar, P. (2022). Health risk assessment of hazardous heavy metals in two varieties of mango fruit (Mangifera indica L. var. Dasheri and Langra). *Horticulturae*, 8(9), 832.
- **65.** Sonawane, J. M., Rai, A. K., Sharma, M., Tripathi, M., & Prasad, R. (2022). Microbial biofilms: Recent advances and progress in

- environmental bioremediation. Science of the Total Environment, 824, 153843.
- **66.** Sonune, N. (2021). Microbes: a potential tool for bioremediation. *Rhizobiont in bioremediation of hazardous waste*, 391-407.
- **67.** Sonune, N., & Garode, A. (2018). Isolation, characterization and identification of extracellular enzyme producer Bacillus licheniformis from municipal wastewater and evaluation of their biodegradability. *Biotechnology Research and Innovation*, 2(1), 37-44.
- **68.** Sun, L., Guo, D., Liu, K., Meng, H., Zheng, Y., Yuan, F., & Zhu, G. (2019). Levels, sources, and spatial distribution of heavy metals in soils from a typical coal industrial city of Tangshan, China. *Catena*, *175*, 101-109.
- **69.** Sun, W., Cheng, K., Sun, K. Y., & Ma, X. (2021). Microbially mediated remediation of contaminated sediments by heavy metals: A critical review. *Current Pollution Reports*, 7, 201-212.
- **70.** Tak, H. I., Ahmad, F., & Babalola, O. O. (2012). Advances in the application of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria in phytoremediation of heavy metals. *Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology Volume* 223, 33-52.
- **71.** Tan, W., Peralta-Videa, J. R., & Gardea-Torresdey, J. L. (2018). Interaction of titanium dioxide nanoparticles with soil components and plants: current knowledge and future research needs–a critical review. *Environmental Science: Nano*, 5(2), 257-278.
- **72.** Thakur, M., Medintz, I. L., & Walper, S. A. (2019). Enzymatic bioremediation of organophosphate compounds—progress and remaining challenges. *Frontiers in bioengineering and biotechnology*, *7*, 289.
- 73. Tian, Q., Dou, X., Huang, L., Wang, L., Meng, D., Zhai, L., ... & Liao, X. (2020). Characterization of a robust cold-adapted and thermostable laccase from Pycnoporus sp. SYBC-L10 with a strong ability for the degradation of tetracycline and oxytetracycline by laccase-mediated oxidation. *Journal of hazardous materials*, 382, 121084.
- **74.** Unuofin, J. O., Okoh, A. I., & Nwodo, U. U. (2019). Aptitude of oxidative enzymes for

- treatment of wastewater pollutants: a laccase perspective. *Molecules*, 24(11), 2064.
- 75. Verma, S., & Kuila, A. (2019). Bioremediation of heavy metals by microbial process. *Environmental Technology & Innovation*, 14, 100369.
- 76. Wei, Y., Fu, J., Wu, J., Jia, X., Zhou, Y., Li, C., ... & Chen, F. (2018). Bioinformatics analysis and characterization of highly efficient polyvinyl alcohol (PVA)-degrading enzymes from the novel PVA degrader Stenotrophomonas rhizophila QL-P4. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 84(1), e01898-17.
- 77. Ye, X., Peng, T., Feng, J., Yang, Q., Pratush,
   A., Xiong, G., ... & Hu, Z. (2019). A novel dehydrogenase
   17β-HSDx from Rhodococcus sp. P14 with potential

- application in bioremediation of steroids contaminated environment. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 362, 170-177.
- **78.** Zacharia, J. T. (2019). Degradation pathways of persistent organic pollutants (POPs) in the environment. *Persistent organic pollutants*, 17-30.
- **79.** Zhou, Y., Liu, X., & Wang, J. (2019). Characterization of microplastics and the association of heavy metals with microplastics in suburban soil of central China. *Science of the Total Environment*, 694, 133798.
- **80.** Zwolak, A., Sarzyńska, M., Szpyrka, E., & Stawarczyk, K. (2019). Sources of soil pollution by heavy metals and their accumulation in vegetables: A review. *Water, air, & soil pollution, 230, 1-9*

\*\*\*\*\*