

ON PRIME AND SEMIPRIME INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY k-IDEALS OF HEMIRINGS

Workneh Agamar*

Author Affiliation:

Department of Mathematics, Dire Dawa University, Dire Dawa, Ethiopia

***Corresponding Author:**

Workneh Agamar, Department of Mathematics, Dire Dawa University, Dire Dawa, Ethiopia

E-mail: worknehagamar@yahoo.com**Received on 08.08.2018, Revised on 22.11.2018, Accepted on 24.12.2018**

Abstract: Hemirings are one of the Universal algebras generalizing an associative ring sharing the same properties as a ring except that the second binary operation is assumed to be a semigroup rather than a group. Many researchers made a detailed study on different aspects of hemirings. This paper introduces the notions of prime and semiprime intuitionistic fuzzy left k-ideals of hemirings. We also investigate some of their properties.

Keywords: Hemirings, Fuzzy left k-ideals, Intuitionistic fuzzy left k-ideals, prime intuitionistic fuzzy left k-ideals, semiprime intuitionistic fuzzy left k-ideals, Regular hemiring.

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 16Y60, 16Z99, 03E72, 20N25

1. INTRODUCTION

After the introduction of fuzzy sets by Zadeh (1965) in his classic paper [1], its generalization called intuitionistic fuzzy set has been provided by Atanassov [2-3]. The concept of extensions of interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy ideals in semirings was studied and some of the properties were discussed by Balasubramanian and Raja [4], and Thirumagal and Murugadas [5] presented the three different types of intuitionistic fuzzy prime ideals of semirings. Abdullah et al. [6] developed the concept of (α, β) -intuitionistic fuzzy subhemiring and ideals of hemirings and they investigated some related properties. The notions of intuitionistic fuzzy left k-ideals of semirings and intuitionistic fuzzy left h-ideals of hemirings was introduced by Akram and Dudek [7] and by Dudek in [8] respectively. They described the characteristic, normal and completely normal intuitionistic fuzzy left k-ideals of semirings and intuitionistic fuzzy left h-ideals of hemirings respectively. Dheena and Mohanraaj in [9] introduced intuitionistic fuzzy right k-ideals of semirings. Balasubramanian and Raja [10] introduced the concept of the product of intuitionistic fuzzy k-ideals of semirings and proved some important properties. In this paper, we try to answer one of the question posed in the conclusion of Kumbhojkar [11]. In [11] the author concludes his paper by the comment, "In our opinion the future study of intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of semirings and hemirings can be connected with: (1) investigating semiprime and prime intuitionistic fuzzy k-ideals ...". Kumbhojkar [11] redefined prime and semiprime fuzzy h-ideals of hemirings just to resolve the drawbacks of the definition of prime fuzzy h-ideals of hemirings given by Zhan and Dudek [12]. For further information about this we refer the reader to see the papers [11-12]. In this paper, prime and semiprime intuitionistic fuzzy k-ideals of hemirings are defined and some of their properties are proved in similar lines as done by the author of [11] with some modifications that the paper concerned with intuitionistic fuzzy left k-ideals. This paper also assumes L to be a complete Heyting algebra.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1: A system $(R, +, \cdot)$ where R is a non empty set and $+$ and $\cdot$ are binary operations on R is called a hemiring if :

- (i). $(R, +)$ is commutative semigroup with zero element 0.
- (ii). $(R, \cdot)$ is a semigroup.
- (iii). $a(b + c) = ab + ac$ and $(a + b)c = ac + bc$ and $0 \cdot x = x \cdot 0$ for all a, b, c , and $x \in R$.

A hemiring $(R, +, \cdot)$ is said to be commutative if $a \cdot b = b \cdot a \forall a, b \in R$. The identity element of

$(R, +, \cdot)$ is denoted by 1 and throughout this paper R denotes the hemiring $(R, +, \cdot)$.

Definition 2.2: A non - empty subset I of R , closed under addition of R and is such that for all $x \in R$ and $a \in I$ we have $xa \in I$, then I is called left ideal of R .

Definition 2.3: A left ideal I of R satisfying the property : if $y, z \in I$ and $x \in R$, $x + y = z$ implies $x \in I$ is called a left k-ideal.

Analogous definitions can be given for the right cases.

Definition 2.4: An L-fuzzy set μ of non – empty set X is a mapping $\mu : X \rightarrow L$. For any fuzzy set μ of X and $t \in (0, 1]$, the upper and lower t-level cuts of μ can be defined as follows respectively.

$U(\mu : t) = \{x \in X : \mu(x) \geq t\}$ and $L(\mu : t) = \{x \in X : \mu(x) \leq t\}$. $\bar{\mu} = 1 - \mu(x)$ is called the complement of μ .

The intersection and union of two fuzzy sets can be defined as follows :

- (i) $\mu \cap v(x) = \min \{\mu(x), v(x)\}$.
- (ii) $\mu \cup v(x) = \max \{\mu(x), v(x)\}$

Definition 2.5: A fuzzy set $\mu : X \rightarrow L$ satisfying the conditions:

- (i) $\mu(x + y) \geq \mu(x) \wedge \mu(y)$
- (ii) $\mu(xy) \geq \mu(y)$ for x and y in R is called a fuzzy left ideal.

Definition 2.6: A fuzzy left ideal μ satisfying the condition: if for all x, y and z are in R , $x + y = z \Rightarrow \mu(x) \geq \min \{\mu(y), \mu(z)\}$ is called a fuzzy left k-ideal.

Similarly, the right cases can be defined.

A fuzzy k-ideal is one which is both a fuzzy right and left k-ideal.

Definition: 2.7: (see, [8]) A left k-ideal P of a hemiring R is called prime, if $P \neq R$ and for any left k-ideals I and J of R , we have $IJ \subseteq P$ implies $I \subseteq P$ or $J \subseteq P$.

Definition 2.8: A left k-ideal I of a hemiring R is called semiprime, if $I \neq R$, and for any left k-ideal J of R , we have $JJ \subseteq I$ implies $J \subseteq I$.

Proposition 2.9: (see,[11]) A proper left k-ideal P of a hemiring R is prime iff for all $x, y \in R$, $xRy \subseteq P \Rightarrow x \in P$ or $y \in P$.

Proposition 2.10: (see,[11]) If R is a commutative hemiring with unity, then a proper k-ideal P of a hemiring R is prime iff for all $x, y \in R$, $xy \in P \Rightarrow x \in P$ or $y \in P$.

Definition 2.11: (see,[11]) A fuzzy left k-ideal $P : R \rightarrow L$ is called prime if it is non-constant and, for all $x, y \in R$ and $\alpha \in L$, the following condition is satisfied :

$$P(xry) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow P(x) \geq \alpha \text{ or } P(y) \geq \alpha \quad \forall r \in R.$$

Definition 2.12: (see,[11]) A fuzzy left k-ideal $J : R \rightarrow L$ is called semiprime if J is non-constant and $\forall x \in R$ and $\alpha \in L$, the following condition is satisfied:

$$J(xrx) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow J(x) \geq \alpha \quad \forall r \in R.$$

Definition 2.13: An object of the form $\left\{ \left(x, \mu_A(x), \lambda_A(x) \right) : x \in R \right\}$ where, $\mu_A : X \rightarrow L$ and $\lambda_A : X \rightarrow L$ define the degree of membership and non-membership of the element x of R , respectively and for each $x \in R$, satisfying $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \lambda_A(x) \leq 1$ is called an intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) A in R .

For the sake of simplicity, the symbol $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is used to denote the IFS A in R .

Clearly, for every fuzzy set μ , we can have an IFS: $A = \{(x, \mu(x), 1 - \mu(x)) : x \in X\}$.

For two intuitionistic fuzzy sets, $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ and $B = (\mu_B, \lambda_B)$ we have the following definitions:

- (a) $A \subseteq B \Leftrightarrow \mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x) \text{ and } \lambda_A(x) \geq \lambda_B(x) \quad \forall x \in X.$
- (b) $A = B \Leftrightarrow A \subseteq B \text{ and } B \subseteq A.$
- (c) $A \cap B = (\mu_A \cap \mu_B, \lambda_A \cup \lambda_B)$
- (d) $A \cup B = (\mu_A \cup \mu_B, \lambda_A \cap \lambda_B)$

3. INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY IDEALS OF HEMIRINGS

Definition 3.1: (see, [7-9,13]) An intuitionistic fuzzy set $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal of R if :

- (i) $\mu_A(x + y) \geq \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_A(y)$
- (ii) $\lambda_A(x + y) \leq \lambda_A(x) \vee \lambda_A(y)$
- (iii) $\mu_A(xy) \geq \mu_A(y)$
- (iv) $\lambda_A(xy) \leq \lambda_A(y) \quad \forall x, y \in R.$

Similar definitions can be given for the right cases.

An intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R is one which is both intuitionistic fuzzy right and left ideal.

Definition 3.2: An intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy left k-ideal if it satisfies :

- (i) $x + y = z \Rightarrow \mu_A(x) \geq \mu_A(y) \wedge \mu_A(z)$
- (ii) $x + y = z \Rightarrow \lambda_A(x) \leq \lambda_A(y) \vee \lambda_A(z), \quad \forall x, y, z \in R.$

Analogous definitions can be given for the right cases.

Definition 3.3: (see, [7-9]) Let $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ be an IFS of a set R and let $s, t \in [0, 1]$. Then the set

$$R_A^{(s,t)} = \{ x \in R : \mu_A(x) \geq s, \lambda_A(x) \leq t \}$$

is called an (s, t) – level subset of $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$.

The set $(s, t) \in \text{Im } \mu_A \times \text{Im } \lambda_A : s + t \leq 1$ is called the image of $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$.

Observation:

$$R_A^{(s,t)} = \{x \in R : \mu_A(x) \geq s, \lambda_A(x) \leq t\} = \{x \in R : \mu_A(x) \geq s\} \cap \{x \in R : \lambda_A(x) \leq t\} = \cup (\mu_A; s) \cap L(\lambda_A; t).$$

4. BASIC RESULTS ON INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY IDEALS (k-IDEALS)

Proposition 4.1: (see, [7]) Every intuitionistic fuzzy left k-ideal is an intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal.

Theorem 4.2: (see, [7,9]) An intuitionistic fuzzy set $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ in R is an intuitionistic fuzzy right (left) ideal in R iff $\cup (\mu_A; t)$ is a right(left) ideal in a hemiring R and $L(\lambda_A; t)$ is a right (left) ideal in a hemiring R for all $t \in [0, 1]$, whenever nonempty.

Theorem 4.3: (see, [7,9]) An intuitionistic fuzzy set $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ in R is an intuitionistic fuzzy right (left) ideal in R iff $R_A^{(s,t)}$ is a right (left) ideal for all $s, t \in [0, 1]$ whenever nonempty.

Theorem 4.4: (see, [7,9]) An intuitionistic fuzzy set $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy right (left) k-ideal in R iff $\cup (\mu_A; t)$ is a right (left) k-ideal in a hemiring R and $L(\lambda_A; t)$ is a right (left) k-ideal in a hemiring R for all $t \in R$ whenever nonempty.

Theorem 4.5: (see, [7,9]) An intuitionistic fuzzy set $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy right(left) k-ideal in R iff $R_A^{(s,t)}$ is a right (left) k-ideal for all s and $t \in [0, 1]$ whenever nonempty.

4.1. Prime intuitionistic fuzzy k-ideals of hemirings.

Definition 4.1.1: An intuitionistic fuzzy left k-ideal $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is called prime if the following conditions are satisfied : $\forall x, y \in R$ and $\alpha \in L$,

- (i) $\mu_A(xry) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow \mu_A(x) \geq \alpha$ or $\mu_A(y) \geq \alpha \forall r \in R$
- (ii) $\lambda_A(xry) \leq \alpha \Rightarrow \lambda_A(x) \leq \alpha$ or $\lambda_A(y) \leq \alpha \forall r \in R$

Proposition 4.1.2: An intuitionistic fuzzy left k-ideal $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is prime iff $\cup (\mu_A; t)$ and $L(\lambda_A; t)$ are prime ideals of R .

Proof: Let an intuitionistic fuzzy left k-ideal $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ be prime. Clearly by the theorem IV.2 of [9] and corollary 3.12 of [7],

$$\cup (\mu_A; t) \text{ and } L(\lambda_A; t) \text{ are left k-ideals of } R. \text{ Let } R \neq \cup (\mu_A; t) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } xRy \subseteq \cup (\mu_A; t) \\ \Rightarrow \mu_A(xry) \geq t \forall r \in R$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_A(x) \geq t \text{ or } \mu_A(y) \geq t \text{ (since, } A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A) \text{ is prime)}$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in \cup (\mu_A; t) \text{ or, } y \in \cup (\mu_A; t).$$

Thus $\cup (\mu_A; t)$ is a prime ideal of R .

Similarly, let $xRy \subseteq L(\lambda_A; t)$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_A(xry) \leq t \forall r \in R$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_A(x) \leq t \text{ or, } \lambda_A(y) \leq t \text{ (since, } A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A) \text{ is prime)}$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in L(\lambda_A; t) \text{ or, } y \in L(\lambda_A; t)$$

Hence $L(\lambda_A; t)$ is prime ideal of R . The converse will be proved by contradiction.

Let $\cup (\mu_A; t)$ and $L(\lambda_A; t)$ be prime ideals of R for $t \in L$.

Suppose $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal which is not prime.

$$\Rightarrow \exists \alpha \in L \text{ and } x, y \in R \text{ such that}$$

$$\mu_A(xry) \geq \alpha \forall r \in R, \text{ but } \alpha > \mu_A(x), \text{ and } \alpha > \mu_A(y). \text{ Further,}$$

$\lambda_A(xry) \leq \alpha \ \forall r \in R$, but $\alpha < \lambda_A(x)$ and $\alpha < \lambda_A(y)$
 $\Rightarrow xRy \subseteq \cup(\mu_A; \alpha)$ but $x \notin \cup(\mu_A; \alpha), y \notin \cup(\mu_A; \alpha)$ and $xRy \subseteq L(\lambda_A; \alpha)$ but $x \notin L(\lambda_A; \alpha)$ and $y \notin L(\lambda_A; \alpha) \Rightarrow \cup(\mu_A; \alpha)$ and $L(\lambda_A; \alpha)$ are not prime ideals of R .
 This is a contradiction to the hypothesis.

Theorem 4.1.3: If R is a commutative hemiring with unity, and L is totally ordered then the intuitionistic fuzzy k-ideal $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is prime iff $\mu_A(xy) = \max\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}$ and

$$\lambda_A(xy) = \min\{\lambda_A(x), \lambda_A(y)\}$$

Proof: Suppose that an intuitionistic fuzzy left k-ideal $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is prime.

Let $\mu_A(xy) = \alpha, \alpha \in L$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_A(xry) = \mu_A(rxy) \geq \mu_A(r) \vee \mu_A(xy) \geq \alpha \ \forall r \in R \Rightarrow \mu_A(rxy) \geq \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_A(x) \geq \alpha \vee \mu_A(y) \geq \alpha \text{ (as } A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A) \text{ is prime).}$$

If $\mu_A(x) \geq \alpha$, then $\mu_A(xy) \geq \mu_A(x) \geq \alpha = \mu_A(xy) \Rightarrow \mu_A(xy) = \mu_A(x)$

If $\mu_A(y) \geq \alpha$, then $\mu_A(xy) \geq \mu_A(y) \geq \alpha = \mu_A(xy) \Rightarrow \mu_A(xy) = \mu_A(y)$

Thus, both the cases give that : $\mu_A(xy) = \max\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}$.

Similarly, let $\lambda_A(xy) = \alpha, \alpha \in L$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \lambda_A(xry) &= \lambda_A(rxy) \leq \lambda_A(r) \vee \lambda_A(xy) \leq \alpha \\ &\Rightarrow \lambda_A(xry) \leq \alpha \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_A(x) \leq \alpha \text{ or } \lambda_A(y) \leq \alpha \text{ (as } A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A) \text{ is prime).}$$

Now, if $\lambda_A(x) \leq \alpha$, then $\lambda_A(xy) \leq \lambda_A(x) \leq \alpha = \lambda_A(xy)$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_A(xy) = \lambda_A(x)$$

if $\lambda_A(y) \leq \alpha$, then $\lambda_A(xy) \leq \lambda_A(y) \leq \alpha = \lambda_A(xy)$

Thus, both the cases yield that : $\lambda_A(xy) = \lambda_A(x) \vee \lambda_A(y)$.

To prove the converse, suppose that $\mu_A(xy) = \max\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}$ and

$$\lambda_A(xy) = \min\{\lambda_A(x), \lambda_A(y)\}$$

Let $\mu_A(xry) \geq \alpha \ \forall r \in R$ & $\lambda_A(xry) \leq \alpha \ \forall r \in R$.

Now, putting $r = 1$, which gives that $\mu_A(xy) \geq \alpha$ & $\lambda_A(xy) \leq \alpha$

$$\Rightarrow \max\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\} \geq \alpha$$

and $\min\{\lambda_A(x), \lambda_A(y)\} \leq \alpha$, (by the hypothesis)

$$\Rightarrow \mu_A(x) \geq \alpha \text{ or } \mu_A(y) \geq \alpha \text{ \& } \lambda_A(x) \leq \alpha \text{ or } \lambda_A(y) \leq \alpha$$

Hence $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is a prime intuitionistic fuzzy k-ideal.

4.2 Semiprime intuitionistic fuzzy k-ideals of hemirings

Definition 4.2.1: An intuitionistic fuzzy left k-ideal $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ of R is called semiprime if, for all $x, y \in R$ and $\alpha \in L$, the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\mu_A(xrx) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow \mu_A(x) \geq \alpha \ \forall r \in R$
- (ii) $\lambda_A(xrx) \leq \alpha \Rightarrow \lambda_A(x) \leq \alpha \ \forall r \in R$.

Proposition 4.2.2: An intuitionistic fuzzy left k-ideal $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ of R is semiprime iff $\cup(\mu_A; t)$ and $L(\lambda_A; t)$ are semiprime ideals of R .

Proof: Let an intuitionistic fuzzy left k-ideal $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ be semiprime. Clearly by the theorem IV.2 of [9] and corollary 3.12 of [7],

$\cup (\mu_A; t)$ and $L(\lambda_A; t)$ are left k-ideals of R .

Let $R \neq \cup (\mu_A; t) \neq \emptyset$ and $xRx \subseteq \cup (\mu_A; t)$.

$$\Rightarrow \mu_A(xrx) \geq t \quad \forall r \in R$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_A(x) \geq t \quad (\text{as } A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A) \text{ is semiprime})$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in \cup (\mu_A; t).$$

Thus $\cup (\mu_A; t)$ is a semiprime ideal of R .

Similarly, let $xRx \subseteq L(\lambda_A; t)$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_A(xrx) \leq t \quad \forall r \in R$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_A(x) \leq t \quad (\text{as } A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A) \text{ is semiprime})$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in L(\lambda_A; t)$$

hence $L(\lambda_A; t)$ is a semiprime ideal of R . We prove the converse by contradiction.

Let $\cup (\mu_A; t)$ and $L(\lambda_A; t)$ be semiprime ideals of R for $t \in L$.

Suppose $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal which is not semiprime.

$$\Rightarrow \exists \alpha \in L \text{ and } x \in R \text{ such that}$$

$$\mu_A(xrx) \geq \alpha \quad \forall r \in R, \text{ but } \alpha > \mu_A(x) \text{ and}$$

$$\lambda_A(xrx) \leq \alpha \quad \forall r \in R, \text{ but } \alpha < \lambda_A(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow xRx \subseteq \cup (\mu_A; \alpha), \text{ but } x \notin \cup (\mu_A; \alpha) \text{ and } xRx \subseteq L(\lambda_A; \alpha), \text{ but } x \notin L(\lambda_A; \alpha)$$

$$\Rightarrow \cup (\mu_A; \alpha) \text{ and } L(\lambda_A; \alpha) \text{ are not prime ideals of } R.$$

This is a contradiction to the hypothesis.

Corollary 4.2.3: The intersection of semiprime intuitionistic fuzzy left k-ideals of R is a semiprime intuitionistic fuzzy left k-ideal.

Proof: Let $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$, and $B = (\mu_B, \lambda_B)$ be two semiprime intuitionistic fuzzy left k-ideals of R .

$$\text{Let } \mu_{A \cap B}(xrx) \geq \alpha \text{ and } \lambda_{A \cap B}(xrx) \leq \alpha.$$

$$\Rightarrow \min\{\mu_A(xrx), \mu_B(xrx)\} \geq \alpha \text{ \& } \max\{\lambda_A(xrx), \lambda_B(xrx)\} \leq \alpha.$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_A(xrx) \geq \alpha, \mu_B(xrx) \geq \alpha \text{ and } \lambda_A(xrx) \leq \alpha, \lambda_B(xrx) \leq \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_A(x) \geq \alpha \text{ \& } \mu_B(x) \geq \alpha, \text{ and } \lambda_A(x) \leq \alpha \text{ \& } \lambda_B(x) \leq \alpha \text{ (since } A \text{ \& } B \text{ are semiprimes)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)\} \geq \alpha, \text{ and } \max\{\lambda_A(x), \lambda_B(x)\} \leq \alpha.$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_{A \cap B}(x) \geq \alpha \text{ and } \lambda_{A \cap B}(x) \leq \alpha.$$

Thus, $A \cap B = (\mu_A \cap \mu_B, \lambda_A \cup \lambda_B)$ is semiprime.

Theorem 4.2.4: If R is a commutative hemiring with unity, and L is totally ordered, then the intuitionistic fuzzy left k-ideal $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is semiprime iff $\mu_A(x^2) = \max\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(x)\}$ and $\lambda_A(x^2) = \min\{\lambda_A(x), \lambda_A(x)\}$.

Proof: By putting $y = x$ in the proof of the Theorem 4.1.3, this result follows.

Theorem 4.2.5: An intuitionistic fuzzy left k-ideal $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ of a commutative hemiring R with unity is semiprime iff $\mu_A(x^n) = \mu_A(x)$ and $\lambda_A(x^n) = \lambda_A(x) \quad \forall x, \forall n \geq 1$.

Proof: The forward direction of this theorem will be proved by induction. The result holds for $n = 2$ (by the Corollary 4.1.6 above). Let $k \geq 2$, and assume $\mu_A(x^n) = \mu_A(x)$ and $\lambda_A(x^n) = \lambda_A(x) \quad \forall n, 1 \leq n \leq k$.

$$\mu_A(x^{k+1}) = \mu_A(x) \text{ and } \lambda_A(x^{k+1}) = \lambda_A(x).$$

(i) If k is odd, then $k = 2m + 1$, $m < k$.

This implies, $\mu_A(x^{k+1}) = \mu_A(x^{2m+2}) = \mu_A((x^{m+1})^2) = \mu_A(x)$

and $\lambda_A(x^{k+1}) = \lambda_A(x^{2m+2}) = \lambda_A((x^{m+1})^2) = \lambda_A(x)$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_A(x^{k+1}) = \mu_A(x) \text{ and } \lambda_A(x^{k+1}) = \lambda_A(x)$$

(ii). If k is even, then $k = 2m$, $m < k$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_A(x) \leq \mu_A(x^{k+1}) = \mu_A(x^{2m+1}) \leq \mu_A(x^{2m+2}) = \mu_A((x^{m+1})^2) = \mu_A(x^{m+1}) = \mu_A(x)$$

and $\lambda_A(x) \geq \lambda_A(x^{k+1}) = \lambda_A(x^{2m+1}) \geq \lambda_A(x^{2m+2}) = \lambda_A((x^{m+1})^2) = \lambda_A(x)$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_A(x^{k+1}) = \mu_A(x) \text{ and } \lambda_A(x^{k+1}) = \lambda_A(x)$$

Hence, $\mu_A(x^n) = \mu_A(x)$ and $\lambda_A(x^n) = \lambda_A(x)$

The converse of the theorem follows from the Corollary 4.1.6 by putting $n = 2$.

Definition 4.2.6: (see, [7,9]) A hemiring R is said to be regular if for all $a \in R$, there exists $x \in R$ such that $a = axa$.

Theorem 4.2.7: If R is a commutative regular hemiring with unity, then every intuitionistic fuzzy left k -ideal $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ of R is semiprime.

Proof : Let $x \in R$. Since R is regular, there exists $a \in R$ such that $x = xax$. If this result is applied repeatedly, it yields $x = x^n a^{n-1} \forall n \geq 2$. Now $\mu_A(x) = \mu_A(x^n a^{n-1}) \geq \mu_A(x^n) \geq \mu_A(x)$ and $\lambda_A(x) = \lambda_A(x^n a^{n-1}) \leq \lambda_A(x^n) \leq \lambda_A(x)$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_A(x^n) = \mu_A(x) \text{ and } \lambda_A(x^n) = \lambda_A(x).$$

Hence, by the Theorem 4.2.4 above it follows that $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is semiprime.

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we prove the necessary and sufficient conditions for an intuitionistic fuzzy left k -ideal $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ to be prime and semiprime and also discuss some related results. The author of this paper suggests that the future study of prime and semiprime intuitionistic fuzzy k -ideals of hemirings can be focused on constructing the spectrum of prime and semiprime intuitionistic fuzzy k -ideals of hemirings.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Zadeh, L.A. (1965). Fuzzy sets, *Information and Control*, 8, 338 – 353.
- [2]. Atanassov, K. T. (1983). Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 20, 87–96.
- [3]. Atanassov, K. T. (1994). New operations defined over the intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 61, 137 – 142.
- [4]. Balasubramanian, K.R. and Raja, V. (2018). Interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy ideal extensions in semirings, *International Journal of Applied Engineering Research*, Vol.13 (11), 9674-9679.
- [5]. Thirumagal, M.R. and Murugadas, P. (2018). Intuitionistic fuzzy 2-(0- or 1-) Prime Ideals in Semirings, *Int. J. Math. and Appl.*, 6(1-D), 825-834.
- [6]. Abdullah, Saleem, Davvaz, Bijan and Aslam, Muhammad (2011). (α, β) –intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of hemirings, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 62, 3077-3090.
- [7]. Akram, Muhammad and Dudek, W. A. (2008). Intuitionistic fuzzy left k -Ideals of semirings, *Soft Computing*, 12, 881 – 890.
- [8]. Dudek, W.A. (2006). Intuitionistic fuzzy h -ideals of hemirings, *WSEAS Trans. Math.*, 12, 1315 – 1331.
- [9]. Dheena, P. and Mohanraaj, G. (2011). On intuitionistic fuzzy k -ideals of semirings, *International Journal of Computational Cognition*, Vol.9(2) (45-50).
- [10]. Balasubramanian, K.R. and Raja, V. (2018). Results on Intuitionistic fuzzy k -ideals of semiring, *Int. J. Math. and Appl.*, 6(1-B), 297-305.
- [11]. Kumbhojkar, H.V. (2010). Spectrum of prime L -fuzzy h -ideals of a hemiring, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 161, 1740 –1749.

- [12]. Zhan, J. and Dudek, W.A. (2007). Fuzzy h-ideals of hemirings, *Inform Sci.*, 177, 876 – 886.
- [13]. Arjunan, K. and Anitha, N. (2011). Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Ideals of a hemiring, *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, 68, 3393-3402.