

# NON-ARCHIMEDEAN STABILITY AND NON-STABILITY OF QUADRATIC RECIPROCAL FUNCTIONAL EQUATION IN SEVERAL VARIABLES

Nawneet Hooda<sup>1,\*</sup>, Shalini Tomar<sup>2</sup>

## Author Affiliation:

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Deenbandhu Chhotu Ram University of Science and Technology (DCRUST), Sonapat, Haryana 131039, India.

E-mail: nawneethooda@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Kanya Mahavidyalaya, Kharkhoda, Sonapat, Haryana 131402, India.

E-mail: s\_saroja30@yahoo.com

## \*Corresponding Author:

**Nawneet Hooda** Department of Mathematics, Deenbandhu Chhotu Ram University of Science and Technology (DCRUST), Sonapat, Haryana 131039, India.

E-mail: nawneethooda@gmail.com

Received on 28.01.2018, Accepted on 29.06.2018

## Abstract

In this paper, we prove the stability of quadratic reciprocal functional equation in several variables of the type

$$\frac{\prod_{j=2}^k f(x_1+x_j)}{\sum_{i=2}^k \prod_{j=2, j \neq i}^k f(x_1+x_j)} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^k f(x_j)}{2(\sum_{i=2}^k \prod_{j=2, j \neq i}^k \sqrt{f(x_j)}) \prod_{j=1}^k \sqrt{f(x_j)} + (k-1) \prod_{j=2}^k f(x_j) + \sum_{i=2}^k \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^k f(x_j)}$$

(where  $k$  is a positive integer greater than 2) in non-Archimedean fields. We also provide counter examples for singular cases for stability in non-Archimedean fields.

**Keywords:** Generalised Hyers-Ulam stability, quadratic reciprocal functional equation, non-Archimedean fields.

**2010 Mathematical Subject Classification-** 39B72, 39B82.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The study of stability of functional equations was encouraged by a significant question of Ulam[1], which was partially answered by Hyers[10] in Banach spaces. In the course of time, Hyers' result was generalised by T. Aoki[2], Th.M. Rassias[12] and P. Gavruta[9] under various adaptations. Since then many researchers have investigated the result for various functional equations and mappings in various spaces [3,7,11]. In 2010, Ravi and Senthil Kumar[16] obtained generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for the reciprocal functional equation

$$f(x+y) = \frac{f(x)f(y)}{f(x)+f(y)} \quad (1)$$

in the space of non-zero real numbers. The reciprocal function  $f(x) = c/x$  is a solution of functional equation (1). After that various forms of the reciprocal functional equations were investigated which can be found

in[13,14,17,18]. For the first time, Bodaghi and Kim [5 ] introduced and studied the generalised Hyers-Ulam stability for the quadratic reciprocal functional equation

$$f(2x + y) + f(x + 2y) = \frac{2f(x)f(y)[4f(y)+f(x)]}{(4f(y)-f(x))^2} \quad (2)$$

in the space of non-zero real numbers. Bodaghi and Ebrahimdoost [4] generalized the above equation in non-archimedean fields. Some other forms of quadratic reciprocal functional equations can be studied found in [6,15,18].

In this paper, we investigate the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for the reciprocal quadratic functional equation in several variables of the type

$$\frac{\prod_{j=2}^k f(x_1+x_j)}{\sum_{i=2}^k \prod_{j=2, j \neq i}^k f(x_1+x_j)} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^k f(x_j)}{2(\sum_{i=2}^k \prod_{j=2, j \neq i}^k \sqrt{f(x_j)}) \prod_{j=1}^k \sqrt{f(x_j)+(k-1)} \prod_{j=2}^k f(x_j) + \sum_{i=2}^k \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^k f(x_j)} \quad (3)$$

where k is a positive integer greater than 2. It can be easily verified that the quadratic reciprocal function  $f(x) = \frac{c}{x^2}$  is a solution of the functional equation (3).

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

A *Non-Archimedean field* is a field K equipped with a function  $|\cdot|: K \rightarrow R$  such that for any  $r, s \in K$  we have

- $|r| \geq 0$  and the equality holds if and only if  $r = 0$ ,
- $|rs| = |r||s|$ ,
- $|r + s| \leq \max\{|r|, |s|\}$ .

The third condition is called the strict triangle inequality. By second, we have  $|1| = |-1| = 1$ . Thus, by induction, it follows from the third condition that  $|n| \leq 1$  for each integer  $n$ . We always assume in addition that  $|\cdot|$  is non trivial, i.e. there is an  $r_o \in K$  such that  $|r_o| \notin \{0,1\}$ .

### 2.1 Definition

Let X be a vector space over a field K with a non-Archimedean valuation  $|\cdot|$ . A function  $\|\cdot\|: X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a *non-Archimedean norm* if the following conditions hold:

- $\|x\| = 0$  iff  $x = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ ;
- $\|rx\| = |r|\|x\|$  for all  $r \in K$  and  $x \in X$ ;
- (strong triangle inequality)  $\|x + y\| \leq \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

Then  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is called a *non-Archimedean normed space*.

### 2.2 Definition

Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in a non-Archimedean normed space X.

1. A sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in a non-Archimedean space is a *Cauchy sequence* if and only if, the sequence  $\{x_{n+1} - x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  converges to zero.
2. The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be convergent if, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there are a positive integer N and  $x \in X$  such that  $\|x_n - x\| \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Then, the point  $x \in X$  is called the limit of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$ , which is denoted by  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ .
3. If every Cauchy sequence in X converges, then the non-Archimedean normed space X is called a *non-Archimedean Banach space*.

## 3. STABILITY OF FUNCTIONAL EQUATION (1) IN NON- ARCHIMEDEAN FIELD

In this section we will prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of cubic functional equation (3) in non-Archimedean fields. Throughout this paper, suppose that X and Y are non-Archimedean field and complete non-Archimedean field, respectively. For convenience, define the difference operator  $D_k f: X^k \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$D_k f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = \frac{\prod_{j=2}^k f(x_1+x_j)}{\sum_{i=2}^k \prod_{j=2, j \neq i}^k f(x_1+x_j)} - \frac{\prod_{j=1}^k f(x_j)}{2(\sum_{i=2}^k \prod_{j=2, j \neq i}^k \sqrt{f(x_j)}) \prod_{j=1}^k \sqrt{f(x_j)+(k-1)} \prod_{j=2}^k f(x_j) + \sum_{i=2}^k \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^k f(x_j)}$$

Also let us assume that

$$\sum_{i=2}^k \prod_{j=2, j \neq i}^k f(x_1+x_j) \neq 0, \\ 2\left(\sum_{i=2}^k \prod_{j=2, j \neq i}^k \sqrt{f(x_j)}\right) \prod_{j=1}^k \sqrt{f(x_j)} + (k-1) \prod_{j=2}^k f(x_j) + \sum_{i=2}^k \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^k f(x_j) \neq 0$$

for all  $x_i \in X; i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, k; k \geq 3$  and  $x_1 \neq x_i$  for all  $i; 2 \leq i \leq k; k \geq 3$ ; and set  $\frac{0^{k-1}}{0^{k-2}} = 0$ .

### 3.1 Theorem

Let  $\Phi: X^k \rightarrow Y$  be a function satisfying

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{x}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{x}{2^n}\right) = 0 \quad (4)$$

for all  $x_i \in X$ . Suppose that  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the following inequality

$$|D_k f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)| \leq \Phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \quad (5)$$

for all  $x_i \in X$ , then there exists a quadratic reciprocal mapping  $Q: X \rightarrow Y$  satisfying (3) and the inequality

$$|Q(x) - f(x)| \leq \max\left\{\frac{|k-1|}{2^{2j}} \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{j+1}}, \dots, \frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right); j \in N \cup \{0\}\right\} \quad (6)$$

Moreover if,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max\left\{\frac{|k-1|}{2^{2j}} \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{j+1}}, \dots, \frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right); m \leq j \leq n+m\right\} = 0 \quad (7)$$

then  $Q$  is a unique quadratic reciprocal mapping satisfying (6).

**Proof: Existence-** Replacing  $x_i$  by  $\frac{x}{2}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  in (5) and multiplying by  $(k-1)$ , we get

$$\left|f(x) - \frac{1}{2^2} f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right| \leq |k-1| \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \dots, \frac{x}{2}\right) \quad (8)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $\frac{x}{2^{n-1}}$  in (8) and dividing both sides by  $2^{2(n-1)}$ , we obtain

$$\left|\frac{1}{2^{2(n-1)}} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n-1}}\right) - \frac{1}{2^{2n}} f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)\right| \leq \frac{|k-1|}{2^{2(n-1)}} \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{x}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{x}{2^n}\right) \quad (9)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . It can be easily seen from (4) and (8) that the sequence  $\{\frac{1}{2^{2n}} f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $Y$  is complete, therefore  $\{\frac{1}{2^{2n}} f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}_{n \geq 1}$  is convergent. Hence we can define

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2^{2n}} f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\} \quad (10)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . With the help of induction, we can easily show that

$$\begin{aligned} \left|f(x) - \frac{1}{2^{2n}} f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)\right| &\leq \left|\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{2j}} f\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) - \frac{1}{2^{2(j+1)}} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right)\right| \\ &\leq \max\left\{\left|\frac{1}{2^{2j}} f\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) - \frac{1}{2^{2(j+1)}} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right)\right|; 0 \leq j < n\right\} \\ &\leq \max\left\{\frac{|k-1|}{2^{2j}} \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{j+1}}, \dots, \frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right); 0 \leq j < n\right\} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

for all  $n \in N$  and for all  $x \in X$ . By taking limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (11) and using (10), we get that inequality (6) is true. By (4), (5) and (10), we get

$$|D_k Q(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{2^{2n}} D_k f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{x}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{x}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Therefore, by letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we can say that the function  $Q$  satisfies (3) and hence  $Q(x)$  is a reciprocal quadratic reciprocal function.

**Uniqueness-** To prove the uniqueness of  $Q$ , let  $Q^*: X \rightarrow Y$  be another function which satisfies (6). Then

$$\begin{aligned} |Q(x) - Q^*(x)| &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{2j}} |Q\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) - Q^*\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right)| \\ &\leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{2j}} \max\{|Q\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right)|, |f\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) - Q^*\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right)|\} \\ &\leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max\left\{\frac{1}{2^{2(j+i)}} \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2^{i+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{i+1}}, \dots, \frac{x}{2^{i+1}}\right); j \leq i < n+j\right\} \\ &= 0 \text{ for all } x \in X. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $Q = Q^*$ . Hence the proof.

### 3.2 Theorem

Let  $\Phi: X^k \rightarrow Y$  be a function satisfying

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{2n} \Phi(2^n x, 2^n x, \dots, 2^n x) = 0 \quad (12)$$

for all  $x_i \in X$ . Suppose that  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the inequality (5) for all  $x_i \in X$ , then there exists a quadratic reciprocal mapping  $Q: X \rightarrow Y$  satisfying (3) and the inequality

$$|Q(x) - f(x)| \leq \max\{|k-1| 2^{2j+2} \Phi(2^j x, 2^j x, \dots, 2^j x); j \in N \cup \{0\}\} \quad (13)$$

Moreover if,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max\{|k-1| 2^{2j+2} \Phi(2^j x, 2^j x, \dots, 2^j x); m \leq j \leq n+m\} = 0 \quad (14)$$

then  $Q$  is a unique quadratic reciprocal mapping satisfying (13).

**Proof:** Replacing  $x_i$  by  $x$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  in (5) and applying similar arguments as in previous theorem we can get the desired result.

### 3.3 Corollary

Let  $p$  be any real number and  $\theta$  is non-negative real number. If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies

$$|D_k f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)| = \begin{cases} \theta(\sum_{i=1}^k |x_i|^p) & p < -2 \text{ or } p > -2 \\ \theta(\prod_{i=1}^k |x_i|^{p/k}) & p < -2 \text{ or } p > -2, \\ \theta((\sum_{i=1}^k |x_i|^{k\alpha} + \prod_{i=1}^k |x_i|^\alpha) & \alpha < \frac{-1}{k} \text{ or } \alpha > \frac{-1}{k} \end{cases}$$

for all  $x_i \in X$ , then there exists a unique quadratic reciprocal mapping  $Q: X \rightarrow Y$  satisfying (3) and the inequality for all  $x_i \in X$

$$|Q(x) - f(x)| = \begin{cases} \frac{\theta k(k-1)|x|^p}{2^p} & p < -2 \\ 2^2 \theta k(k-1)|x|^p & p > -2 \\ \frac{\theta(k-1)|x|^p}{2^p} & p < -2 \\ 2^2 \theta(k-1)|x|^p & p > -2 \\ \frac{\theta(k^2-1)|x|^{k\alpha}}{2^{k\alpha}} & \alpha < \frac{-1}{k} \\ 2^2 \theta(k^2-1)|x|^{k\alpha} & \alpha > \frac{-1}{k} \end{cases}$$

**Proof:** Choosing appropriate  $\Phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  in above theorems we can get the desired results.

## 4. COUNTER-EXAMPLES

In this section we will provide examples to show the non-stability of functional equation (3) for  $p = 2$  and  $\alpha = \frac{-1}{k}$  in  $\mathbf{R}$  with usual metric  $|\cdot|$  in Corollary (3.3) using well-known counter example provided by Z. Gajda [8]. Consider the function  $\Phi: \mathbf{R}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  defined as

$$\Phi(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{9}{x^2} & \text{for } x \in (1, \infty) \\ 9 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $9 > 0$  is a constant, and let for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}^*$  the function  $f: \mathbf{R}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be defined as

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(2^{-n}x)}{2^{2n}} \quad (15)$$

### 4.1 Theorem

If  $f: \mathbf{R}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  as defined in (15) satisfies the functional inequality

$$|D_k f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)| \leq \frac{209}{3(k-1)} (\sum_{j=1}^k |x_j|^{-2}) \quad (16)$$

for all  $x_j \in \mathbf{R}^*$ . Therefore there does not exist a quadratic reciprocal mapping  $Q: \mathbf{R}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  and a constant  $\rho > 0$  such that

$$|f(x) - Q(x)| \leq \rho |x|^{-2} \quad (17)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}^*$ .

**Proof:**  $|f(x)| = |\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(2^{-n}x)}{2^{2n}}| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\Phi(2^{-n}x)|}{2^{2n}} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{9}{2^{2n}} = \frac{49}{3}$ .

Hence the function is bounded by  $49/3$ . If  $(\sum_{n=1}^k |x_j|^{-2}) \geq 1$  then L.H.S. of (16) is less than  $\frac{209}{3(k-1)}$ . Suppose that  $0 < (\sum_{n=1}^k |x_j|^{-2}) < 1$ . Then there exists a positive number  $m$  such that

$$\frac{1}{2^{2(m+1)}} \leq (\sum_{n=1}^k |x_j|^{-2}) \leq \frac{1}{2^{2m}} \quad (18)$$

Hence we can say that  $2^{2m}(\sum_{n=1}^k |x_j|^{-2}) < 1$

Or  $\frac{x_j}{2^m} > 1$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$

Or  $\frac{x_j}{2^{(m-1)}} > 2 > 1$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$

and consequently  $\frac{x_j}{2^{(m-1)}}, \frac{x_j+x_1}{2^{(m-1)}} > 1$  for  $j=1, 2, \dots, k$ . Therefore, for each  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ , we have

$$\frac{x_j}{2^n}, \frac{x_j+x_1}{2^n} > 1 \text{ for } j=1, 2, \dots, k.$$

and  $D_k \Phi(2^{-n}x_1, 2^{-n}x_2, \dots, 2^{-n}x_k) = 0$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ . Using (15) and definition of function, we can easily calculate that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |D_k f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)| &= \left| \frac{\prod_{j=2}^k f(x_1+x_j)}{\sum_{i=2}^k \prod_{j=2, j \neq i}^k f(x_1+x_j)} - \frac{\prod_{j=1}^k f(x_j)}{2(\sum_{i=2}^k \prod_{j=2, j \neq i}^k \sqrt{f(x_j)}) \prod_{j=1}^k \sqrt{f(x_j)} + (k-1) \prod_{j=2}^k f(x_j) + \sum_{i=2}^k \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^k f(x_j)} \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{\prod_{j=2}^k \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} (9/2^{2n})}{(k-1) \prod_{j=2}^{k-1} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} (9/2^{2n})} + \frac{\prod_{j=1}^k \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} (9/2^{2n})}{4(k-1) \prod_{j=2}^k \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} (9/2^{2n})} \\
 &\leq \frac{5}{4(k-1)} \frac{9}{2^{2m}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4}\right)^4 \leq \frac{209}{3(k-1)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k |x_j|^{-2}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_j \in \mathbf{R}^*$ . Hence (16) is proved. Next we claim that the quadratic reciprocal functional equation is not stable for  $p = -2$  in corollary (3.3). Assume that there exists a quadratic reciprocal functional equation  $Q: \mathbf{R}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  satisfying (17). Therefore, we have

$$|f(x)| \leq (1 + \rho)|x|^{-2} \quad (19)$$

Next, we can choose a positive integer  $r$  with  $r\rho > \rho + 1$ . If  $x \in (1, 2^{r-1})$  then  $2^{-n}x \in (1, \infty)$  for all  $n=0, 1, 2, \dots, r-1$  and therefore

$$|f(x)| = \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(2^{-n}x)}{2^{2n}} \right| \geq \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \frac{2^{2n}\rho/x^2}{2^{2n}} = \frac{r\rho}{x^2} > (\rho + 1)x^{-2}$$

which is a contradiction to (19), hence the proof.

## 4.2 Theorem

If  $f: \mathbf{R}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  as defined in (15) satisfies the functional inequality

$$|D_k f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)| \leq \frac{209}{3(k-1)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k |x_i|^{-2}\right) \quad (20)$$

for all  $x_j \in \mathbf{R}^*$ . Therefore there does not exist a quadratic reciprocal mapping  $Q: \mathbf{R}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  and a constant  $\rho > 0$  such that

$$|f(x) - Q(x)| \leq \rho \left(\sum_{i=1}^k |x_i|^{-1} + \prod_{i=1}^k |x_i|^{-\frac{1}{k}}\right) \quad (21)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}^*$ .

**Proof:** Using arguments of previous theorem we can easily get the desired result.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. M. Ulam, Problems in Modern Mathematics, *Science Editions*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, NY, USA, 1964.
- [2] T. Aoki, On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces. *J. Math. Soc. Jpn.*, Vol. 2(1950), 64-66.
- [3] H. Azadi Kenary, On the stability of a cubic functional equation in random normed spaces, *J. Math. Ext.*, Vol. 4, No. 1(2009), 1-11.
- [4] A Bodaghi, Y Ebrahimdoost, On the stability of quadratic reciprocal functional equation in non-Archimedean fields, *Asian-Eur. J. Math.*, Vol. 9, No. 1(2016), Article ID 1650002.
- [5] A Bodaghi, S.O. Kim, Approximation on the quadratic reciprocal functional equation. *J. Funct. Spaces Appl.* 2014, Article ID 532463.
- [6] Bodaghi, J.M. Rassias, C. Park, Fundamental stabilities of an alternative quadratic reciprocal functional equation in non-Archimedean fields. *Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc.*, Vol. 18, No.3(2015), 313-320.
- [7] M. Eshaghi Gordji, M. B. Savadkouhi, Stability of mixed type cubic and quartic functional equations in random normed spaces, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 2009(2009), Article ID 527462, 9 pp.
- [8] Z. Gajda, On stability of additive mappings, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, Vol. 14 (1991), 431-434.
- [9] P. Gavruta, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, Vol. 184, No. 3(1994), 431-436.
- [10] D. H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, Vol. 27, No. 4(1941), 222-224.
- [11] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac, Th. M. Rassias, Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables, Birkhauser, Basel, Switzerland, 1998.
- [12] Th. M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol. 72, No. 2(1978), 297-300.
- [13] K. Ravi, J. M. Rassias, B. V. Senthil Kumar, Ulam stability of generalized reciprocal functional equation in several variables, *Int. J. Appl. Math. Stat.* 19(D10) (2010) 119.
- [14] K. Ravi, J. M. Rassias, B. V. Senthil Kumar, Ulam stability of reciprocal difference and adjoint functional equations, *Australian J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 8(1) (2011), Article ID 13, 118.
- [15] K. Ravi, J.M. Rassias, B.V. Senthil Kumar, A. Bodaghi, Intuitionistic fuzzy stability of a

- reciprocal-quadratic functional equation, *Int. J. Appl. Sci. Math.* Vol. 1, No. 1(2014), 9-14.
- [16] K. Ravi, B.V. Senthil Kumar, Ulam-Gavruta-Rassias stability of Rassias reciprocal functional equation. *Glob. J. Appl. Math. Math. Sci.*, Vol. 3, No. 1-2(2010), 57-79.
- [17] K. Ravi, E. Thandapani, B.V. Senthil Kumar, Stability of reciprocal type functional equations. *Panam. Math. J.* Vol. 21, No. 1(2011), 59-70.
- [18] K. Ravi, E. Thandapani, B.V. Senthil Kumar, Solution and stability of a reciprocal type functional equation in several variables, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, Vol. 7 (2014), 18-27.