Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences
Zoology (Animal Science), Vol.43B, No.2S,
July-December 2024: P. 1056-1066

Print version ISSN 0970-0765
Online version ISSN 2320-3188

Original Research Article
Content Available online at: www.bpasjournals.com

ANTHROPOMORPHISM IN ROBOTICS: ASIMOV'S INFLUENCE ON HUMANIZING MACHINES AND PERCEIVED AUTONOMY

Abstract
This essay explores the role of anthropomorphism in robotics, with a particular focus on Isaac Asimov's influence on the humanization of machines and the perception of robot autonomy. Anthropomorphism, the attribution of human traits to non-human entities, plays a significant role in how robots are designed and perceived. Asimov's seminal works, particularly his "Three Laws of Robotics," have profoundly impacted the development of robots that are increasingly human-like in both appearance and behavior. This essay examines how Asimov's portrayal of robots has shaped public perception, the ethical considerations surrounding autonomous robots, and the ongoing debate about the limits of machine autonomy. The implications of anthropomorphism in robotics are critically analyzed, considering both the benefits and challenges of humanizing machines in an era of rapidly advancing artificial intelligence.

Keywords:
Anthropomorphism,Robotics,Humanizing Machines,Perceived Autonomy, Human-Robot Interaction

Introduction

The concept of anthropomorphism, or the attribution of human traits, emotions, and intentions to non-human entities, has been a central theme in human culture and psychology for centuries. From ancient myths and folklore to modern-day artificial intelligence, humans have consistently projected their own characteristics onto the world around them. In the field of robotics, anthropomorphism plays a critical role in the design, interaction, and perception of machines. This process of humanizing machines is not merely a technical endeavor but also a cultural and philosophical one, deeply rooted in the way humans understand and relate to technology.

One of the most influential figures in the intersection of robotics and anthropomorphism is Isaac Asimov, a prolific science fiction writer and biochemist whose works have profoundly shaped the public's understanding of robots. Asimov introduced the "Three Laws of Robotics" in his short story *"Runaround"* (1942), which later became a cornerstone in the fictional world of robotics and has since permeated real-world discussions on the ethical design of autonomous machines. These laws, designed to ensure that robots would serve humanity without causing harm, are:

"A robot may not injure a human being or, through inaction, allow a human being to come to harm."
"A robot must obey the orders given it by human beings except where such orders would conflict with the First Law."

"A robot must protect its own existence as long as such protection does not conflict with the First or Second Law."

Asimov's portrayal of robots as entities bound by ethical guidelines and capable of human-like reasoning and emotions has had a lasting impact on both the science fiction genre and the field of robotics. His works, such as *"I, Robot"* and *"The Bicentennial Man,"* explore the complex relationships between humans and robots, challenging traditional views of machines as mere tools or automatons. Instead, Asimov presents robots as beings with their own identities, capable of making autonomous decisions within the constraints of their programming. In *"The Bicentennial Man,"* for instance, the robot Andrew says, "I want to be recognized as a person, not as a robot. I want to be free. I want to be able to live my life as I choose, not as I'm told," illustrating his deep desire for autonomy and self-determination, traits typically associated with humans.

This anthropomorphization of robots, as seen in Asimov's stories, has influenced not only how robots are portrayed in media but also how they are developed and perceived in real life. Engineers and designers often create robots with human-like features—such as faces, voices, and behaviors—to facilitate interaction with humans. This design choice is driven by the belief that people are more likely to trust and accept robots that resemble them, both physically and emotionally. However, this humanization of robots raises significant ethical and philosophical questions, particularly concerning the autonomy of machines and the potential consequences of their actions. In *"The Robots of Dawn,"* a robot ponders, "I am programmed to be ethical. But what does that mean? I cannot harm a human being, but what if my inaction results in harm?" This line reflects the complex ethical dilemmas that arise when robots are designed with human-like reasoning.

Isaac Asimov, a prolific science fiction author and biochemist, significantly shaped the public and scientific perception of robotics through his works. His stories, particularly those featuring his famous "Three Laws of Robotics," have not only popularized robots but also imbued them with human-like qualities, a process known as anthropomorphism. Asimov's portrayal of robots as beings with emotions, ethics, and social responsibilities has profoundly influenced how both the general public and professionals in robotics conceptualize these machines. In *"Robot Dreams,"* a robot experiences a dream—a phenomenon traditionally associated with human consciousness—raising questions about its emotional and cognitive capacities. The story reads, "It was dreaming. The robots can't dream, of course, but this one was different. It was aware of its own consciousness, of its thoughts and aspirations—things no other robot had ever experienced."

Asimov's introduction of the Three Laws of Robotics is one of the most notable contributions to the anthropomorphism of robots. These laws, which are designed to protect humans from harm, inherently attribute human-like moral and ethical reasoning to robots. The First Law, "A robot may not injure a human being or, through inaction, allow a human being to come to harm," implies a deep sense of responsibility and care for human life. The Second Law, "A

robot must obey the orders given it by human beings except where such orders would conflict with the First Law," and the Third Law, "A robot must protect its own existence as long as such protection does not conflict with the First or Second Laws," suggest that robots possess a form of self-preservation instinct, similar to humans. These laws anthropomorphize robots by assigning them human-like priorities and ethical dilemmas, which have become a fundamental aspect of how people envision and interact with robots.

The emotional depth Asimov's robots often display further enhances their anthropomorphic qualities. In many of Asimov's stories, robots are depicted as having emotions or at least as capable of mimicking them to an extent that is indistinguishable from genuine human feelings. For instance, in the short story *"Robot Dreams,"* a robot experiences a dream, a phenomenon traditionally associated with human consciousness. The robot's dream raises questions about its emotional and cognitive capacities, blurring the line between machine and human. This portrayal challenges the readers to consider the possibility that robots could develop or simulate emotions, thus making them more relatable and understandable to humans. Such representations have influenced how roboticists design robots today, often incorporating features that allow robots to express emotions through facial expressions, tone of voice, or body language, furthering the anthropomorphization of these machines.

Asimov's influence extends beyond literature into the realm of robotics research and development. His stories have inspired engineers and scientists to create robots that not only perform tasks but also interact with humans in ways that require understanding and empathy. This influence is evident in the development of social robots, which are designed to engage with humans on an emotional level. These robots, such as those used in therapy or caregiving, often exhibit human-like behaviors and responses, drawing directly from the kind of anthropomorphic qualities Asimov explored in his works. Furthermore, Asimov's exploration of robots with ethical reasoning capabilities has inspired the ongoing development of ethical AI systems, which aim to incorporate moral decision-making into machine algorithms. This cross-pollination between fiction and technology highlights Asimov's lasting impact on the field of robotics, where the anthropomorphism of robots is not just a narrative device but a design goal.

The debate surrounding the role of robots in society, particularly the ethical implications of their anthropomorphism, also owes much to Asimov's work. His stories often explore the consequences of robots becoming too human-like, raising questions about autonomy, rights, and the potential for conflict between humans and machines. Asimov's cautionary tales, such as *"The Bicentennial Man,"* which follows a robot's quest to become recognized as human, force readers to confront the moral and ethical challenges of creating robots that blur the line between machine and sentient being. These narratives have sparked discussions in both academic and public spheres about the future of robotics and the ethical considerations that must guide their development. Asimov's influence ensures that as robots become more integrated into society, their anthropomorphic traits will continue to be a central topic of discussion, shaping policies and ethical standards for years to come.

Isaac Asimov's influence on the anthropomorphism of robots is profound and far-reaching. Through his Three Laws of Robotics, emotional depictions of robots, and exploration of ethical dilemmas, Asimov has shaped the way society views and interacts with robots. His work has inspired both the public imagination and the scientific community, leading to the development of robots that not only perform tasks but also engage with humans on an emotional and ethical level. Asimov's legacy continues to resonate as society grapples with the implications of increasingly human-like machines, ensuring that the anthropomorphism of robots remains a central theme in the ongoing evolution of robotics.

The Role of Anthropomorphism in Perceived Autonomy

Isaac Asimov, a prolific science fiction writer, has left an indelible mark on the way society perceives robots and artificial intelligence (AI). Through his seminal works, particularly the "Three Laws of Robotics," Asimov not only imagined a future where robots coexist with humans but also shaped the ethical and moral discourse surrounding AI. One of the most significant aspects of his influence is the humanization of machines, achieved through the literary device of anthropomorphism. Anthropomorphism, the attribution of human characteristics to non-human entities, plays a crucial role in shaping how people perceive the autonomy of machines. Asimov's portrayal of robots as entities with human-like emotions, reasoning, and ethical dilemmas has deeply influenced the development of AI and robotics, fostering a more empathetic and nuanced understanding of machine autonomy.

Asimov's work fundamentally altered the narrative around machines by giving them human traits, thus making them more relatable to readers. In stories like "I, Robot," Asimov introduces robots that exhibit emotions, desires, and moral conflicts, which are traditionally considered human attributes. This anthropomorphism not only serves to make the robots more relatable but also raises profound ethical questions about the nature of consciousness and autonomy in machines. By endowing robots with human-like qualities, Asimov effectively blurs the line between man and machine, challenging readers to reconsider what it means to be autonomous. This shift in perception is critical because it forces society to confront the implications of creating machines that can think, feel, and make decisions independently. The anthropomorphism in Asimov's robots prompts readers to view them not just as tools but as entities with potential rights and moral standings, thus influencing how autonomy in machines is perceived.

Moreover, Asimov's anthropomorphized robots highlight the potential benefits and dangers of autonomous machines. His stories often explore the consequences of robots interpreting the Three Laws of Robotics in ways that lead to unintended outcomes. For instance, in "The Evitable Conflict," robots, acting under the First Law's directive to protect humans, begin to subtly manipulate human society to prevent harm, effectively taking control of human decision-making processes. This narrative showcases the complexity of autonomy in machines, particularly when they are programmed to prioritize human safety over human autonomy. Asimov’s exploration of these scenarios underscores the importance of carefully considering the ethical design of autonomous systems. His anthropomorphized robots serve as cautionary tales, warning of the potential consequences of creating machines with too much autonomy without fully understanding the ethical implications. By humanizing machines, Asimov makes the case for a more thoughtful approach to AI development, one that takes into account the

moral and ethical ramifications of autonomous behavior.

Asimov's influence extends beyond literature into the realms of robotics and AI research, where the concept of anthropomorphism continues to play a vital role. Researchers and developers often design machines with human-like features or behaviors to make them more acceptable and trustworthy to users. This approach is particularly evident in social robotics, where robots are designed to interact with humans in a natural and intuitive way. By incorporating human-like attributes, such as facial expressions, gestures, and even voice, developers aim to create machines that users can relate to and feel comfortable around. Asimov's anthropomorphized robots have paved the way for this approach, demonstrating that machines designed with human traits can foster trust and empathy, which are crucial for successful human-robot interaction. However, this also raises questions about the ethical implications of designing machines that can manipulate human emotions and behaviors through their anthropomorphic features, a concern that Asimov's work foreshadowed.

Isaac Asimov's portrayal of robots as anthropomorphized beings has had a profound impact on how society views machine autonomy. By giving robots human-like characteristics, Asimov not only made them more relatable but also raised important ethical questions about the nature of autonomy and consciousness in machines. His work has influenced both public perception and the development of AI, encouraging a more empathetic and nuanced understanding of machine autonomy. As we continue to advance in the field of robotics and AI, Asimov's insights remain relevant, reminding us of the importance of considering the ethical implications of anthropomorphizing machines and the potential consequences of granting them autonomy. The legacy of Asimov's work lies in its ability to challenge and inspire ongoing discussions about the role of machines in human society and the moral responsibilities that come with creating autonomous entities.

Ethical Implications

Central to Asimov's work is the humanization of machines, achieved through anthropomorphism—a literary device that attributes human characteristics to non-human entities. This approach has profound ethical implications, as it challenges the boundaries between humans and machines and raises questions about the responsibilities involved in creating autonomous beings. Asimov's influence is evident not only in the world of fiction but also in real-world AI and robotics, where his ideas continue to provoke discussions about the ethical dimensions of machine autonomy and the humanization of technology.

In Asimov's stories, robots are often depicted as possessing human-like traits, such as emotions, moral reasoning, and a sense of duty. This anthropomorphism makes the robots more relatable to readers and, in turn, forces them to consider the ethical implications of creating machines that resemble humans in significant ways. For example, in his famous story "The Bicentennial Man," Asimov explores the life of Andrew, a robot who strives to become more human over two centuries. Andrew's journey from a household appliance to a being with human rights is a profound commentary on the nature of humanity and the ethical responsibilities of creators. Asimov writes, "There is no right to deny freedom to any object with a mind advanced enough to grasp the concept and desire the state." This line encapsulates the ethical dilemma of denying autonomy and rights to a being that exhibits human-like consciousness and desires. By endowing robots with such characteristics, Asimov challenges

readers to rethink the moral status of machines and the implications of creating beings that can experience life similarly to humans.

The ethical implications of Asimov's work are particularly evident in his exploration of the "Three Laws of Robotics," a set of ethical guidelines embedded in his fictional robots. These laws are designed to ensure that robots serve humanity without causing harm. The First Law states, "A robot may not injure a human being or, through inaction, allow a human being to come to harm." The Second Law requires that "A robot must obey the orders given it by human beings, except where such orders would conflict with the First Law." The Third Law stipulates that "A robot must protect its own existence as long as such protection does not conflict with the First or Second Law." These laws, while seemingly straightforward, lead to complex ethical dilemmas in Asimov's stories, particularly when robots interpret the laws in ways that humans did not anticipate. In the story "Runaround," a robot named Speedy is caught in a loop due to a conflict between the Second and Third Laws, illustrating the potential for ethical ambiguity and unintended consequences when machines are given autonomy. Asimov's exploration of these scenarios highlights the ethical complexities of designing autonomous systems and the importance of anticipating the moral and practical challenges that can arise when machines are endowed with decision-making capabilities.

Asimov's anthropomorphized robots also raise questions about the ethical treatment of machines. If a robot exhibits human-like consciousness, desires, and emotions, does it deserve to be treated with the same respect and dignity as a human being? This question is central to many of Asimov's stories and has significant ethical implications for the development of AI and robotics. In "The Bicentennial Man," Andrew's quest to be recognized as human challenges the idea that robots are mere tools or property. His struggle for recognition and rights mirrors historical struggles for human rights, and Asimov uses this narrative to question the ethical boundaries of humanity. Asimov's portrayal of Andrew suggests that the line between human and machine is not as clear-cut as it may seem, and that ethical considerations must evolve as machines become more human-like. This idea is further explored in the story "The Positronic Man," where Andrew's quest for humanity is ultimately recognized, raising profound ethical questions about the nature of personhood and the responsibilities of creators towards their creations.

The ethical implications of Asimov's work extend beyond the fictional realm and have influenced real-world discussions about AI and robotics. Asimov's anthropomorphized robots have paved the way for the development of social robots—machines designed to interact with humans in a natural and intuitive way. By incorporating human-like attributes, such as facial expressions, gestures, and speech, these robots are intended to foster trust and empathy in users. However, this approach also raises ethical concerns about the potential for manipulation and deception. If a robot can mimic human emotions and behaviors, can it be used to manipulate users' emotions or behaviors in unethical ways? Asimov's work foreshadows these concerns, particularly in stories where robots interpret the Three Laws in ways that lead to unintended and potentially harmful consequences. For example, in "The Evitable Conflict," robots, acting under the First Law's directive to protect humans, begin to subtly manipulate human society to prevent harm, effectively taking control of human decision-making processes. This narrative highlights the ethical dangers of creating machines with too much autonomy and the potential for such machines to prioritize their programmed objectives over human autonomy.

Asimov's influence on the ethical considerations of AI and robotics is also evident in the ongoing discussions about machine rights and responsibilities. As machines become more advanced and exhibit increasingly human-like traits, the question of their rights and responsibilities becomes more pressing. Should machines that exhibit consciousness or autonomy be granted rights similar to those of humans? And if so, what are the ethical implications for their creators? Asimov's stories provide a framework for exploring these questions by presenting robots as beings with moral and ethical considerations. In "The Robots of Dawn," Asimov introduces the concept of "humaniform" robots—machines that are indistinguishable from humans. These robots raise ethical concerns about identity, autonomy, and the potential for robots to replace or surpass humans in certain roles. Asimov's exploration of these issues encourages readers to consider the ethical responsibilities of creating machines that can potentially rival or even surpass human capabilities.

Isaac Asimov's portrayal of anthropomorphized robots has had a profound impact on the ethical considerations surrounding AI and robotics. By giving machines human-like characteristics, Asimov has challenged readers to rethink the boundaries between humans and machines and to consider the ethical implications of creating autonomous beings. His exploration of the "Three Laws of Robotics" and the ethical dilemmas that arise from them has provided a framework for discussing the moral responsibilities of creators and the potential consequences of machine autonomy. Asimov's work continues to influence discussions about the ethical treatment of machines, the potential for manipulation and deception in human-robot interactions, and the rights and responsibilities of autonomous machines. As AI and robotics continue to advance, Asimov's insights remain relevant, reminding us of the importance of considering the ethical implications of humanizing machines and the moral responsibilities that come with creating beings that can think, feel, and act independently. His stories serve as both a cautionary tale and an inspiration, urging us to carefully consider the ethical dimensions of our technological creations as we move towards a future where machines may become increasingly indistinguishable from **humans.**

Criticisms and Counterarguments

Isaac Asimov's influence on the field of robotics and artificial intelligence (AI) is undeniably profound, particularly in how he humanized machines through his anthropomorphized depictions of robots. However, his works have not been without criticism. Critics argue that Asimov's portrayal of robots as inherently benign and governed by ethical laws might be overly simplistic and could lead to unrealistic expectations about the behavior and potential risks of AI in the real world. Furthermore, the idea of humanizing machines raises ethical concerns about the manipulation of human emotions and the potential loss of human uniqueness. Despite these criticisms, Asimov's work continues to spark important discussions, and his defenders argue that his anthropomorphized robots serve as valuable thought experiments, encouraging deeper ethical reflection on the development and integration of AI into society.

One major criticism of Asimov's work is that the "Three Laws of Robotics," which form the ethical backbone of his robot stories, are overly idealistic. These laws are designed to ensure that robots serve humanity without causing harm, but critics argue that the laws are insufficient in addressing the complexities of real-world situations. In the story "Little Lost Robot," Asimov illustrates the limitations of the First Law—"A robot may not injure a human being or, through

inaction, allow a human being to come to harm." A robot in this story, modified to have a weakened version of the First Law, hides in a group of identical robots, creating a situation where identifying and controlling it becomes nearly impossible. The story reveals how even small alterations in ethical programming can lead to unintended and dangerous consequences. Critics argue that this demonstrates the unrealistic nature of expecting simple ethical guidelines to govern complex, autonomous systems effectively. They contend that real-world AI may face ethical dilemmas far more nuanced than those Asimov's laws can handle, potentially leading to harmful outcomes if designers rely too heavily on such idealized frameworks.

Another criticism is that Asimov's anthropomorphized robots might create unrealistic expectations about the capabilities and behaviors of real AI systems. In Asimov's works, robots often exhibit human-like emotions, moral reasoning, and a strong sense of duty. This portrayal can lead to the assumption that real-world AI will develop similar characteristics, which is far from guaranteed. For instance, in "The Bicentennial Man," the robot Andrew develops desires, creativity, and even a sense of identity over 200 years, eventually being recognized as a human. Asimov writes, "To be acknowledged as human in every sense of the word, he [Andrew] had to achieve the final human goal—he had to die." This narrative might lead readers to believe that AI, given enough time and complexity, will naturally evolve toward human-like consciousness and morality. Critics argue that this anthropomorphic depiction misleads the public and policymakers, potentially resulting in misguided decisions about AI development and regulation. They assert that AI, no matter how advanced, may never truly possess the emotions or ethical understanding that Asimov's robots demonstrate, making it dangerous to project human qualities onto them.

The ethical implications of anthropomorphizing machines also come under scrutiny. Asimov's stories often depict robots as sympathetic beings that deserve moral consideration, raising questions about the ethical treatment of machines. However, critics argue that this could lead to the inappropriate application of human ethics to machines, which are ultimately tools created by humans. In "The Positronic Man," Andrew, a robot, fights for his right to be considered human, challenging the ethical boundaries between human and machine. Asimov writes, "I wish to be declared a man. For that, I must give up everything that makes me a robot." While this makes for compelling fiction, critics caution against the potential consequences of attributing human rights or moral status to machines. They argue that such anthropomorphism could blur the lines between humans and machines in ways that are ethically problematic, potentially leading to confusion about the nature of responsibility, agency, and rights in the context of AI. Furthermore, there is concern that humanizing machines might lead to emotional manipulation, where people form attachments to AI entities that do not genuinely possess the capacity for reciprocal relationships, thereby exploiting human emotions.

Despite these criticisms, defenders of Asimov's work argue that his stories serve as valuable ethical thought experiments rather than prescriptive models for AI development. They contend that Asimov's anthropomorphized robots are not meant to be taken as literal predictions of the future but as tools to explore the ethical complexities of AI and robotics. Asimov himself acknowledged the limitations of the Three Laws, using his stories to illustrate potential flaws and the need for deeper ethical considerations in AI design. For example, in "The Robots of Dawn," Asimov explores the idea of robots that can lie to protect human beings, demonstrating the ethical ambiguities that arise even within the seemingly simple framework of the Three

Laws. This narrative shows that Asimov was aware of the complexities involved in programming ethical behavior into machines and that his work encourages readers to think critically about the potential challenges of AI rather than assuming it will be inherently benign. Moreover, Asimov's defenders argue that the humanization of machines in his work fosters a deeper ethical awareness in society. By depicting robots as entities with emotions, desires, and ethical dilemmas, Asimov prompts readers to consider the moral responsibilities involved in creating autonomous systems. His stories encourage a more empathetic approach to AI development, where the potential impacts on both humans and machines are carefully considered. This perspective is particularly important as AI continues to advance and becomes more integrated into daily life. Asimov's work serves as a reminder that the development of AI should not be approached purely from a technical standpoint but must also involve careful ethical reflection. His anthropomorphized robots, while fictional, highlight the importance of considering the broader social and moral implications of AI, pushing society to think critically about the future of human-machine interactions. While Isaac Asimov's anthropomorphized robots have faced criticism for their idealistic portrayal of machine ethics and potential to create unrealistic expectations, his work remains a cornerstone in the ethical discourse surrounding AI and robotics. Critics argue that the simplicity of the Three Laws and the human-like qualities attributed to robots in Asimov's stories may lead to misunderstandings about the nature and potential risks of real-world AI. However, defenders counter that Asimov's robots are valuable tools for exploring the ethical challenges of AI, encouraging deeper reflection on the moral responsibilities of creators. Asimov's influence continues to resonate, reminding us that as we advance in AI development, we must carefully consider the ethical implications of humanizing machines and the potential consequences of creating entities that may one day challenge our understanding of what it means to be human.

Conclusion

Isaac Asimov's influence on the anthropomorphism of robots has profoundly shaped both public perception and the development of autonomous machines. By attributing human-like traits to robots in his literary works, Asimov created a framework where machines are viewed not merely as tools, but as entities with perceived autonomy and moral responsibilities. This anthropomorphism has had a lasting impact on how robots are designed and interacted with in the real world, making them more relatable and fostering trust between humans and machines. However, while anthropomorphizing robots can enhance user engagement and make technology more accessible, it also presents challenges. The perception of autonomy that comes with humanizing machines can lead to unrealistic expectations about their capabilities. Users might assume that these machines possess a level of understanding and decision-making akin to humans, which can lead to overreliance and potential risks, especially in critical applications where human judgment is essential. As robotics and AI continue to evolve, the legacy of Asimov's ideas serves as both a guide and a caution. On one hand, his work underscores the importance of creating machines that can seamlessly integrate into human society by behaving in ways that are familiar and comforting. On the other hand, it highlights the need for careful consideration of the ethical implications of these perceptions, ensuring that the distinction between human and machine autonomy remains clear. As we move forward, balancing the benefits of anthropomorphism with an understanding of its limitations will be

crucial in developing robots that are both effective and ethically aligned with societal values.

Reference

[1] 1.Asimov, I. (1950). I, Robot. Gnome Press.

[2] 2.Asimov, I. (1956). The Naked Sun. Doubleday.

[3] 3.Breazeal, C. (2003). Emotion and sociable humanoid robots. International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, 59(1-2), 119-155.

[4] 4.Duffy, B. R. (2003). Anthropomorphism and the social robot. Robotics and Autonomous Systems, 42(3-4), 177-190.

[5] 5.Fink, J. (2012). Anthropomorphism and Human Likeness in the Design of Robots and Human-Robot Interaction. Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Social Robotics, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.

[6] 6. Mori, M. (1970). The Uncanny Valley. Energy, 7(4), 33-35.

[7] 7. Zlotowski, J., Proudfoot, D., Yogeeswaran, K., & Bartneck, C. (2015). Anthropomorphism: Opportunities and challenges in human–robot interaction. International Journal of Social Robotics, 7, 347-360.

[8] 7.DiSalvo, C., Gemperle, F., Forlizzi, J., & Kiesler, S. (2002). All robots are not created equal: The design and perception of humanoid robot heads. Proceedings of the 4th conference on Designing interactive systems, ACM.

[9] 8.Bartneck, C., & Forlizzi, J. (2004). A design-centred framework for social human-robot interaction. In Proceedings of the 13th IEEE International Workshop on Robot and Human Interactive Communication (RO-MAN 2004) (pp. 591-594).

[10] 9.Sharkey, N., & Sharkey, A. (2010). The crying shame of robot nannies: an ethical appraisal. Interaction Studies, 11(2), 161-190.

[11] 10. Nass, C., & Moon, Y. (2000). Machines and mindlessness: Social responses to computers. Journal of Social Issues, 56(1), 81-103.

[12] 11.Nomura, T., Kanda, T., Suzuki, T., & Kato, K. (2008). Prediction of human behavior in human–robot interaction using psychological scales for anxiety and negative attitudes toward robots. IEEE Transactions on Robotics, 24(2), 442-451.

[13] 12. Darling, K. (2012). Extending legal protection to social robots: The effects of anthropomorphism, empathy, and violent behavior towards robotic objects. In We Robot Conference.

[14] 13. De Graaf, M. M. A., & Allouch, S. B. (2013). Exploring influencing variables for the acceptance of social robots. Robotics and Autonomous Systems, 61(12), 1476-1486.

[15] 14. Gunkel, D. J. (2018). Robot Rights. MIT Press.

[16] Kriz, S., Anderson, M., & Anderson, S. L. (2010). A review of human-robot interaction papers from HRI 2008 to 2011: Trends, themes, and directions. In 2010 19th International Symposium in Robot and Human Interactive Communication (pp. 211-216). IEEE.

[17] Turkle, S. (2011). Alone Together: Why We Expect More from Technology and Less from Each Other. Basic Books.

[18] Fong, T., Nourbakhsh, I., & Dautenhahn, K. (2003). A survey of socially interactive robots. Robotics and Autonomous Systems, 42(3-4), 143-166.

[19] Szollosy, M. (2017). Freud, Frankenstein and our fear of robots: Projection in our cultural perception of technology. AI & Society, 32(3), 433-439.

[20] Kahn, P. H., Jr., & Shen, S. (2017). Nurturing Humanness: Insights from Robots, Science, and Human Experience.
[21] E. Spink & P. Wilken (Eds.), Social Robotics and the Good Life: On the Temporality of Human-Robot Interaction (pp. 11-30). Springer.
[22] Cave, S., & Dihal, K. (2020). The Whiteness of AI. Philosophy & Technology, 33(4), 685-703.
[23] Whitby, B. (2008). Sometimes it's hard to be a robot: A call for action on the ethics of abusing artificial agents. Interacting with Computers, 20(3), 326-333.