

Food Preferences of Bluebull (*Boselaphus tragocamelus*) in and around Muzaffarpur, Bihar, India

¹Neetish Gaurav* and ²Shivanand Singh

Author's Affiliation:

¹Research Scholar, B.R. Ambedkar Bihar University, Muzaffarpur, Bihar 842001, India
²Professor and HoD, University Department of Zoology, B.R. Ambedkar Bihar University, Muzaffarpur, Bihar 842001, India

*Corresponding author:

Neetish Gaurav,
Research Scholar, B.R. Ambedkar Bihar University, Muzaffarpur, Bihar 842001, India
E-mail: Gauravneetish@gmail.com

Received on 18.07.2025

Revised on 27.07.2025

Accepted on 15.08.2025

ABSTRACT:

Food preferences of Bluebull (*Boselaphus tragocamelus*) were examined through the method of direct field observation between September 2022 to August 2023 in some areas of the Northern part of the Ganga plain, to explore food preference, composition of diets, and seasonal variation among food choices. Study results conclude that Bluebull consume diverse species of plants, 112 plant species (34 trees, 29 herbs, 26 grasses, 10 shrubs, and 13 creepers). Grass is a major component of Bluebull (40.66%), and among the browse species, *zizyphusmauritiana* is highly preferred with a preference rating (5.2). Bluebull diet varies from season to season, making them a good mix feeder.

Keywords:

Bluebull, *Boselaphus tragocamelus*, Ganga plain, food preference, preference rating, mixed feeder

How to cite this article: Gaurav N. and Singh S. (2025). Food Preferences of Bluebull (*Boselaphus tragocamelus*) in and around Muzaffarpur, Bihar, India. *Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences-Zoology*, 44A (2), 120-127

INTRODUCTION

Bluebull (*Boselaphus tragocamelus*) is the largest species of antelope in Asia. An adult male can reach a shoulder height of up to 140 cm. The typical body weight of the animal is around 250-260 kg. Bluebull calves and females (cows) exhibit a light brown hue. Male calves start darker (Gray) in color, transitioning to a light brown by the 10th month, and develop black color legs and brownish-gray shoulders by the age of two. Adult male Bluebull are characterized by a steel-gray or blue-gray coat with black legs, which fully emerges by their 4th year (Sheffield et al., 1983). Both genders feature white and dark patterns on their ears, heads, underbellies, and tails, along with noticeable white vibrissa spots on their heads. Located at the center of the front part of the neck is a tuft of hair, which is more prominent in males compared to females (Sheffield et al., 1983). Only

the male possesses horns that are short in length in length (15-20 cm), thick, conical, and have a smooth texture.

Observations on the dietary habits of ungulates in India have not advanced as significantly as it in other part of world like Africa (Talbot and Talbot 1962, Gwyne and Bell 1968, Leuthold 1970, Bell 1971, Jarman and Sinclair 1979). In India, Berwick (1976) experimented with feeding wild ungulates and cattle in Gir. Haque (1990) carried out an investigation into the food habits of wild ungulates through direct observation of their feeding behavior and pellet analysis. John, Singh, and Sankar (1991) undertook a study of plants eaten by both cattle and wild ungulates, depending on direct field observations. Sankar (1994) conducted research in the feeding habits of wild ungulates in Sariska National Park based on direct observations of animals. This paper represents Bluebull's food habits documented

Food Preferences of Bluebull (*Boselaphus tragocamelus*) in and around Muzaffarpur, Bihar, India

through direct field observations from September 2022 to August 2023 in Muzaffarpur, Bihar, India.

STUDY AREA

The study area is situated (26.60°N and 85.29° E situated about 200 km from Valmiki Tiger Reserve. The area is plain. It has an average elevation of 47m. The study area is in the North of the vast Gangetic Plain. It is situated at the foot of the great Himalayas. The study area lies in a highly active seismic zone. The climate is humid subtropical. Summers are Hot and dry, the maximum temperature in the region ranges from 28 to 45 degrees Celsius. Winters are pleasantly cold and dry, with temperatures ranging between 06 and 20 degrees Celsius. The average rainfall is around 1346.7 mm. Muzaffarpur lacks dense forest areas or long vegetation stretches as such in fact, it is a completely forest-free area. Vegetation is found here and there in small patches alongside roads and riverbeds, with naturally growing plants on barren land. As per Champion and Seth's classification, this area belongs to tropical dry deciduous forest. Some principal species are *A. marmelos*, *Acacia nilotica*, *A. leucophloea*, *Zizyphus mauritiana*, *Lagerstomia parviflora*, *Embllica officinalis*, *Butea monosperma*, *Phoenix sylvestris*, and *Terminalia tomentosa*.

METHODOLOGY

Food habits of Bluebull are recorded by observing the plants eaten as the animal

grazes and noting the locations where animals grazed and later inspecting the site to see what plants were eaten (Wallmo et al. 1973). The first of these has been called the "grazing minutes" or "grazing seconds" method (Buechner 1950) and the second the "feeding site method" (Lovaas 1958). The feeding site method was used to acquire data on the food plants consumed by the Bluebull.

Using binoculars, we observed feedings on Bluebull for four hours a day, two hours in the morning and two hours in the evening. We looked for feeding animals from a distance of approximately 10 to 40 meters, and recorded the food plants they consumed, and then counted the number of plant species they consumed from a 5-meter radius around the freshly consumed plant. Four areas were chosen for this study, and all observations were conducted on foot.

Examination of the research began from September 2022 to August 2023. Preference ratings for the edible plants were calculated as described by Petrides (1975). According to Petrides (1975), the preference value (P) of a food is basically established as 1.00. Preferred food species would have a preference rating greater than 1.00. Forage species with a preference rating of exactly 1.00 would be eaten in proportion to their abundance in the field, whereas species with ratings below 1.00 are, for whatever reason, neglected. In this study, we have not included trees and crops planted by farmers in their cropland.

Table 1: Food Plants of Bluebull (September 2022 to August 2023)

Plant species	Leaf	Fruit	Flower
<i>Acacia leucophloea</i>	YES	YES	
<i>A. nilotica</i>	YES	YES	
<i>A. senegal</i>	YES	YES	
<i>A. catechu</i>	YES		
<i>Albizia lebbek</i>	YES	YES	
<i>Azadarachta indica</i>	YES		
<i>Bouhinia varieta</i>	YES		
<i>B. malabarica</i>	YES		
<i>Butea monosperma</i>	YES		
<i>Dalbergia sisoo</i>	YES		

<i>Dicrostachys cinera</i>	YES		
<i>Dolichandrona faleala</i>	YES		
<i>Ehretia leavis</i>	YES		
<i>m Elaeodendron glaucum</i>	YES		
<i>Ficus species</i>	YES		
<i>F. glamerata</i>	YES		
<i>F. religiosa</i>	YES		
<i>F. retusa</i>	YES		
<i>F. benghalensis</i>	YES		
<i>Embica officinalis</i>	YES		
<i>Lagestomia parviflora</i>	YES		
<i>Mangifera indica</i>	YES		
<i>Mitragynaparvifolia</i>	YES		
<i>Miliosa tomentosa</i>	YES		
<i>Prosopis juliflora</i>	YES	YES	YES
<i>Pterocarpus marsupium</i>	YES		
<i>Pongamia pinnata</i>	YES		
<i>Tamarindus Indicus</i>	YES		
<i>Terminalia belliricia</i>	YES		
<i>Phoenix sylvestris</i>	YES	YES	
<i>Syzygium cumini</i>	YES		
<i>Z. mauritiana</i>	YES	YES	
<i>Z. xylopyra</i>	YES		
<i>Z. jujube</i>	YES		
Herbs			
<i>Ailanthus excels</i>	YES		
<i>Abutilon indicum</i>	YES	YES	
<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i>	YES		
<i>Amarantus species</i>	YES		
<i>Aegle marmelos</i>	YES		
<i>Boerhavia diffusa</i>	YES		
<i>Cassia tora</i>	YES		
<i>Cleome viscosa</i>	YES	YES	
<i>Corchorus aestuns</i>	YES	YES	
<i>C. olitorius</i>	YES		
<i>Cyanotis species</i>	YES		
<i>C. cristata</i>	YES		
<i>Elytrassia acaulis</i>	YES		
<i>Euphorbia birta</i>	YES		
<i>E. prostrata</i>	YES		
<i>Melochia corchorifolia</i>	YES		
<i>Sida acuta</i>	YES		
<i>S. veonicaefolia</i>	YES		
<i>S.rhombifolia</i>	YES		
<i>S.rhomboidea</i>	YES		
<i>Solanum sps</i>	YES		
<i>S.xanthocarpum</i>	YES		
<i>S.xanthocarpum</i>	YES		
<i>Tephrosia Purpurea</i>	YES		
<i>Tridex Procumbens</i>	YES		

Food Preferences of Bluebull (*Boselaphus tragocamelus*) in and around Muzaffarpur, Bihar, India

<i>Tribulus terrestris</i>	YES		
<i>Xanthium indicum</i>	YES		
Grass			
<i>Acrocera zizaniodes</i>	YES		
<i>Allotropis cumiciana</i>	YES		
<i>Apluda varia</i>	YES		
<i>Bothriochloa pertusa</i>	YES		
<i>Brachiaria distachya</i>	YES		
<i>B. refetans</i>	YES		
<i>Bambuseae</i>	YES		
<i>Cenchrus species</i>	YES		
<i>C. biflorus</i>	YES		
<i>Chloris barbata</i>	YES		
<i>Cyanodon dactylon</i>	YES		
<i>Cynchrus setigerus</i>	YES		
<i>Cyprus</i>	YES		
<i>Dichanthium annulatum</i>	YES		
<i>D. strictus</i>	YES		
<i>Eleusine indica</i>	YES		
<i>Echinochloa colona</i>	YES		
<i>Heteropogon contortus</i>	YES		
<i>Hemarthria compressa</i>	YES		
<i>Leersia hexandra</i>		YES	YES
<i>Panium sps</i>	YES		
<i>Paspalidium germinatum</i>	YES		
<i>P. punctum</i>	YES		
<i>Paspallum conjugatum</i>	YES		
<i>Seteria verticillata</i>	YES		
<i>Saccharum spontaneum</i>	YES		
Shrubs			
<i>Calotropis gigantea</i>	YES		
<i>C. deciduas</i>	YES		
<i>C.sepiariaa</i>	YES		
<i>Clerodendrum phlomidis</i>	YES		
<i>Flacourtia indica</i>	YES		
<i>G. Ovalifolia</i>	YES		
<i>Holarrhena antidycentrica</i>	YES		
<i>Indigofera tinctoria</i>	YES		YES
<i>Launaea sp</i>	YES		
<i>Rundia dumetarum</i>	YES		
Creepers			
<i>Asparagus recemosus</i>	YES		
<i>Anagallis arvensis</i>	YES		
<i>Alysicarpus monilifer</i>	YES	YES	
<i>Cocculus hirsuits</i>	YES		
<i>Cuscuta hyalina</i>	YES		
<i>Ichnocarpus frutescens</i>	YES		
<i>Ipomoea sp</i>	YES		
<i>I.pestigridis</i>	YES		
<i>Luffa acutangula</i>	YES		

<i>Mucuna pruriens</i>	YES		
<i>Pavonia zeylancia</i>	YES		
<i>Plumbago zeylancia</i>	YES		
<i>Ziziphus oenoplia</i>	YES		

Table 2: Food habits of Bluebull (September 2022 to August 2023)

Animal	Total observations	Grasses (%)	Browses (%)	leaves, fruits, and flowers (%)	Herbs, shrubs, and creepers (%)
Bluebull	486	40.66	17.66	36	5.66




Figure 1: Pie chart showing food habits

Composition of seasonal diet

In the monsoon season, 26 observations have been made about the food habits of the Bluebull. Among them, grasses dominated the diet at 80%, followed by browse at 15%, herbs, shrubs, etc. at 2%, and fallen leaves, flowers, and fruits at 3% (see Figure 1).

During the monsoon season, Bluebulls mainly consume grasses as they occur in plenty during this time. Sankar (1994) had suggested that grass formed an important component of the Bluebull food during monsoon >88.1%.

There have been roughly 190 feeding records of the Bluebulls during the winter season. From

these observations, the winter diet of Bluebulls was found to be 45% fallen leaves, flowers, and fruits, 20% Browse, 10% herbs, shrubs, and creepers, and 25% grasses (Figure 1).

When winter arrived, grasses started to mature and grow less. When green grasses are plentiful, such as in the winter and summer, Bluebull’s mostly graze on them. However, when grasses become sparse, they transition to browse, fallen leaves, flowers and fruits as well as grasses. . 170 feeding observations were made during the summer. It was noted that there was little variation in the Bluebull’s diet. The summer diet consisted of 60% fallen leaves, flowers, and fruits, 15% browsing, 20% grass, and 5% herbs, shrubs, creepers, and stragglers.




Figure 2: Seasonal variation of food habits

RESULTS AND CONCLUSION

The dietary habit of the Bluebull comprised diverse plant species. Bluebull used 112 species, i.e., 34 of trees, 29 herbs, 26 grasses, 10 shrubs, and 13 creepers (Table 1). Out of 486 total dietary observations of Bluebull, it was studied from September 2022 to August 2023 that grass accounted for 40.66%, flowers and fruits 36%, browse 17.66%, and herbs, shrubs, etc., 5.66% of the Bluebull diet (Table 2). The mixed proportion of plants confirms that Bluebull antelopes are mixed feeders. Among browse species preferred by the Bluebull, *Zizyphus mauritiana* had the highest preference rating (5.2), and *Butea monosperma*, *Acacia nilotica*, and *Azadaracantha indica* came next with preference ratings of 2.4, 1.7, and 1.4,

respectively (Table 3). Preference rating is computed as percentage diet choice over diet availability ($\% \text{ diet choice} / \% \text{ diet availability}$ [$p = d/a$]). Bluebull does not like some plants and discards some vegetative parts of herbs such as *C. viscosa* and *C. tora*, but during winter, the pods of these herbs form their main food. It also eats fallen leaves and fruits of *Zizyphus mauritiana*, fallen flowers and fruits of *Butea monosperma*, and fallen leaves of *Anogeissus pendula* and *Dalbergia sissoo*. Plant species like *Butea monosperma*, *Zizyphus mauritiana*, *Acacia nilotica*, *A. leucophloea*, *Anogeissus pendula*, *Prosopis juliflora*, *Terminalia bellirica*, etc., are restricted to only a few localities in the Study area.

Table 3: The ratings of preference and the dietary importance of species of Bluebull (September 2022 to August 2023)

S. No	Forage species	Available (A)	Diet (D)	Rating preference (p)
1	<i>Zizyphus mauritiana</i>	3.9	20.6	5.2
2	<i>Butea monosperma</i>	5.8	14.4	2.4
3	<i>Acacia nilotica</i>	6.9	12.4	1.7
4	<i>Azadarictha indica</i>	7.1	10.5	1.4
5	<i>A. Leucophloea</i>	9.0	9.8	1.0
6	<i>Dalbergia sissoo</i>	13.3	9.4	0.7
7	<i>Prosopis juliflora</i>	18.7	7.1	0.3
8	<i>Capparis decidua</i>	30.1	6.7	0.2
9	<i>Syzygium cumini</i>	42.1	3.3	0.07
10	<i>Phoenix sylvestris</i>	80.6	2.6	0.03

$P = D/A$




Figure 3: preference rating of plant species (September 2022 to August 2023)

Sankar (1994) stated that browse, fallen leaves, flowers, fruits, and grasses are a major part of the diet of the bluebull. The results of the present study are in close agreement with the generalizations made by Sankar.

REFERENCES

- Berwick, S. H. (1974). *The community of wild ruminants in the Gir forest ecosystem, India* (Ph.D. dissertation). Yale University, New Haven.
- Buechner, H. K. (1950). Life history, ecology, and range use of pronghorn antelope in Trans-Pecos, Texas. *American Midland Naturalist*, 43(1), 257-354.
- Gwyne, M. D., & Bell, R. H. V. (1968). Selection of grazing complements by grazing ungulates in the Serengeti National Park. *Nature*, 220(5160), 390-393.
- Haque, N. (1990). *Study on the ecology of wildlife ungulates of Keoladeo National Park, Bharatpur, Rajasthan* (Ph.D. thesis). Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh.
- Johnsingh, A. J. T., & Sankar, K. (1991). Food plants of chital, sambar, and cattle on Mundanthurai Plateau, Tamil Nadu, South India. *Mammalia*, 55(1), 57-66.
- Kumar, A. (2011). Mammals of Itanagar Wildlife Sanctuary, Arunachal Pradesh, India. *Journal of Environmental Biology*, 25(2), 205-207.
- Leuthold, W. (1970). Some preliminary notes on the food habits of the gerenuk in Tsavo National Park, Kenya. *East African Wildlife Journal*, 8(3), 275-283.
- Lovass, A. L. (1958). Food habits and range use of mule deer in Little Belt Mountains, Montana. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, 22(3), 275-283.
- Petrides, G. A. (1975). Principal foods versus preferred foods and their relation to stocking rate and range condition. *Biological Conservation*, 7(3), 161-169.
- Sankar, K. (n.d.). *Ecology of the three large sympatric herbivores (chital, sambar, bluebull) with special reference to Sariska Tiger Reserve, Rajasthan* (Ph.D. thesis). University of Delhi.
- Sankar, K., Johnsingh, A. J. T., & Mathur, R. (2007). Food habits of three major ungulate species in a semi-arid zone of Rajasthan, India. *Cheetal*, 44(1-2), 18-39.

Food Preferences of Bluebull (*Boselaphus tragocamelus*) in and around Muzaffarpur, Bihar, India

Sheffield, W. J. (1983). Food habits of bluebulls in Texas. *Journal of Range Management*, 36(3), 316-322.

Sheffield, W. J., Fall, B. A., & Brown, B. A. (1983). *The bluebull antelope*. The Caesar Kleberg Program in Wildlife Ecology and the Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Sciences, Texas A&M University.

Talbot, L. M., & Talbot, M. F. (1962). Food preferences of some East African wild ungulates. *East African Agricultural and Forestry Journal*, 37(2), 131-138.

Wallmo, O. C., Gill, R. B., Carpenter, L. H., & Reichert, D. W. (1973). Accuracy of field estimates of deer food habits. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, 37(4), 556-562.
